

## 1.0.7 DOC EIA

# NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

## NICE guidelines

### Equality impact assessment

### Eating disorders: treatment and recognition

The impact on equality has been assessed during guidance development according to the principles of the NICE equality policy.

#### **3.0 Guideline development: before consultation (to be completed by the Developer before consultation on the draft guideline)**

3.1 Have the potential equality issues identified during the scoping process been addressed by the Committee, and, if so, how?

The following equality issues were identified during the scoping process:

Co-morbidities conditions (i.e. diabetes)

Preventative action

Outcomes for families

Inclusiveness of all eating disorders

Age groups

Gender

Ethnicity

Geographical location

Comorbidities were addressed specifically in the guideline. Recommendations were made addressing how to treat someone with a comorbidity, including diabetes.

Prevention was considered outside of the scope.

Outcomes for families was covered in a specific review question.

Recommendations were made under general principles of care about how to include families in the assessment, treatment and management of those with an eating disorder.

All eating disorders within the scope were addressed including anorexia nervosa, bulimia nervosa, binge eating disorder and EDNOS.

## 1.0.7 DOC EIA

3.1 Have the potential equality issues identified during the scoping process been addressed by the Committee, and, if so, how?

Evidence from all age groups were included in the review and recommendations were made on for different age groups where there was evidence.

Gender and ethnicity were considered under the general principles of care, ensuring that people of any gender or religion, believe, culture or family origin have equal access to care

Geographical location was highlighted as an area of concern for coordinating care. Ensuring good communication between services should a person with an eating disorder move or study in a different part of the country.

3.2 Have any **other** potential equality issues (in addition to those identified during the scoping process) been identified, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed them?

No

3.3 Have the Committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the guideline for consultation, and, if so, where?

Yes, we covered these issues in the review protocols and the description of linking evidence to recommendations.

3.4 Do the preliminary recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access services compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

We do not expect that the preliminary recommendations will create access difficulties based on the equality characteristics.

### 1.0.7 DOC EIA

3.5 Is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

No

3.6 Are there any recommendations or explanations that the Committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access to services identified in questions 3.1, 3.2 or 3.3, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligation to advance equality?

Please see 3.1 about the recommendations generated by the committee to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access to services.

Completed by Developer Gary Waltham, Senior Director

Date 03/11/2016

Approved by NICE quality assurance lead Sarah Willett, Guideline Lead

Date 15/11/2016