

## Stroke rehabilitation (update) Guideline Committee meeting 10

**Date:** 26/4/2022

**Location:** Remote meeting

**Minutes:** Final

<b>Committee members present:</b>	
Jon Brown (Chair) (JBr)	Present for notes 1 – 6
Elizabeth Warburton (Topic adviser)	Present for notes 1 – 7
Sara Ajina	Present for notes 1 – 7
Khalid Ali	Present for notes 1 – 7
Jacqueline Benfield (JBe)	Present for notes 1 – 7
Mark Cadman	Present for notes 1 – 7
Catherine Ford	Present for notes 1 – 7
Richard Francis	Present for notes 1 – 7
Stephen Hill	Present for notes 1 – 7
David Hearnden	Present for notes 5
Chandrika Kaviraj	Present for notes 1 – 7
Nicola Moran	Present for notes 1 – 7
Rebecca Palmer	Present for notes 1 – 7
Sarah Paterson	Present for notes 3 – 7
Elizabeth Taylor	Present for notes 1 – 7
Kaye Wood	Present for notes 1 – 7

<b>In attendance:</b>		
Astrid Aregui	Senior Editor Digital Publishing, NICE	Present for notes 5 – 7
Rupert Franklin	Senior Guidelines Commissioning Manager, NICE	Present for notes 1 – 7
Gareth Haman	Senior Medical Editor, NICE	Present for notes 1 – 7
Bernard Higgins	Clinical Director & Guideline Lead, NICE	Present for notes 1 – 7
Kate Lovibond	Health Economics Lead, NICE	Present for notes 1 – 7
Nancy Pursey	Senior Project Manager, NICE	Present for notes 1 – 7

Claire Sloan	Health Economist, NICE	Present for notes 1 – 7
George Wood	Senior Research Fellow, NICE	Present for notes 1 – 7
Madelaine Zucker	Research Fellow, NICE	Present for notes 1 – 7

**Apologies:**

Bethan Dorsett	Senior Digital Editor
Jeanette Grocott	Committee member
Joseph Runicles	Information Specialist
Eugene Tang	Committee member

**1. Welcome, introductions and objectives for the meeting**

The Chair welcomed the Committee members and attendees to the tenth meeting of the Stroke rehabilitation (update) committee.

The Chair informed the Committee that apologies had been received. These are noted above.

The Chair outlined the objectives of the meeting, which included: review evidence for robot assisted arm training and community participation interventions, discussion of methods for modelling the intensity of rehabilitation.

**2. Confirmation of matter under discussion, and declarations of interest**

The Chair confirmed that, for the purpose of managing conflicts of interest, the matters under discussion were robot assisted arm training, community participation interventions and intensity of rehabilitation.

The Chair asked everyone to verbally declare any interests that have arisen since the previous meeting.

JBe declared that she has taken up a three year clinical lectureship which involves research into post-stroke dysphagia interventions.

RP stated that she was on the data management and ethics committee for the Rogers study which is included in the robot assisted arm training review. RP was not involved in the study itself.

NM declared she attended a training session on management of spasticity which was delivered by Allergan.

EW previously declared involvement with the RATULS study which is included in the robot arm training review. It was confirmed that EW's involvement was limited to

securing the grant and planning the study, EW was not involved in the study itself.

The register of interests was reviewed prior to the meeting. The Chair and Guideline Lead confirmed that the interests declared did not prevent the attendees from fully participating in the meeting.

### **3. Evidence review: robot-assisted arm training**

MZ and CS presented the evidence for the clinical and cost effectiveness of robot-assisted arm training. The committee discussed the evidence and draft recommendations.

### **4. Model methods: Intensity of rehabilitation**

CS gave a presentation on methods for developing a model for intensity of rehabilitation. CS will continue with the modelling work and present an update at the next committee meeting.

### **5. Evidence review: community participation interventions**

GW and CS presented the evidence for the clinical and cost effectiveness of community participation interventions. The committee discussed the evidence and draft recommendations.

### **6. Role of the NICE editors**

GH gave a presentation on the role of the NICE editors.

### **7. AOB**

The possibility of publishing some guideline content or research recommendations prior to the full publication was previously raised and discussed by the committee at guideline committee meetings 7, 8 and 9. The committee revisited this topic at guideline committee meeting 10. RF reminded the committee that the request for interim publication was previously discussed within NICE at a guideline progress meeting and this is not feasible within current NICE processes, as reported to the committee at guideline committee meeting 9.

BH gave an update that members of the National Guideline Centre team met with members of the team developing the Stroke working party guideline.

**Date of next meeting:** Friday 24 June 2022, 1000 -1600

**Location of next meeting:** Remote meeting