

Harmful Sexual Behaviour Committee meeting

Date: 09/09/15

Location: Prince Philip House, 3 Carlton House Terrace
London SW1Y 5DG

NICE National Institute for
Health and Care Excellence

Minutes: Final

Committee members present:	
Catherine Law (Chair)	Present for notes 1 – 6
John MacLeod (Core)	Present for notes 1 – 6
David McDaid (Core)	Present for notes 1 – 6
Jon Brown (Topic)	Present for notes 1 – 6
Simon Hackett (Topic)	Present for notes 1 – 6
Abdullah Kraam (Topic)	Present for notes 1 – 6
Stephen Barry (Topic)	Present for notes 1 – 6
Maeve Murphy (Topic)	Present for notes 1 – 6
Tammy Banks (Community Topic)	Present for notes 1 – 6
Ann Nevinson (Community Core)	Present for notes 1 – 6
Stuart Lines (Core)	Present for notes 1 – 6

In attendance:		
Una Canning	Analyst	Present for notes 1 – 6
Rachel Kettle	Technical Lead	Present for notes 1 – 6
Alastair Fischer	Health Economic	Present for notes 1 – 6
Jennifer Heaton	Assistant Project Manager	Present for notes 1 – 6
Fiona Campbell	ScHARR	Present for notes 1 – 6
Simon Hackett	ScHARR	Present for notes 1 – 6
Clive Pritchard	Matrix	Present for notes 1 – 6
Tracey Jhita	Matrix	Present for notes 1 – 6

Observers:

Fiona Glen	Present for notes 1 – 6
Sharon Hopkins	Present for notes 1 – 6
Josephine Kavanagh	Present for notes 1 – 6
Lucy Rutter	Present for notes 1 – 6

Apologies:	
None	

1. Welcome and objectives for the meeting	
<p>The Chair welcomed the Committee members and attendees to the 3rd meeting on Harmful Sexual Behaviour. The Committee members and attendees introduced themselves.</p> <p>The Chair welcomed the members of the public to the meeting. The members of the public had been briefed already, both verbally and in writing by the NICE team, and the Chair reminded them of the protocol for members of the public, whose role is to observe (they should not speak or ask questions). No filming or recording of the meeting is permitted. The Chair reminded all present that the Committee is independent and advisory, that its decisions and recommendations to NICE do not represent final NICE guidance, and that they may be changed as a result of public consultation.</p> <p>The Chair informed the Committee that no apologies had been received.</p> <p>The Chair outlined the objectives of the meeting, which included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Receive an update on the final draft of review one on ‘interventions’ including any additional analysis; • Hear a presentation on progress to date on review two on ‘identification’ and discuss the results and future actions; • Hear a presentation on the final economic plan and draft model and, • Review list of expert testimony invitees, including confirmation of attendance at October and November PHACs. 	
2. Confirmation of matter under discussion, and declarations of interest	
<p>The Chair confirmed that, for the purpose of managing conflicts of interest, the matter under discussion was ‘Harmful Sexual Behaviour: identifying and helping</p>	

children and young people who display harmful sexual behaviour'

The Chair asked everyone to verbally declare any interests that have arisen since the last meeting. No additional declarations of interest were made.

3. Minutes of last meeting – 30th June 2015

The Committee agreed that the minutes were a true and accurate account of the meeting.

The Chair confirmed that all matters arising had been completed or were in hand.

Action: JH to ensure that final minutes of PHAC 2 are published on the NICE website

4. Presentations

Una gave an update on proposed meeting between CAN and HSB guideline and cross referencing with other NICE guidelines.

She explained that cross referencing is an ongoing process and NICE will review this as the guideline progresses

Action: Committee to email RK/UC their top 5 relevant guidelines from other areas of NICE, with reference to paper 11.6, so that the team can provide more detail of the selected recommendations.

The Committee identified that the ongoing child abuse social care guideline, which is due to publish in Sept 2017, is important and that the team will have to update that guideline on how the harmful sexual behaviour guideline is developing

ACTION Team to invite social care lead to November PHAC

Action: NICE to discuss the Abuse and Neglect guideline internally to ensure cross-over and gaps are being managed effectively between the two guidelines.

The Chair introduced Fiona Campbell, SchARR who gave an update on the final draft of evidence review one on 'interventions' including any additional analysis.

Fiona described the review search and findings and explained the method of integrating quantitative and qualitative studies.

The Chair thanked Fiona for her presentation.

The Chair introduced Simon Hackett, Durham University, who gave a presentation on effectiveness review 2 and reported on the studies included and identified gaps to date on the review of 'tools for identification and assessment of HSB.'

The Chair thanked Simon for his presentation.

The Chair introduced Tracey Jhita from Optimity Matrix who presented on the final economic plan and draft model and the effectiveness and economic data identified to date.

The Chair thanked Tracey for her presentation.

Discussion arising from the presentations included:

- For the economic model, whether all economic benefits had been captured, and the challenges of defining "usual care" and time to re-offending
- The absence of evidence on problematic harmful sexual behaviour, which is less likely to incur costs in the criminal justice sector
- Academic in confidence studies and their availability to NICE for the purposes of informing this guideline
- A possible recommendation on how to work with families

Action: NICE to contact UCL and NSPCC colleagues to see if they can share evidence/findings

Lunch

The Committee discussed the presentations from the morning and considered best available evidence.

Discussion included:

- The distinction between types of families, i.e. difference between adoptive, birth, residential and foster carers
- The relationship between conduct disorders and harmful sexual behaviour
- The criteria for receiving certain amounts or types of interventions

- The ideal study design for evaluating an assessment tool

The Committee discussed paper 11.10 which detailed expert testimony. They agreed the service user testimony from the NSPCC will be held in a private section of the meeting.

Action: NICE to discuss with the patient involvement lead. Patient involvement lead to confirm context of 'meeting in public' to service user and advocate

5. Questions and discussion

The Chair summarised the next steps – the next committee meeting will be held on the 13 October meeting.

6. Any other business

None

Date of next meeting: 13/10/15

Location of next meeting: Prince Philip House, 3 Carlton House Terrace
London SW1Y 5DG