

#### 4.0.3 DOC Cmte minutes

### Cataracts Guideline Committee – development

**Date:** 19/07/2016 - 20/07/2017

**Location:** Derwent, NICE London

**Minutes:** Final



<b>Committee members present:</b>		
	<b>Day 1</b>	<b>Day 2</b>
Mike Burdon (Chair) (MB)	Present for all	Present for all
Kamal Bishai (KB)	Present for all	Present for all
Arthur Brill (AB)	Present for all	Present for all
Emily Lam (EL)	Present from partway through item 2	Present for all
Janet Marsden (JM)	Present for all	Apologies
Gillian Rudduck (GR)	Present for all	Present for all
Yvonne Needham (YN)	Present for all	Present for all
Geoff Roberson (GR)	Apologies	Present for all
Paul Rosen (PR)	Present for all	Present for all
Nick Wilson-Holt (NWH)	Present for all	Present for all
Jennifer Yip (JY)	Present for all	Present for all

<b>In attendance:</b>		
	<b>Day 1</b>	<b>Day 2</b>
Rupert Franklin (RF) Commissioning Manager	Present for all	Apologies
Chris Gibbons (CG) Health Economist	Present for all	Present for all
Wes Hubbard (WH) Information Specialist	Present for all	Present for all
Adam O'Keefe (AO) Project Manager	Present for all	Present for all
Joshua Pink (JP) Technical Advisor	Present for all	Present for all
Stephen Robinson (SR) Technical Analyst	Present for all	Present for all

#### 4.0.3 DOC Cmte minutes

<b>Apologies:</b>	
Keith Allman (KA)	Co-opted member
Michael Glowala (MG)	Co-opted member
Ruth O’Dea (RO)	Co-opted member
Mary Russell (MR)	Co-opted member
Sue Ellerby (SE)	ICG – Consultant Clinical Adviser
Gabriel Rogers (GR)	ICG – Technical Advisor (HE)
Sue Spiers (SS)	ICG – Associate Director

### **Day 1**

#### **1. Welcome and objectives for the meeting**

The Chair welcomed the Committee members and attendees to day one of the ninth meeting on Cataracts.

Apologies were noted, as recorded above.

The Chair asked each attendee to declare any new conflicts.

No conflicts were declared. It was agreed that all committee members were eligible to attend the committee meeting and contribute to the discussions and drafting of any recommendations.

The minutes were reviewed from GComm 8 and agreed to be an accurate record, subject to minor amendments.

The Chair provided a brief overview and objectives of the day highlighting the information that would be discussed.

#### **2.**

**Review Question 18: Are different lens design (aspheric vs. spheric, plate vs. loop) effective in improving postoperative vision (refractive outcomes, optical aberrations) in cataract surgery?**

**Review Question 19: Are different lens design (square-edged vs. round-edge, plate vs. loop) and material (hydrophilic acrylic, hydrophobic acrylic, collagen, hydroxyethyl methacrylate-based vs. silicone-based) effective in preventing**

### 4.0.3 DOC Cmte minutes

#### posterior capsule opacification in cataract surgery?

NWH provided a brief clinical introduction to the topic for the benefit of committee members and attendees.

JP provided a recap of the relevant review protocols and presented the evidence for questions 18 & 19 for the committee's consideration. CG presented the available health economics evidence for the questions.

The committee considered the evidence presented and drafted four recommendations and three research recommendations.

#### 3. Review of draft recommendations to date

The committee reviewed the draft recommendations made to date and suggested minor amendments to the wording of some recommendations. It was agreed that once the suggested amendments had been made an updated version of the draft recommendations will be circulated with the post meeting papers.

#### Review question 22: What is the optimal strategy to address pre-existing astigmatism in people undergoing cataract surgery?

As the meeting was running ahead of schedule, Agenda item 3 from Day 2 (RQ22) was presented ahead of schedule.

PR provided a brief clinical introduction to the topic for the benefit of committee members and attendees.

SR provided a recap of the review protocol and presented the evidence for question 22 for the committee's consideration. CG presented the available health economics evidence for the question.

The committee considered the evidence presented and drafted one research recommendation.

#### 4. Next steps

The Chair thanked the group for their contributions and confirmed that Day 2 would commence at 10:00.

## Day 2

#### 1. Welcome and objectives for the meeting

The Chair welcomed the Committee members and attendees to day 2 of the ninth meeting on Cataracts.

### 4.0.3 DOC Cmte minutes

Apologies were noted, as recorded above.

The Chair asked each attendee to declare any new conflicts.

No new conflicts were declared. It was agreed that all committee members were eligible to attend the committee meeting and contribute to the discussions and drafting of any recommendations.

The Chair provided a brief overview and objectives of the day highlighting the information that would be discussed. The Committee continued their discussion on the evidence presented on the previous day for **review question 22**. The committee reconsidered and agreed the review protocol for the question.

The committee considered the evidence presented and made one recommendation and one additional research recommendation.

#### **2. Review question 20: Are tinted lenses effective in preventing the progression of age-related macular degeneration compared with colourless lenses in cataract surgery?**

NWH provided a brief clinical introduction to the topic for the benefit of committee members and attendees.

SR provided a recap of the review protocol and presented the evidence for the committee's consideration.

The committee considered the evidence presented and drafted one research recommendation.

#### **3. Review question 22: What is the optimal strategy to address pre-existing astigmatism in people undergoing cataract surgery?**

This item was discussed at the end of Day 1 and beginning of Day 2.

#### **4. Health Economics Update**

CG presented the committee with preliminary information on the parameterisation of the proposed economic model for the guideline.

The committee discussed the economic model and advised where amendments were required.

It was agreed that the suggested amendments would be incorporated into the model and an updated version of the economic model circulated to the Committee with the post meeting papers.

#### **5. Next steps**

The Chair thanked the group for their contributions and confirmed the next meeting

### 4.0.3 DOC Cmte minutes

will be held in Manchester.

**Date of next meeting:** Wednesday 7 September 2016

**Location of next meeting:** NICE offices, Manchester