

Joint replacement (primary): hip, knee and shoulder committee meeting

Date: 15/06/2018

Location: NGC Boardroom, The Royal College of Physicians, 11 St Andrews Place, London NW1 4LE

Minutes: Confirmed

Committee members present:	
Adam Firth (Chair)	(Present for notes 1–5)
Sinead Clarke	(Present for notes 1–4B)
Ananth Ebinesan	(Present for notes 1–5)
Richard Griffiths	(Present for notes 1–5)
Anju Jaggi	(Present for notes 1–5)
Katharine Marks	(Present for notes 1–5)
Andrew Metcalfe	(Present for notes 1–5)
Lauren Porter	(Present for notes 1–5)
Jonathan Rees	(Present for notes 1–5)
John Skinner	(Present for notes 1–5)
Toby Smith	(Present for notes 1–5)
Victoria Wells	(Present for notes 1–5)
Anthony Whiting	(Present for notes 1–5)
Co-opted member:	
Helen Robson	(Present for notes 1–5)

In attendance:		
Nick Staples (NS)	Guidelines Commissioning Manager, NICE	(Present for notes 1–4B)
Alex Allen (AA)	Senior Research Fellow, NGC	(Present for notes 1–5)
Meredith Faust (MF)	Project Manager, NGC	(Present for notes 1–5)
Beatriz Ferrer-Quiles (BFQ)	Information Specialist, NGC	(Present for notes 4F–5)
Carlos Sharpin (CS)	Associate Director/guideline Lead, NGC	(Present for notes 4–5)
Rafina Yarde (RY)	Research Fellow, NGC	(Present for notes 1–5)

David Wonderling (DW)	Head of Economics, NGC	(Present for notes 1–5)
-----------------------	---------------------------	-------------------------

Apologies:

Paul Baker (PB)	Committee member
-----------------	------------------

1. Welcome and objectives for the meeting

The Chair welcomed the committee members and attendees to the third meeting on Joint replacement (primary): hip, knee and shoulder. The Committee members and attendees introduced themselves.

The Chair informed the committee that apologies had been received. These are noted above.

The Chair outlined the objectives of the meeting, which included preoperative rehabilitation, inpatient hip, knee and shoulder postoperative rehabilitation and review protocols.

2. Confirmation of matter under discussion, and declarations of interest

The Chair confirmed that, for the purpose of managing conflicts of interest, the matter under discussion was preoperative rehabilitation, inpatient hip, knee and shoulder postoperative rehabilitation and review protocols for ultra clean air theatres and long-term monitoring.

The Chair asked everyone to declare verbally any interests that have arisen since the last meeting. There were no new declarations of interest.

The Chair and a senior member of the Developer's team noted that the interests declared did not prevent the attendees from fully participating in the meeting.

3. Minutes of last meeting

The Chair asked the committee if it wanted any changes made to the minutes of the last meeting. The committee agreed that the minutes were a true and accurate account of the meeting.

The Chair confirmed that all matters arising had been completed or were in hand.

4. Questions and discussion

- A. Alex Allen, Senior Research Fellow at the National Guideline Centre (NGC), and David Wonderling, Head of Health Economics at the NGC, presented the

ways of interpreting clinical and health economic evidence.

- B. Alex Allen and David Wonderling presented the evidence for the review question, 'Is preoperative rehabilitation clinically and cost effective for people having elective joint replacement?' The committee discussed and asked questions about the evidence and drafted recommendations.
- C. David Wonderling led a discussion on the health economic modelling priorities for the guideline. The committee discussed which review questions to prioritise.
- D. Rafina Yarde, Research Fellow at the NGC, presented the evidence for the review question, 'In adults who have undergone elective hip or knee replacement, what is the most clinical and cost-effective timing and duration for inpatient rehabilitation?' The committee discussed and asked questions about the evidence and drafted recommendations.
- E. Alex Allen presented the evidence for the review question, 'In adults who have undergone elective shoulder replacement, what is the most clinical and cost-effective timing and duration for inpatient rehabilitation?' The committee discussed and asked questions about the evidence and drafted recommendations.
- F. The committee discussed and agreed the following draft review protocols:
- 5.1: Preventing infection: wound lavage
 - 5.2: Preventing infection: ultra clean air theatres
 - 7.2: Patella resurfacing
- G. The committee discussed but did not agree draft review protocol 9.1 Long-term monitoring.

5. Any other business

None.

Date of next meeting: 20/07/2018

Location of next meeting: The Royal College of Physicians, 11 St Andrews Place, London NW1 4LE