

## Cannabis-based medicinal products

**Appendix 1 – Expert witness report -  
Cannabinoid Psychopharmacology - Tom  
Freeman, Addiction and Mental Health Group  
(AIM), Department of Psychology, University of  
Bath**

*NICE guideline <number>*

*Evidence reviews*

*[August 2019]*

*Draft for Consultation*

*These evidence reviews were developed  
by NICE Guideline Updates Team*



## **Disclaimer**

The recommendations in this guideline represent the view of NICE, arrived at after careful consideration of the evidence available. When exercising their judgement, professionals are expected to take this guideline fully into account, alongside the individual needs, preferences and values of their patients or service users. The recommendations in this guideline are not mandatory and the guideline does not override the responsibility of healthcare professionals to make decisions appropriate to the circumstances of the individual patient, in consultation with the patient and/or their carer or guardian.

Local commissioners and/or providers have a responsibility to enable the guideline to be applied when individual health professionals and their patients or service users wish to use it. They should do so in the context of local and national priorities for funding and developing services, and in light of their duties to have due regard to the need to eliminate unlawful discrimination, to advance equality of opportunity and to reduce health inequalities. Nothing in this guideline should be interpreted in a way that would be inconsistent with compliance with those duties.

NICE guidelines cover health and care in England. Decisions on how they apply in other UK countries are made by ministers in the [Welsh Government](#), [Scottish Government](#), and [Northern Ireland Executive](#). All NICE guidance is subject to regular review and may be updated or withdrawn.

## **Copyright**

© NICE 2019. All rights reserved. Subject to [Notice of rights](#).

ISBN:

## **Contents**

<b>Cannabinoid Psychopharmacology.....</b>	<b>5</b>
--	----------

# Cannabinoid Psychopharmacology

The cannabis plant produces at least 144 cannabinoids, but most clinical research has focused on THC (delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol) and CBD (cannabidiol). Biosynthesis of THC and CBD differs across cannabis plants with three main chemotypes: THC dominant, CBD dominant, and mixed THC/CBD. This means that plants producing high concentrations of THC typically produce minimal CBD, and vice versa. The chemotype (and therefore THC/CBD profile) of cannabis-based products for medicinal use may contribute to their therapeutic indications and safety. Terpenoids (e.g. limonene,  $\alpha$ -pinene,  $\beta$ -myrcene, linalool) may also contribute to efficacy and safety, although evidence is limited at present.

Cannabinoids such as THC and CBD act on the endocannabinoid system which includes cannabinoid receptors (e.g. CB1Rs and CB2Rs), endocannabinoids (e.g. anandamide, 2-AG), and enzymes (e.g. Fatty Acid Amide Hydrolase; FAAH). Endocannabinoids are synthesised on demand and play a modulatory role in several key biological processes. CB1Rs are primarily located in the central nervous system and CB2Rs in the peripheral nervous system. THC is a partial agonist at CB1Rs and CB2Rs, whereas CBD has a broad range of targets. Within the endocannabinoid system, CBD has minimal direct activity at CB1Rs and CB2Rs, but it acts as a negative allosteric modulator at CB1Rs, preventing other ligands from binding to these receptors. CBD inhibits FAAH, which increases endocannabinoid levels. CBD has several targets beyond the endocannabinoid system, e.g. agonist at 5-HT<sub>1A</sub>Rs, and partial agonist at D<sub>2</sub>highRs.

Systematic reviews with Grading of Certainty of Evidence have found low to moderate certainty evidence assessing cannabinoids for the treatment of chronic pain, spasticity in multiple sclerosis, treatment resistant epilepsy, and nausea and vomiting due to chemotherapy. The primary products tested in these studies are Sativex (THC+CBD), Epidiolex (CBD), and Dronabinol (synthetic THC). Adverse effects of THC include disorientation, dizziness, euphoria, confusion and drowsiness; there is some evidence of withdrawals from clinical trials due to adverse events. CBD is safe and well tolerated; adverse events include sedation, diarrhoea, abdominal discomfort and headache. Possible drug-drug interactions include mania following THC with fluoxetine (potentially due to a CYP2D6 mechanism), and delirium and hypomania following THC with disulfiram (unknown mechanism). Plasma CBD concentrations may be decreased by CYP3A4 inducers (e.g. rifampicin) and increased by CYP3A4 inhibitors (e.g. ketoconazole). CBD can inhibit CYP2C19 enzymes, which may increase plasma concentrations of drugs such as clobazam and their associated side effects. THC and CBD may exacerbate the effects of central nervous system depressants such as alcohol. CBD is not dependence forming, but clinicians should monitor for the possible development of dependence on THC. Withdrawal symptoms can occur following cessation of THC in dependent cannabis users. These peak at four days of cessation, reduce by seven days, and remit at 14 days. Tolerance and withdrawal to THC may be accompanied by downregulation of cannabinoid receptors, which can be rapidly reversed (e.g. after two days of abstinence).

Cannabis-based products for medicinal use can be administered using a vaporizer. The use of ground cannabis flower may require care in order to achieve standardised dosing. A Dutch study indicated that patients reported using 0.3 grams cannabis per dose regardless of its THC/CBD profile. After intrapulmonary administration, bioavailability is 10-35% for THC and 11-45% for CBD. Peak plasma concentrations occur 6-10 minutes after onset. THC is metabolised by cytochrome P450 enzymes, primarily in the liver. THC rapidly penetrates vascularised tissues and then accumulates in body fat (THC and 11-OH-THC). THC has a

fast initial half-life (6 minutes) followed by a slow terminal half-life (22 hours) due to prolonged release from lipid stores.

Subjective effects following intrapulmonary administration peak at 15-30 minutes and decrease progressively up to 4 hours. Experimental psychopharmacology studies have used dosing schedules such as 6mg THC followed by 1mg THC every 30 minutes, or 8mg THC followed by 4 mg THC 90 minutes later, to maintain stable subjective effects over time. Cannabinoids for oral administration can be formulated in capsules or oils, providing fixed doses for patients (e.g. 10mg THC or 10mg THC + 10mg CBD). Bioavailability for oral administration is 2-14% for THC and 13-19% for CBD, with significant first pass metabolism in the liver. Peak THC plasma concentrations occur at 1-2 hours and subjective effects can last from 1-8 hours, peaking from 2-4 hours. Oral administration can require fewer repeated doses to maintain clinical benefit when compared to intrapulmonary administration.

Experimental psychopharmacology studies have found that people with and without psychosis respond differently to THC. Additionally, adolescents respond in a contrasting way to adults. Specific considerations may be necessary for people with mental health disorders and in different age groups. CBD may offset some of the acute effects of THC such as paranoia and memory impairment. However, these findings are not entirely consistent and dose-response effects are unclear. Functional impairment of driving may occur at plasma concentrations of 5ng/ml THC, while 2ng/ml THC is a driving offence in the UK. For people with low exposure to THC (1-2 days per week) a single intrapulmonary dose of 16mg THC may result in plasma concentrations of  $\leq 5$  ng/ml THC at 2 hours and  $\leq 1$  ng/ml THC at 7 hours. Among people with daily THC exposure, 11 out of 22 participants had plasma concentrations of  $\geq 1$ ng/ml THC by 24 hours abstinence; none had concentrations indicative of functional driving impairment ( $\geq 5$ ng/ml THC). By seven days of cessation, all participants reached  $\leq 1$  ng/ml THC. THC can be detected in plasma for as long as 30 days of abstinence following daily use, but only in a minority (2 out of 22 participants) and at very low levels that are not associated with driving impairment (0.25 ng/ml THC). THC readily crosses the placenta; fetal plasma concentrations may be 1/3<sup>rd</sup> of those in maternal plasma (intrapulmonary administration) or 1/10<sup>th</sup> of those in maternal plasma (oral administration). THC passes into breast milk and accumulates after long-term administration; a nursing infant might consume 0.01-0.1mg THC daily from a mother using cannabis every day.

## References:

- Allsop, D. J., Copeland, J., Norberg, M. M., Fu, S., Molnar, A., Lewis, J., & Budney, A. J. (2012). Quantifying the clinical significance of cannabis withdrawal. *PLoS one*, 7(9), e44864.
- Bergamaschi, M. M., Karschner, E. L., Goodwin, R. S., Scheidweiler, K. B., Hirvonen, J., Queiroz, R. H., & Huestis, M. A. (2013). Impact of prolonged cannabinoid excretion in chronic daily cannabis smokers' blood on per se drugged driving laws. *Clinical Chemistry*, 59(3), 519-526.
- Bloomfield, M. A., Hindocha, C., Green, S. F., Wall, M. B., Lees, R., et al. (2018). The neuropsychopharmacology of cannabis: a review of human imaging studies. *Pharmacology & Therapeutics*, 195, 132-161.

- Brunt, T. M., van Genugten, M., Höner-Snoeken, K., van de Velde, M. J., & Niesink, R. J. (2014). Therapeutic satisfaction and subjective effects of different strains of pharmaceutical-grade cannabis. *Journal of Clinical Psychopharmacology*, 34(3), 344-349.
- de Meijer, E. P., Bagatta, M., Carboni, A., Crucitti, P., Moliterni, V. C., Ranalli, P., & Mandolino, G. (2003). The inheritance of chemical phenotype in *Cannabis sativa* L. *Genetics*, 163(1), 335-346.
- D'Souza, D. C., Abi-Saab, W. M., Madonick, S., Forselius-Bielen, K., Doersch, A., et al. (2005). Delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol effects in schizophrenia: implications for cognition, psychosis, and addiction. *Biological Psychiatry*, 57(6), 594-608.
- Englund, A., Morrison, P. D., Nottage, J., Hague, D., Kane, F., et al. (2013). Cannabidiol inhibits THC-elicited paranoid symptoms and hippocampal-dependent memory impairment. *Journal of Psychopharmacology*, 27(1), 19-27.
- European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction and Canadian Centre on Substance Use and Addiction (2018), Cannabis and driving: questions and answers for policymaking, Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg.
- Freeman, T. P., Hindocha, C., Green, S. F., & Bloomfield, M. A. (2019). Medicinal use of cannabis based products and cannabinoids. *BMJ*, 365, l1141.
- Grotenhermen, F. (2003). Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of cannabinoids. *Clinical Pharmacokinetics*, 42(4), 327-360.
- Huestis, M. A. (2007). Human cannabinoid pharmacokinetics. *Chemistry & Biodiversity*, 4(8), 1770-1804.
- Huestis, M. A., Henningfield, J. E., & Cone, E. J. (1992). Blood cannabinoids. I. Absorption of THC and formation of 11-OH-THC and THCCOOH during and after smoking marijuana. *Journal of Analytical Toxicology*, 16(5), 276-282.
- Lawn, W., Freeman, T. P., Pope, R. A., Joye, A., Harvey, L., Hindocha, C., et al. (2016). Acute and chronic effects of cannabinoids on effort-related decision-making and reward learning: an evaluation of the cannabis 'amotivational' hypotheses. *Psychopharmacology*, 233(19-20), 3537-3552.
- Lucas, C. J., Galettis, P., & Schneider, J. (2018). The pharmacokinetics and the pharmacodynamics of cannabinoids. *British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, 84(11), 2477-2482.
- Mokrysz, C., Freeman, T. P., Korkki, S., Griffiths, K., & Curran, H. V. (2016). Are adolescents more vulnerable to the harmful effects of cannabis than adults? A placebo-controlled study in human males. *Translational Psychiatry*, 6(11), e961.
- Morgan, C. J., Schafer, G., Freeman, T. P., & Curran, H. V. (2010). Impact of cannabidiol on the acute memory and psychotomimetic effects of smoked cannabis: naturalistic study. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 197(4), 285-290.
- Newmeyer, M. N., Swortwood, M. J., Barnes, A. J., Abulseoud, O. A., Scheidweiler, K. B., & Huestis, M. A. (2016). Free and glucuronide whole blood cannabinoids' pharmacokinetics after controlled smoked, vaporized, and oral cannabis administration in frequent and occasional cannabis users: identification of recent cannabis intake. *Clinical Chemistry*, 62(12), 1579-1592.
- Russo, E. B. (2011). Taming THC: potential cannabis synergy and phytocannabinoid-terpenoid entourage effects. *British Journal of Pharmacology*, 163(7), 1344-1364.

Whiting, P. F., Wolff, R. F., Deshpande, S., Di Nisio, M., Duffy, S., et al. (2015). Cannabinoids for medical use: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA*, 313(24), 2456-2473.

Spindle, T. R., Cone, E. J., Schlienz, N. J., Mitchell, J. M., Bigelow, G. E., et al. (2018). Acute effects of smoked and vaporized cannabis in healthy adults who infrequently use cannabis: a crossover trial. *JAMA Network Open*, 1(7), e184841-e184841.

Taylor, L., Gidal, B., Blakey, G., Tayo, B., & Morrison, G. (2018). A phase I, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, single ascending dose, multiple dose, and food effect trial of the safety, tolerability and pharmacokinetics of highly purified cannabidiol in healthy subjects. *CNS Drugs*, 32(11), 1053-1067.

van Hell, H. H., Bossong, M. G., Jager, G., Kahn, R. S., & Ramsey, N. F. (2011). Methods of the pharmacological imaging of the cannabinoid system (PhICS) study: towards understanding the role of the brain endocannabinoid system in human cognition. *International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research*, 20(1), 10-27.

Vandrey, R., Herrmann, E. S., Mitchell, J. M., Bigelow, G. E., Flegel, R., LoDico, C., & Cone, E. J. (2017). Pharmacokinetic profile of oral cannabis in humans: blood and oral fluid disposition and relation to pharmacodynamic outcomes. *Journal of Analytical Toxicology*, 41(2), 83-99.