

Suicide prevention Committee meeting 5		
Date:	20/07/17	
Location:	NICE offices London	
Minutes:	Final	
Committee members present:		
Susan Jebb	Chair	Present for all
Jeff Round	Core member	Present for all
Toby Prevost	Core member	Present for all
Suzanne Jones	Core member	Present for all
Raymond Jankowski	Core member	Present for all
Ray Canham	Core member	Present for all
Jane Leaman	Core member	Present for all
Chris Owen	Core member	Present for all
Rebeca Martinez	Topic member	Present for all
Ian Basnett	Core member	Left at 12:40pm
Stephen Habgood	Topic member	Present for all
David Mosse	Topic member	Present for all
Andrew Chapman	Topic member	Present for all
Vikki Levick	Topic member	Present for all

In attendance:		
Hugh McGuire	Technical Adviser	Present for all
Ying Ying Wang	Technical Analyst	Present for all
Ben Doak	Guideline commissioning manager	Present for all
Patricia Mountain	Project Manager	Present for all
Ben Johnson	Health Economist	Present for all
Sarah Willett	Associate Director	Left at 12:55pm
Observers		
Sarah Boyce	Technical Analyst	
Contractors		
Kate Ennis	York Health Economics Consortium (YHEC)	

Vicki Pollit	York Health Economics Consortium (YHEC)
--------------	---

Apologies PHAC members	
Helene Raynsford	Topic member
Chris Packham	Core member
Amy Beck	Topic member
Dave Cherrington	Topic member
Navneet Kapur	Topic member
Apologies	
Helen Garnham	PHE Topic advisor

1. Welcome and objectives for the meeting
<p>The Chair welcomed the Committee members and attendees to the 5th meeting on NICE guideline <i>Preventing suicide in community and custodial settings</i>. The Committee members and attendees introduced themselves.</p> <p>The members of the public were also welcomed to the meeting. The members of the public had been briefed already, both verbally and in writing by the NICE team, and the Chair reminded them of the protocol for members of the public, i.e. their role is to observe and they may not speak or ask questions. Also, no filming or recording of the meeting is permitted.</p> <p>The Chair reminded all present that the PHAC is independent and advisory, and that its decisions and recommendations to NICE do not represent final NICE guidance; and they may be changed as a result of public consultation.</p> <p>The Chair welcomed the members of the public to the meeting.</p> <p>The Chair informed the Committee that apologies had been received. These are noted above.</p> <p>The Chair outlined the objectives of the meeting:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To consider and discuss : Review Questions (RQ) 4 & 5 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ RQ4 - Are information, advice, education or training interventions effective and cost effective at increasing the ability of staff and the public to recognise and respond to someone who may be contemplating suicide? ○ RQ5 - What are the most effective and cost effective non-clinical interventions to support people who are at risk of suicidal acts?

- To consider and discuss :Cost effectiveness review for RQ4 & 5
- To draft recommendations for RQ 4 & 5

2. Confirmation of matter under discussion, and declarations of interest

The Chair confirmed that, for the purpose of managing conflicts of interest, the matter under discussion was Suicide prevention in community and custodial settings’.

The Chair explained that verbal declarations of interest are a standing item on every agenda and are recorded in the minutes as a matter of public record.

The Chair asked the PHAC and attendees at the table, to declare any changes to the interests already declared, and any additional declarations.

Kate Ennis - Non- personal financial specific interest – As a YHEC staff member she is working on NICE EMU projects. YHEC has previously undertaken modelling work for IESO Digital Health which is a provider of online CBT, comparing outcomes with IAPT (which is recommended by NICE & forms part of the care Pathway modelled for NICE suicide prevention guideline. IAPT itself however is not one of the interventions evaluated in the model. YHEC use the term ‘downstream therapy’ or ‘secondary care psychological therapy’. This interest was declared by Matthew Taylor (director of YHEC) 16/03/17 when the model was scoped.

Vicki Pollit - Non- personal financial specific interest – As a YHEC staff member she is working on NICE EMU projects. YHEC has previously undertaken modelling work for IESO Digital Health which is a provider of online CBT, comparing outcomes with IAPT (which is recommended by NICE & forms part of the care Pathway modelled for NICE suicide prevention guideline. IAPT itself however is not one of the interventions evaluated in the model. YHEC use the term ‘downstream therapy’ or ‘secondary care psychological therapy’. This interest was declared by Matthew Taylor (director of YHEC) 16/03/17 when the model was scoped.

The Chair and a senior member of the Developer’s team noted that the interests declared did not prevent the attendees from fully participating in the meeting.

3. Minutes of previous meeting

The minutes were agreed as an accurate record of the meeting apart from a minor change to the attendees. All actions had been completed or were in hand.

4. Evidence review RQ4/5

Ying Ying Wang gave a short presentation to recap on evidence on using Randomised Controlled Trials (RCTs), on review questions 4 and 5, what was

agreed in protocol, search results and final reviews.

Ying Ying Wang gave a presentation on two RCTs on Mental Health First Aid.

Ying Ying Wang gave a presentation on non-RCT evidence for RQ 4 & 5

There was an opportunity for the PHAC to ask questions and discuss.

5. Health Economic review for Review questions 4 & 5

Ben Johnson and Ying Ying Wang gave a presentation on cost effectiveness evidence with regard to RQ 4 & 5.

There was an opportunity for the PHAC to ask questions and discuss.

6. Health Economic Modelling presentation by YHEC

YHEC presented a revised health economic model structure, with strategies/scenarios and key inputs considered.

There was an opportunity for the PHAC to ask questions and discuss.

Action: YHEC to further develop the model

Action: YHEC to consider modelling using custodial settings.

The Chair suggested that Chris Packham and Vikki Levick may be able to advise YHEC if required.

Action: NICE to look at best practice in Local authority audits on suicide

Action: YHEC to demonstrate the model at a future meeting

YHEC left the meeting at 3pm.

7. Evidence RQ 4 & 5: discussion and draft recommendations.

Hugh McGuire gave a short recap on the evidence that has been presented to the PHAC so far.

The NICE team had drafted potential draft recommendations, based on PHAC discussions.

The PHAC discussed these in plenary session. Revisions and additions were agreed. The Chair explained that there would be further opportunities for the PHAC to revise them. The NICE team noted discussions

Action: NICE to redraft/re structure draft recommendations following PHAC

direction.

Action: NICE to circulate table of interventions to PHAC members for comment

Action: NICE to circulate revised draft recommendations to PHAC members for comment.

8. Next steps

Hugh McGuire informed the committee of the next steps in the development of the guideline and plans for the next meeting.

Action: PHAC members to send suggestions for expert testimony to NICE

9. Any other business

The Chair summarised the agreed decisions and actions from the meeting.

The Chair reminded members to submit their expenses within 3 months of their attendance.

There was no other business for discussion

Date of next meeting:	Friday 8 th September 2017
Location of next meeting:	TBC London