

**Adults with complex needs: social work interventions including  
assessment, care management and support**

**Committee Meeting 12**

**Date:** 26<sup>th</sup> May 2021

**Location** Via Zoom

**Minutes:** Final

<b>Committee members present:</b>	
Rebecca Harrington (Chair)	Present for items 1 – 7
Brynmor Lloyd-Evans (Topic Advisor)	Present for items 1 – 7 (see item 2 below)
Katie Clarke	Present for items 5 – 7
Jane Miller	Present for items 1 – 7
Janet Reynolds	Present for items 1 – 7
Ellen Thomas	Present for items 5 – 7
Eleni Chambers	Present for items 1 – 4
Nina Riddlesden	Present for items 1 – 7
Adey Adeneye	Present for items 1 – 7
Ellie Gordon	Present for items 1 – 7
Mo Ray	Present for items 1 – 7
Mukul Agarwal	Present for items 1 – 3

<b>In attendance:</b>		
Katharina Dworzynski	NGA Guideline Lead	Present for items 1 – 5
Jennifer M Francis	NGA Senior Systematic Reviewer	Present for items 1 – 5
Agnesa Mehmeti	NGA Systematic Reviewer	Present for items 1 – 3
Fiona Whiter	NGA Systematic Reviewer	Present for items 1 – 5
Joanne Warner	NGA Project Manager	Present for items 1 – 5
Nick Staples	NICE Guideline Commissioning Manager	Present for items 1 – 5
Gareth Haman	NICE Senior Medical Editor	Present for items 1 – 5
Chloe Mills	Social Work Fellow	Present for items 1 – 3
Bridget Warr	Social care advisor	Present for items 4

<b>Apologies:</b>	
Mark Cooper	GC member

Claire Gilbert	GC member
Lynn Prendergast	GC member
Katie Clarke (morning)	GC member
Eleni Chambers (afternoon)	GC member
Ellen Thomas (morning)	GC member
Mukul Agarwal	Co-opted GC member
Briget Warr (morning)	NGA social care adviser
Nick Staples (morning)	NICE commissioning manager

### 1. Welcome and objectives for the meeting

The Chair welcomed the committee members and attendees to the 12<sup>th</sup> guideline committee meeting on adults with complex needs: social work interventions including assessment, care management and support. The Chair thanked the committee for joining the meeting virtually. The Chair acknowledged apologies received, as noted above.

### 2. Confirmation of matter under discussion, and declarations of interest

The Chair confirmed that, for the purpose of managing conflicts of interest, the matter under discussion was Adults with complex needs: social work interventions including assessment, care management and support. The Chair asked everyone to verbally declare any interests.

Name	Job title, organisation	Declarations of Interest, date declared	Type of interest	Decision taken
Brynmore Lloyd-Evans	Guideline committee member (Topic adviser)	Direct financial May 2021  26/05/2021	Three grants approved for funding of research (one of which was included as evidence) – see below  Co-Chief investigator: “Development, Feasibility Testing and Pilot Trial of a Crisis Planning and Monitoring Intervention to Reduce Compulsory Hospital Readmissions (the FINCH Study)”	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Declare and partially exclude</li> <li>• Agreed by GL and Committee chair</li> <li>• Rationale: One of the studies with a further grant was included as evidence. Therefore he was present for the discussion but excluded from decision making on the wording of recommendations</li> </ul>

			<p>NIHR Policy Research Programme. CI: Sonia Johnson £800,000 (2021-23)</p> <p>Co-applicant: “#KindnessByPost: a mixed methods investigation of an innovative participatory public health programme” UKRI Loneliness and Social Isolation in Mental Health Research Network. CI: Luke Sheridan Rains £40,000 (2021)</p> <p>Chief Investigator “Randomised controlled trial of the Community Navigator programme to reduce loneliness and depression for adults with treatment resistant depression in secondary mental health services” NIHR HTA Programme. £1,912,000 (2021-25)</p>	
Brynmore Lloyd-Evans	Guideline committee member (Topic adviser)	Direct non-financial professional and personal 26/05/2021	<p>Corresponding author of:</p> <p>Lloyd-Evans, B., Frerichs, J., Stefanidou, T., Bone, J., Pinfold, V., Lewis, G., et al., The Community Navigator Study: Results from a feasibility randomised controlled trial of a</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Declare and partially exclude</li> <li>• Agreed by GL and Committee chair</li> <li>• Rationale: One of the studies with a further grant was included as evidence. Therefore he was present for the discussion but excluded from decision making</li> </ul>

			programme to reduce loneliness for people with complex anxiety or depression. PLoS ONE, 15 (5), e0233535, 2020	on the wording of recommendations
Brynmor Lloyd-Evans	Guideline committee member (Topic adviser)	Direct non-financial professional and personal  26/05/2021	Corresponding author of:  Lloyd-Evans, B., The Community Navigator Study: results from a feasibility randomised controlled trial of a programme to reduce loneliness for people with complex anxiety or depression, 2020	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Declare and partially exclude</li> <li>• Agreed by GL and Committee chair</li> <li>• Rationale: One of the studies with a further grant was included as evidence. Therefore he was present for the discussion but excluded from decision making on the wording of recommendations</li> </ul>

The Chair and a senior member of the developer’s team noted that the interests declared allowed the member to be present (for queries and explanations related to the research that was included as evidence) but be partially excluded from decisions about recommendations related to this particular evidence.

The Chair outlined the objectives of the meeting and previous minutes were confirmed as accurate.

**3. Research recommendation process**

Jen Francis, NGA Senior Systematic Reviewer provided and outline of the NICE process for research recommendations, including how the committee is involved. Next steps were discussed.

**4. Evidence review for G1 & G2 – Presentation, discussion and drafting of recommendations**

What is the effectiveness of social and community support approaches (including peer support) in promoting social inclusion of adults with complex needs?

and

Based on the views and experiences of everyone involved, what works well and what could be improved about social and community support (including peer support) to promote social inclusion for adults with complex needs?

and

Fiona Whiter, NGA Systematic Reviewer, and Agnesa Mehmeti, Systematic Reviewer, presented evidence review for both questions.

Rebecca Harrington (the Chair) and Louise Zonko (Topic Group Lead) facilitated the discussion of the evidence and the committee drafted recommendations.

**5. Economics evidence related to G1 and G2 -presentation / update**

James Hawkins, NGA Senior Economist presented the economic evidence and model related to this topic.

**6. Edited recommendations: Section 1.5 The role of social workers within multidisciplinary teams and how they communicate, support and work with others**

Katharina Dworzynski, NGA Guideline Lead, provided an overview of the editorial comments.

The committee clarified the queries from the editor and changes to recommendations were made accordingly and signed-off.

**7. Updating searches for evidence– prioritisation of topics**

Jen Francis, NGA Senior Systematic Reviewer provided an overview of previous topics and suggested topics where updating of searches would be important. The committee discussed the options and decided which of the topics should be updated.

**8. AOB**

Actions were summarised but no other business was raised. The Chair thanked everyone for attending and the meeting ended.

**Date of next meeting:** 30<sup>th</sup> June 2021

**Location of next meeting:** Via Zoom