

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Medical technologies evaluation programme

Equality impact assessment: Guidance development

MT217 The PLASMA system for transurethral resection and haemostasis of the prostate

The impact on equality has been assessed during this evaluation according to the principles of the [NICE Equality scheme](#).

Medical technology consultation document

1. Have the potential equality issues identified during the scoping process been addressed by the committee, and, if so, how?

An equality issue was raised at consultation of the review decision and was included in the updated scope: *“Men aged 80 years and over, especially those with frail health and comorbidity, have been found to have an increased risk of morbidity following TURP, though effectiveness of the intervention is not affected.”* This was discussed by the committee and has been included under Equalities in section 4.14 of the MTG.

2. Have any other potential equality issues been highlighted in the sponsor’s submission, or patient organisation questionnaires, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?

None.

3. Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the committee and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?

None.

4. Do the preliminary recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to or difficulties with access for the specific group?

No.

5. Is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

No.

6. Are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?

None.

7. Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the medical technology consultation document, and, if so, where?

No.

Approved by Acting Associate Director: Chris Chesters

Date: 16/12/2020

Medical technology guidance document

1. Have any additional potential equality issues been raised during the consultation, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?

No.

2. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations that make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to access for the specific group?

No.

3. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

No.

4. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 2 and 3, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?

No.

5. Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the medical technology guidance document, and, if so, where?

Yes. In section 4.14.

Approved by Programme Director:

Date:

DRAFT