

# Physical Activity and the Environment

## Evidence Reviews – Appendix 3

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20

Contents.....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
1. Search Strategy.....	1
Search methods.....	2
Search strategy: transport interventions .....	5
Search strategy: open space interventions.....	9
Website searching .....	14
2. Review Protocols.....	17
3. Quality Appraisal Checklists.....	63
Quality of Included Studies .....	75
Effectiveness Studies.....	75
Before and After Studies .....	79
Qualitative Studies .....	82
4. Excluded Studies.....	84
5. Non-Comparative Studies (Excluded) .....	99

21 **1. Search Strategy**

22

23 **Search methods**

24 A systematic search of electronic databases was conducted to identify relevant studies. Searching took place on 22-24 June 2016 for transport  
25 interventions and on 29 June 2016 for open space interventions. The strategies were developed by an information specialist at NICE and peer  
26 reviewed by a colleague from the same team.

27

28 The databases were searched using a combination of subject headings and free-text terms arranged in the following format:

- 29 • (Physical activity AND Transport interventions) OR Named interventions AND 2006-2016 AND Limits
- 30 • (Physical activity AND Open space interventions) AND 2006-2016 AND Limits

31

32

33 Date limits were applied to restrict the database results to 2006-Current. Database functionality was used, where available, to exclude:

- 34 • non-English language papers
- 35 • studies reporting experiments on animals
- 36 • editorials, letters and commentaries
- 37 • conference abstracts and posters
- 38 • theses and dissertations
- 39 • duplicates.

40

41 The search strategy was developed in MEDLINE (Ovid interface, 1946 to June 2016) after discussing with PHAC members at meeting 0 in  
42 June 2016. The strategy was adapted for use in the other databases, taking into account their size, search functionality and subject coverage.  
43 The following databases were searched:

44

- 45 • Applied Social Science Index and Abstracts (ASSIA) via ProQuest - 1987-Current
- 46 • Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) via Wiley - Issue 5 of 12, May 2016
- 47 • Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSR) via Wiley - Issue 6 of 12, June 2016
- 48 • Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effects (DARE) via Wiley - Issue 2 of 4, April 2015 (last date of entry, no longer being updated)

## Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

- 49 • Embase via Ovid - 1974 to 2016 June 22 [transport interventions]; 1974 to 2016 Week 26 [open space]
- 50 • Greenfile via EBSCO
- 51 • Health Management Information Consortium (HMIC) via Ovid - 1979 to May 2016
- 52 • MEDLINE via Ovid - 1946 to June Week 3 2016
- 53 • MEDLINE-in-Process via Ovid - Ovid MEDLINE(R) In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations June 22, 2016 [transport interventions]; June
- 54 28, 2016 [open space]
- 55 • Social Policy and Practice (SPP) via Ovid - 201604
- 56 • Transport via Ovid - Pre-1987 to May 2016
- 57 • Trials Register of Promoting Health Interventions (TRoPHI) via <https://epi.ioe.ac.uk/webdatabases4/Intro.aspx?ID=12>

58

59 The following additional databases were searched to identify cost effectiveness literature:

- 60 • Benefit-Costs Results via <http://www.wsipp.wa.gov/BenefitCost>
- 61 • Health Technology Assessment database via Wiley - Issue 2 of 4, April 2016
- 62 • EconLit via Ovid - 1886 to May 2016
- 63 • EconPapers via <http://econpapers.repec.org>
- 64 • NHS Economics Evaluation Database (NHS EED) via Wiley - Issue 2 of 4, April 2015 (last date of entry, no longer being updated)

65

66 The MEDLINE strategy is presented below and the full strategies for the other sources are available on request.

67

68 The database searches were supplemented by extracting potentially relevant references from:

- 69 • The draft scope consultation for this topic
- 70 • NICE Evidence Update (April 2014) Physical activity and the environment
- 71 • Papers cited in the surveillance review “Consideration of an update of the public health guidance on ‘Physical activity and the environment’
- 72 (PH8). April 2014”.
- 73 • The internal NICE document “What’s new in your subject? Public health” from January 2015 to June 2016
- 74 • Suggestions received from topic experts, committee members, stakeholders and others

## Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

75 • Papers marked as possibly relevant during the screening process for “Surveillance report - physical activity: walking and cycling (2012)  
76 NICE guideline PH41”

77 • Papers cited in a forthcoming systematic review received in confidence.

78 The results from this reference harvesting were added to the relevant EndNote files.

79

80 For transport interventions, the 10,060 results (10041 from database searching and 19 from reference harvesting) were processed in EndNote  
81 and 2325 duplicates were removed using a combination of automated and manual processes. There were 7735 records remaining after  
82 removing the duplicates. The 7735 records were exported from EndNote in a ris file for uploading to EPPI-Reviewer.

83

84 For the open space interventions, the 10,366 results (10292 from database searching and 74 from reference harvesting) were processed in  
85 EndNote and 2578 duplicates were removed using a combination of automated and manual processes. There were 7788 records remaining  
86 after removing the duplicates. The 7788 records were exported from EndNote in a ris file for uploading to EPPI-Reviewer.

87

88 Systematic reviews potentially relevant to any of the review questions were marked during the screening process for further investigation. The  
89 reference lists of 18 relevant systematic reviews that closely met the inclusion criteria were checked. Of the studies included in these  
90 systematic reviews, twenty three appeared relevant and were screened at title and abstract. Full papers were ordered for 7 studies. Of these, 4  
91 were included.

92

93 A search of relevant websites was conducted from 1 to 5 August 2016. The websites were selected after consultation with PHAC members at  
94 meeting 0 in June 2016 and they are listed below. The websites were browsed on screen and the details of documents relevant to any of the  
95 review questions were added to a Word document. 259 results were recorded in Word for initial screening.

Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

96 **Search strategy: transport interventions**  
 97 **Database name:** MEDLINE  
 98 **Date searched:** 23 June 2016  
 99 **Searcher:** Paul Levay  
 100 **QA:** Tom Hudson  
 101 **Database platform:** Ovid  
 102 **Database version:** Ovid MEDLINE(R) 1946 to June Week 3 2016  
 103 **No. of results:** 3170  
 104 **Ovid MEDLINE(R)** 1946 to June Week 3 2016

105 Search Strategy:

#	Searches	Results
1	Physical Fitness/	24137
2	Recreation/	5913
3	hobbies/	836
4	leisure activities/	7225
5	Exercise/	78946
6	exp running/	15973
7	exp walking/	24628
8	bicycling/	8984
9	motor activity/	87976
10	((physical or leisure) adj1 (fit* or train* or activit* or endurance* or exercis*) adj3 (barrier* or hinder* or block* or obstacle* or restrict* or restrain* or obstruct* or inhibit* or imped* or delay* or constrain* or hindrance or decreas* or reduc* or discourag* or prevent* or refus* or facilitat* or uptak* or taking up or take up or increas* or impact* or effect* or improv* or enhanc* or encourag* or support* or promot* or optimiz* or optimis* or adher* or access* or motivat* or accept* or satisfaction or compliance or comply or complie* or availab* or provision or provid* or offer or incentiv* or start* or attend* or utiliz* or utilis* or sustain* or maintain* or disincentiv* or higher* or lower* or affect*)).ti,ab.	27347

## Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

11	(outdoor* adj3 (activit* or pursuit* or experience* or adventure* or event*) adj3 (barrier* or hinder* or block* or obstacle* or restrict* or restrain* or obstruct* or inhibit* or imped* or delay* or constrain* or hindrance or decreas* or reduc* or discourag* or prevent* or refus* or facilitat* or uptak* or taking up or take up or increas* or impact* or effect* or improv* or enhanc* or encourag* or support* or promot* or optimiz* or optimis* or adher* or access* or motivat* or accept* or satisfaction or compliance or comply or complie* or availab* or provision or provid* or offer or incentiv* or start* or attend* or utiliz* or utilis* or sustain* or maintain* or disincentiv* or higher* or lower* or affect*)).ti,ab.	219
12	((bicycle* or exercis* or fitness or walking* or running* or exertion or jogging* or bicycling* or cycling* or bike*1 or biking or hobbies or hobby or rollerskat* or roller skat* or roller blad* or rollerblad* or hike* or hiking or rambling* or rambler* or strength training or resilience training) adj3 (barrier* or hinder* or block* or obstacle* or restrict* or restrain* or obstruct* or inhibit* or imped* or delay* or constrain* or hindrance or decreas* or reduc* or discourag* or prevent* or refus* or facilitat* or uptak* or taking up or take up or increas* or impact* or effect* or improv* or enhanc* or encourag* or support* or promot* or optimiz* or optimis* or adher* or access* or motivat* or accept* or satisfaction or compliance or comply or complie* or availab* or provision or provid* or offer or incentiv* or start* or attend* or utiliz* or utilis* or sustain* or maintain* or disincentiv* or higher* or lower* or affect*)).ti,ab.	95350
13	((active* or multimodal* or multi-modal* or mixed or healthy or healthier or modal* shift*) adj3 (travel* or trip*1 or transport* or commute* or commuting)).ti,ab.	13162
14	(active* adj3 (living or lifestyle* or ageing or aging or play* or game*)).ti,ab.	7584
15	(physical activit* adj3 (daily or everyday* or incidental* or intens*)).ti,ab.	4167
16	((avoid* or barrier* or hinder* or block* or obstacle* or restrict* or restrain* or obstruct* or inhibit* or imped* or delay* or constrain* or hindrance or decreas* or reduc* or discourag* or prevent*) adj3 (sedentary or deskbound* or desk bound* or inactiv*)).ti,ab.	12668
17	or/1-16	320753
18	Transportation/	7998
19	exp Motor Vehicles/	17234
20	exp Railroads/	2584
21	Automobile Driving/	15694
22	parking facilities/	342

## Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

23	((cycle* or cycling or bike* or bicycl* or cyclist*) adj4 (route* or lane* or path* or trail* or infrastructure* or network* or corridor* or facilit* or storage* or store* or storing or rack* or park* or equipment* or segregat* or highway* or superhighway* or hire* or hiring)).ti,ab.	7906
24	((walk* or pedestrian*1) adj4 (route* or lane* or path* or trail* or infrastructure* or network* or corridor*)).ti,ab.	1130
25	(speed* adj3 (hump* or bump* or limit* or restrict* or reduc* or chicane* or camera* or mph or miles per hour or "m.p.h." or kph or "k.p.h." or kilometres per hour or kilometers per hour)).ti,ab.	4511
26	((limit* or restrict*) adj3 (mph or miles per hour or "m.p.h." or kph or "k.p.h." or kilometres per hour or kilometers per hour)).ti,ab.	79
27	((automobile* or autos or car or cars or motorcycle* or motorbike* or traffic or vehic* or motoring) adj3 (restrict* or restrain* or inhibit* or impeded* or delay* or constrain* or decreas* or reduc* or discourag* or prevent* or disincentiv*)).ti,ab.	4479
28	((car or cars) adj3 (use* or usage* or trip* or journey* or share* or sharing or pool* or club*)).ti,ab.	887
29	((driver*1 or motorist*) adj3 (behaviour* or behavior*)).ti,ab.	858
30	((congestion or "rush hour" or tailback* or "tail back*" or road*) adj3 (charge* or charging or price or pricing or zone* or toll or tolls or pay or payment* or levy or levies or tax* or tariff* or duty or duties)).ti,ab.	199
31	((road* or street* or highway*) adj3 (safety or open or calm* or closing or closure* or restrict* or limit* or play* or design* or redesign* or layout* or placement* or chicane* or furniture*)).ti,ab.	2931
32	((junction* or intersection* or roundabout* or pavement* or sidewalk* or footpath* or trail* or kerb* or paving*) adj3 (safety or design* or redesign* or layout* or placement* or repair* or disrepair* or fix or fixing or maintenance* or broke* or dropped or dropping)).ti,ab.	933
33	((road* or street* or highway* or pedestrian*1 or zebra or toucan or pelican or puffin or cone or tactile) adj3 crossing*).ti,ab.	438
34	((parking* or car park*) adj3 (restrict* or restrain* or inhibit* or impeded* or delay* or constrain* or decreas* or reduc* or discourag* or prevent* or disincentiv* or workplace* or ratio* or density or densities or charge* or charging or price or pricing or zone* or toll or tolls or pay or payment* or levy or levies or tax* or control*)).ti,ab.	65
35	((shared or share or sharing or allocat* or reallocat* or segregat* or demarcat* or tactile) adj3 (space* or street* or road* or highway* or route* or walkway* or pavement* or footpath* or path* or lane* or trail* or surface*)).ti,ab.	6586

## Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

36	(railtrail* or rail trail* or busway* or bus way or playstreet* or play street* or school street* or schoolstreet* or walkab* or safe* route* or cycleway* or cycle way* or traffic calm* or green corridor* or pedestrianis* or pedestrianiz* or carpool* or streetscap* or LEZ or low emission* zone* or rideshar*).ti,ab.	855
37	((bus or buses) adj4 (route* or lane* or infrastructure* or network* or corridor*).ti,ab.	105
38	((public or community or affordable or rural or sustainable* or green) adj3 (travel* or transport*).ti,ab.	1928
39	((mechanised or mechanized or motor*4 or personal or private) adj3 (travel* or transport* or vehicle*) adj3 (restrict* or restrain* or inhibit* or impeded* or delay* or constrain* or decreas* or reduc* or discourag* or prevent* or disincentiv*).ti,ab.	460
40	((railway* or light rail* or railroad* or bus or buses or tram or trams or tramway* or train or trains or streetcar* or subway* or underground rail* or non-auto or non-motor*4) adj3 (increas* or improv* or enhanc* or encourag* or support* or promot* or optimiz* or optimis* or adher* or access* or availab* or provision or provid* or offer or incentiv* or start* or utiliz* or utilis* or sustain* or maintain*).ti,ab.	1732
41	(park adj2 ride*).ti,ab.	14
42	or/18-41	70224
43	17 and 42	5085
44	(ciclovía* or iconnect* or connect2* or guided bus* or "cycling city" or "cycling cities" or "walking city" or "walking cities" or Bikeability or "Cycling Cities and Towns" or "cycling demonstrator town*" or ipen or "International Physical activity and Environment Network" or open streets or dutch roundabout* or bikeshar*).ti,ab.	110
45	43 or 44	5148
46	animals/ not humans/	4233089
47	45 not 46	4782
48	limit 47 to (letter or historical article or comment or editorial or news)	168
49	47 not 48	4614
50	limit 49 to english language	4381
51	limit 50 to yr="2006-Current"	3332



Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

52 remove duplicates from 51

3170

106

107 **Search strategy – open space interventions**

108

109 **Database name:** Medline

110 **Date searched:** 29 June 2016

111 **Searcher:** Paul Levay

112 **QA:** Tom Hudson

113 **Database platform:** Ovid

114 **Database version:** Ovid MEDLINE(R) 1946 to June Week 3 2016

115 **No. of results:** 3517

116 Database(s): **Ovid MEDLINE(R)** 1946 to June Week 3 2016

117 Search Strategy:

#	Searches	Results
1	Physical Fitness/	24137
2	Dancing/	2171
3	gardening/	679
4	Recreation/	5913
5	hobbies/	836
6	leisure activities/	7225
7	Exercise/	78946
8	exp Exercise Movement Techniques/	6142
9	exp Sports/	147020
10	exp walking/	24628
11	motor activity/	87976

## Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

- 12 ((physical or leisure) adj1 (fit\* or train\* or activit\* or endurance\* or exercis\*) adj3 (barrier\* or hinder\* or block\* or obstacle\* or restrict\* or restrain\* or obstruct\* or inhibit\* or impeded\* or delay\* or constrain\* or hindrance or decreas\* or reduc\* or discourag\* or prevent\* or refus\* or facilitat\* or uptak\* or taking up or take up or increas\* or impact\* or effect\* or improv\* or enhanc\* or encourag\* or support\* or promot\* or optimiz\* or optimis\* or adher\* or access\* or motivat\* or accept\* or satisfaction or compliance or comply or complie\* or availab\* or provision or provid\* or offer or incentiv\* or start\* or attend\* or utiliz\* or utilis\* or sustain\* or maintain\* or disincentiv\* or higher\* or lower\* or affect\*)) .ti,ab. 27347
- 13 (outdoor\* adj3 (activit\* or pursuit\* or experience\* or adventure\* or event\*) adj3 (barrier\* or hinder\* or block\* or obstacle\* or restrict\* or restrain\* or obstruct\* or inhibit\* or impeded\* or delay\* or constrain\* or hindrance or decreas\* or reduc\* or discourag\* or prevent\* or refus\* or facilitat\* or uptak\* or taking up or take up or increas\* or impact\* or effect\* or improv\* or enhanc\* or encourag\* or support\* or promot\* or optimiz\* or optimis\* or adher\* or access\* or motivat\* or accept\* or satisfaction or compliance or comply or complie\* or availab\* or provision or provid\* or offer or incentiv\* or start\* or attend\* or utiliz\* or utilis\* or sustain\* or maintain\* or disincentiv\* or higher\* or lower\* or affect\*)) .ti,ab. 219
- 14 ((bicycle\* or aqua\* or exercis\* or fitness or walking\* or running\* or sport\* or yoga or tai ji or tai chi or swim\* or exertion or jogging\* or bicycling\* or cycling\* or bike\* or biking or dancing or dance\* or gardening\* or hobbies or hobby or athletic\* or boxing\* or football\* or golf\* or gym\* or hockey\* or martial art\* or karate\* or judo or mountaineer\* or rugby\* or skating\* or soccer\* or ski\* or snowboard\* or snow board\* or volleyball\* or water ski\* or wakeboard\* or weight lift\* or wrestling\* or tennis\* or badminton\* or canoe\* or yacht\* or rowing or kayak\* or bodyboard\* or windsurf\* or sail\* or basketball\* or netball\* or cricket\* or baseball\* or lacrosse\* or rollerskat\* or roller skat\* or roller blad\* or rollerblad\* or hike\* or hiking or rambling\* or rambles or orienteering\* or climbing or abseil\* or aerobics or pilates or "keep fit" or circuits or strength training or resilience training) adj3 (barrier\* or hinder\* or block\* or obstacle\* or restrict\* or restrain\* or obstruct\* or inhibit\* or impeded\* or delay\* or constrain\* or hindrance or decreas\* or reduc\* or discourag\* or prevent\* or refus\* or facilitat\* or uptak\* or taking up or take up or increas\* or impact\* or effect\* or improv\* or enhanc\* or encourag\* or support\* or promot\* or optimiz\* or optimis\* or adher\* or access\* or motivat\* or accept\* or satisfaction or compliance or comply or complie\* or availab\* or provision or provid\* or offer or incentiv\* or start\* or attend\* or utiliz\* or utilis\* or sustain\* or maintain\* or disincentiv\* or higher\* or lower\* or affect\*)) .ti,ab. 193387
- 15 ((active\* or multimodal\* or multi-modal\* or mixed or healthy or healthier or modal\* shift\*) adj3 (travel\* or trip\* or transport\* or commute\* or commuting)) .ti,ab. 13162
- 16 (active\* adj3 (living or lifestyle\* or ageing or aging or play\* or game\*)) .ti,ab. 7584
- 17 (physical activit\* adj3 (daily or everyday\* or incidental\* or intens\*)) .ti,ab. 4167

## Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

18 ((avoid* or barrier* or hinder* or block* or obstacle* or restrict* or restrain* or obstruct* or inhibit* or impeded* or delay* or constrain* or hindrance or decreas* or reduc* or discourag* or prevent*) adj3 (sedentary or deskbound* or desk bound* or inactiv*)).ti,ab.	12668
19 or/1-18	474847
20 Forests/	2342
21 Wetlands/	4497
22 exp fresh water/	45821
23 wilderness/	183
24 trees/	21431
25 bathing beaches/	960
26 public facilities/	1023
27 parks, recreational/	106
28 cities/	11279
29 urban population/	51695
30 urbanization/	4785
31 or/20-30	137247
32 Esthetics/	9666
33 environment design/	4630
34 "Environmental Restoration and Remediation"/	5507
35 Conservation of Natural Resources/	30859
36 "Architecture as Topic"/	2430
37 Toilet facilities/	1255

## Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

38	parking facilities/	342
39	"Play and playthings"/	7812
40	health promotion/	61011
41	exp social planning/	9425
42	Health Impact Assessment/	316
43	exp Public Policy/	120310
44	exp Policy Making/	21302
45	or/32-44	250272
46	31 and 45	11139
	(natural environment* or nature reserve* or nature preserve* or moors or moorland* or heathland* or rural or countryside* or green* or field* or garden*1 or allotment* or outdoor* or park or parks or parkland* or wood or woods or woodland* or forest* or tree* or wetland* or river* or lake* or pond* or canal* or	
47	waterway* or sea or seaside* or seashore* or beach* or coast* or foreshore* or piazza* or plaza* or square* or public space* or public realm* or public land* or common land* or recreation* space* or recreation* area* or recreation* ground* or conservation* or footpath* or pedestrianis* or pedestrianiz* or piazza* or pavement* or sidewalk* or footpath* or streetscape* or openspace* or open space* or greyspace* or grey space* or bluespace* or blue space*).ti,ab.	1166021
48	((urban* or town* or city* or cities* or neighbourhood or neighborhood*) adj4 (environment* or square* or plaza* or space*)).ti,ab.	6548
49	47 or 48	1169721
50	(impact adj4 assess*).ti,ab.	30770
51	((local or regional* or city or cities or county* or council* or neighbourhood* or neighborhood* or town* or administration*) adj3 (plan or plans or planning or policy or policies or recommendation* or strategy or strategies or guidance* or guideline*)).ti,ab.	12353
52	(planning adj4 (application* or policy or policies or recommendation* or strategy or strategies or guidance* or guideline*)).ti,ab.	7033
53	((cycle* or cycling or bike* or bicycl* or cyclist*) adj4 (route* or lane* or path* or trail* or infrastructure* or network* or corridor* or facilit* or storage* or store* or storing or rack* or park* or equipment* or segregat* or highway* or superhighway* or hire* or hiring)).ti,ab.	7906

## Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

54	((pavement* or sidewalk* or footpath* or trail*) adj4 (safety or design* or redesign* or layout* or placement* or sign or signs or signpost* or signage or wayfind* or way find*)).ti,ab.	287
55	((walk* or pedestrian*1) adj4 (route* or lane* or path* or trail* or infrastructure* or network* or corridor*)).ti,ab.	1130
56	(play* adj4 (ground* or area* or facility or facilities or amenit* or equipment* or space*)).ti,ab.	2862
57	(playground* or playspace*).ti,ab.	1093
58	or/50-57	62430
59	49 and 58	8418
60	(eaprs or "environmental assessment of public recreation spaces" or soparc or "System for Observing Play and Recreation in Communities" or "healthy town*" or "healthy new town*" or "age friendly city*" or "age friendly cities" or "urban 40" or "pocket park*" or "play street*" or "health* street*").ti,ab.	70
61	(environment* adj4 (campaign* or interven* or program* or project* or initiative* or scheme*)).ti,ab.	7133
62	((pavement* or sidewalk* or sign or signs or signpost* or signage or wayfind* or way find* or path* or trail* or footpath* or age friendl* or lighting or fencing or traffic* or securit* or securing or cycleway* or cycle way* or cycling* or bicycling* or transport* or parking or car park* or toilet* or lavator* or bathroom* or washroom* or shelter* or bench or benches or seat or seats or seating or opening time* or opening hour*) adj4 (natural environment* or nature reserve* or nature preserve* or moors or moorland* or heathland* or rural or countryside* or green* or field* or garden*1 or allotment* or outdoor* or park or parks or parkland* or wood or woods or woodland* or forest* or tree* or wetland* or river* or lake* or pond* or canal* or waterway* or sea or seaside* or seashore* or beach* or coast* or foreshore* or piazza* or plaza* or square* or public space* or public realm* or public land* or common land* or recreation* space* or recreation* area* or recreation* ground* or openspace* or open space* or greyspace* or grey space* or bluespace* or blue space*)).ti,ab.	11778
63	((upgrad* or promot* or landscap* or architect* or renew* or regenerat* or conserv* or preserv* or redesign* or structur* or layout* or facilit* or feature* or amenit* or develop* or design* or land us* or aesthetic* or esthetic* or access* or connect* or inclusiv* or safety or renovat* or refurb* or management* or improv* or adapt* or enhanc* or optimiz* or optimis* or sustain* or maintain* or maintenance* or beautify or beautifies or beautific* or infrastructur* or campaign* or intervention* or program* or project* or initiative* or scheme*) adj4 (natural environment* or nature reserve* or nature preserve* or moors or moorland* or heathland* or rural or countryside* or green* or field* or garden*1 or allotment* or outdoor* or park or parks or parkland* or wood or woods or woodland* or forest* or tree* or wetland* or river* or lake* or pond* or canal* or waterway* or sea or seaside* or seashore* or beach* or coast* or foreshore* or piazza* or	99022

## Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

plaza* or square* or public space* or public realm* or public land* or common land* or recreation* space* or recreation* area* or recreation* ground* or openspace* or open space* or greyspace* or grey space* or bluespace* or blue space*)).ti,ab.	
64 46 or 59 or 60 or 61 or 62 or 63	131080
65 19 and 64	6369
66 animals/ not humans/	4233089
67 65 not 66	5355
68 limit 67 to (letter or historical article or comment or editorial or news)	132
69 67 not 68	5223
70 limit 69 to english language	5017
71 limit 70 to yr="2006-Current"	3736
72 remove duplicates from 71	3517
118	
119 <b>Website searching</b>	
120 The following websites were browsed from 1 to 5 August 2016:	
121 • Active Living Research <a href="http://activelivingresearch.org/">http://activelivingresearch.org/</a>	
122 • Active Scotland <a href="http://www.activescotland.org.uk/">http://www.activescotland.org.uk/</a>	
123 • Association for the Study of Obesity <a href="http://www.aso.org.uk/">http://www.aso.org.uk/</a>	
124 • Association of Directors of Public Health <a href="http://www.adph.org.uk/">http://www.adph.org.uk/</a>	
125 • Big Lottery Fund <a href="https://www.biglotteryfund.org.uk/">https://www.biglotteryfund.org.uk/</a>	
126 • Centre for Active Design <a href="https://centerforactivedesign.org/">https://centerforactivedesign.org/</a>	
127 • Chartered Institute of Environmental Health <a href="http://www.cieh.org/">http://www.cieh.org/</a>	
128 • Chartered Institute of Logistics and Transport <a href="https://www.ciltuk.org.uk/">https://www.ciltuk.org.uk/</a>	
129 • Children’s Commissioner for England <a href="http://www.childrenscommissioner.gov.uk/">http://www.childrenscommissioner.gov.uk/</a>	
130 • Cycling UK <a href="http://www.cyclinguk.org/">http://www.cyclinguk.org/</a>	

## Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

- 131 • Department for Transport <https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/department-for-transport>
- 132 • Design Council <http://www.designcouncil.org.uk/>
- 133 • Environment Agency <https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/environment-agency>
- 134 • Faculty of Public Health <http://www.fph.org.uk>
- 135 • Greenspace Scotland <http://greenspacescotland.org.uk/>
- 136 • Healthy Transport <http://www.healthytransport.com/tools-and-projects>
- 137 • Living Streets <https://www.livingstreets.org.uk/>
- 138 • Local Government Association <http://www.local.gov.uk/>
- 139 • London Cycling Campaign <http://lcc.org.uk/>
- 140 • London Play <http://www.londonplay.org.uk/>
- 141 • National Audit Office <http://www.nao.org.uk/>
- 142 • Natural England <https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/natural-england>
- 143 • Natural Resources Wales <https://naturalresources.wales/?lang=en>
- 144 • NHS England <https://www.england.nhs.uk/>
- 145 • Public Health Agency for Northern Ireland <http://www.publichealth.hscni.net/>
- 146 • Public Health England <https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/public-health-england>
- 147 • Public Health Wales <http://www.publichealthwales.wales.nhs.uk/>
- 148 • Ramblers <http://www.ramblers.org.uk/>
- 149 • Royal Society for Public Health <https://www.rsph.org.uk/>
- 150 • Royal Town Planning Institute <http://www.rtpi.org.uk/>
- 151 • RNIB <http://www.rnib.org.uk>
- 152 • Scottish Government <http://www.gov.scot/>
- 153 • Scottish Natural Heritage <http://www.snh.gov.uk/>
- 154 • Scottish Public Health Network <http://www.scotphn.net/>
- 155 • Scottish Public Health Observatory <http://www.scotpho.org.uk/>
- 156 • Sport and Recreation Alliance <http://www.sportandrecreation.org.uk/>
- 157 • Sport England <https://www.sportengland.org/>

## Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

- 158 • Sustrans <http://www.sustrans.org.uk/>
- 159 • Town and Country Planning Association <http://www.tcpa.org.uk/>
- 160 • Transport for Greater Manchester <http://www.tfgm.com/Pages/default.aspx>
- 161 • Transport for London <https://tfl.gov.uk/corporate/publications-and-reports/>
- 162 • Transport Research & Innovation Portal <http://www.transport-research.info/>
- 163 • Transport Scotland <http://www.transport.gov.scot/>
- 164 • TRL <http://www.trl.co.uk/>
- 165 • UK Active <http://www.ukactive.com/>
- 166 • UK Health Forum <http://www.ukhealthforum.org.uk/>
- 167 • Urban Transport Group <http://www.urbantransportgroup.org/>
- 168 • Welsh Assembly Government <http://www.assembly.wales/en/Pages/Home.aspx>
- 169 • Wheels for Wellbeing <http://www.wheelsforwellbeing.org.uk/>

170

171 The following websites were searched on 5 August 2016 for terms relating to cycling, walking, physical activity, physical activities, active living,  
172 active travel and active transport:

- 173 • NICE Evidence Search <http://www.evidence.nhs.uk> - searches restricted to Area of Interest>Public Health and filters applied for Policy &  
174 Service Development, Population Intelligence, Guidance, Primary Research.
- 175 • OpenGrey <http://www.opengrey.eu/> - searches restricted to documents from the UK and in report format from after 2006 e.g. cycling  
176 origin:"GB" doctype:(R - Report) and excluded dissertations.
- 177 • Google.co.uk - <https://www.google.co.uk/> results were restricted to the .gov.uk, .org.uk and .nhs.uk domains, pdf format and the first 100  
178 results (or 10 pages) were reviewed.

179



180

**2. Review Protocols**

181

<b>Topic 1</b>	<b>Transport interventions in the built or natural environment</b>	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
<b>Review question 1</b>	Which transport interventions are effective and cost-effective in encouraging and supporting physical activity in all population groups, including those less able to be physically active?	
Context and objectives	<p>To determine the effectiveness and cost effectiveness of interventions that focus on transport, including the planning and provision of walking and cycling routes, prioritising the needs of active transport users and the provision of public transport, to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• support and encourage people to build physical activity into their daily lives</li> <li>• increase opportunities for, and uptake of, formal or informal recreational activity</li> <li>• reduce sedentary time</li> <li>• increase the opportunity for, and uptake of, active travel such as walking or cycling (including the use of adapted cycles)</li> </ul>	
Types of study to be included/excluded	<p>Inclusions:</p> <p>Comparative studies including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Randomised or non-randomised controlled trials</li> <li>• Before and after studies</li> <li>• Cohort studies</li> <li>• Case-control studies</li> </ul> <p>Economic studies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Economic evaluations</li> <li>• Cost-utility (cost per QALY)</li> <li>• Cost benefit (i.e. Net benefit)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• If there is a large number of includes for the question, evidence may be prioritised, where available, by study design (e.g. RCTs prioritised over observational studies) in consultation with PHAC. Where there is a lack of higher quality</li> </ul>

Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

Topic 1	Transport interventions in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cost-effectiveness (Cost per unit of effect)</li> <li>• Cost minimisation</li> <li>• Cost-consequence</li> </ul>	<p>evidence for different population groups, in particular those who are less able to be physically active, a lower quality of evidence may be considered.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It is unlikely that cross-sectional and other surveys will be included in the review unless there is an absence of other evidence. This will be agreed with PHAC as appropriate.</li> <li>• Systematic reviews will only be used as a source for primary evidence.</li> <li>• Only full economic analyses will be included – papers reporting costs only will be excluded.</li> </ul>
Participants/population	<p>Whole population (adults and children) with particular consideration of groups who are less able to be physically active including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Older people</li> </ul>	

Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

Topic 1	Transport interventions in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• People with disabilities including mental, physical, sensory and learning impairments which impact on their ability to be physically active</li> </ul>	
Intervention(s)	<p>Interventions which prioritise the needs of pedestrians, cyclists and users of other modes of active transport, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• re-allocating road space to support physically active modes of transport such as cycling and walking e.g. shared road space</li> <li>• interventions that enable people with restricted mobility to be physically active by ensuring their local environments are accessible and can be used by all groups e.g. road crossing times, introduction/improvement of pedestrian crossings</li> <li>• planning and providing walking and cycling networks (such as Connect2), infrastructure links with existing networks and facilities e.g. signed only and segregated walking/cycle paths, wayfinding networks/signage, on-street cycle parking</li> <li>• public transport provision, networks, links and facilities (e.g. cycle parking)</li> <li>• parking restrictions and charges e.g. controlled parking zones, parking charges, waiting and loading restrictions</li> <li>• traffic-calming measures to restrict vehicle speeds e.g. sign only speed limits, physical interventions such as road humps and speed cushions</li> <li>• speed restrictions</li> <li>• road-user charging schemes e.g. congestion zones, local emission zones (LEZs)</li> <li>• temporary road closures e.g. ciclovia, ‘School Streets’</li> <li>• Other named interventions e.g. ‘Cycling Cities’, ‘Walking Cities’</li> </ul>	<p>The following interventions will not be included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Interventions to increase physical activity as part of managing chronic or other conditions.</li> <li>• Interventions that aim to change individual behaviour by providing and encouraging people to take up activities that take place in the built or natural environment e.g. exercise classes, green gyms and organised walks.</li> <li>• Interventions covered by <a href="#">PH41</a> (walking and cycling) which covers the design, deliver and promotion of interventions within</li> </ul>

Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

Topic 1	Transport interventions in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
		<p>the environment rather than changes to the physical environment itself.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Re traffic calming, speed cushions and speed restrictions to be aware that may be overlap with PH31 and PH29</li> </ul>
Comparator(s)/control	<p>Comparators that will be considered are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Other interventions</li> <li>• Status quo/do nothing/control</li> <li>• Time (before and after) or area (i.e. matched city a vs b) comparisons</li> </ul>	
Outcome(s)	<p>The outcomes that will be considered when assessing the impact on physical activity are:</p> <p>Primary outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• total physical activity (as measured by e.g. time/distance/number of steps/levels of activity/levels of recommended PA)</li> <li>• total sedentary time (as measured by time)</li> <li>• Domain-specific physical activity levels (active travel or physical activity in everyday life (such as measures of walking, cycling or active play))</li> <li>• public transport use (as a proxy measure of physical activity)</li> </ul> <p>Secondary outcomes:</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Included studies should have an indicator of physical activity reported.</li> <li>• Included studies reporting any health outcomes will be noted in EPPI/the evidence tables and forwarded on to EMU for economic modelling and not for the purposes of this review.</li> </ul>

Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

Topic 1	Transport interventions in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• changes to road environment e.g. introduction of traffic calming measures</li> <li>• changes to transport (such as changes in modal share)</li> <li>• vehicle speeds</li> <li>• car use</li> </ul>	

182

Topic 1	Transport interventions in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
<b>Review question 2</b>	Does the effectiveness and cost effectiveness vary for different population groups in terms of encouraging and supporting physical activity? In particular, does this vary for those groups who are less able to be physically active, and if so how?	
Context and objectives	To determine any variation in the effectiveness and cost effectiveness of transport interventions (such as the planning and provision of walking and cycling routes, prioritising the needs of active transport users and the provision of public transport) between different population groups, in particular for groups who are less able to be physically active.	
Types of study to be included/excluded	<p>Inclusions:</p> <p>Comparative studies including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Randomised or non-randomised controlled trials</li> <li>• Before and after studies</li> <li>• Cohort studies</li> <li>• Case-control studies</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• If there is a large number of includes for the question, evidence may be prioritised, where available, by study design (e.g. RCTs prioritised over</li> </ul>

Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

Topic 1	Transport interventions in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
	<p>Economic studies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Economic evaluations</li> <li>• Cost-utility (cost per QALY)</li> <li>• Cost benefit (i.e. Net benefit)</li> <li>• Cost-effectiveness (Cost per unit of effect)</li> <li>• Cost minimisation</li> <li>• Cost-consequence</li> </ul>	<p>observational studies) in consultation with PHAC. Where there is a lack of higher quality evidence for different population groups, in particular those who are less able to be physically active, a lower quality of evidence may be considered.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It is unlikely that cross-sectional and other surveys will be included in the review unless there is an absence of other evidence. This will be agreed with PHAC as appropriate.</li> <li>• Systematic reviews will only be used as a source for primary evidence.</li> <li>• Only full economic analyses will be included – papers</li> </ul>

Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

Topic 1	Transport interventions in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
		reporting costs only will be excluded.
Participants/population	<p>Whole population (adults and children) with particular consideration of groups who are less able to be physically active including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Older people</li> <li>• People with disabilities including mental, physical, sensory and learning impairments which impact on their ability to be physically active</li> </ul>	
Intervention(s)	<p>Interventions which prioritise the needs of pedestrians, cyclists and users of other modes of active transport, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• re-allocating road space to support physically active modes of transport such as cycling and walking e.g. shared road space</li> <li>• interventions that enable people with restricted mobility to be physically active by ensuring their local environments are accessible and can be used by all groups e.g. road crossing times, introduction/improvement of pedestrian crossings</li> <li>• planning and providing walking and cycling networks (such as Connect2), infrastructure links with existing networks and facilities e.g. signed only and segregated walking/cycle paths, wayfinding networks/signage, on-street cycle parking</li> <li>• public transport provision, networks, links and facilities (e.g. cycle parking)</li> <li>• parking restrictions and charges e.g. controlled parking zones, parking charges, waiting and loading restrictions</li> <li>• traffic-calming measures to restrict vehicle speeds e.g. sign only speed limits, physical interventions such as road humps and speed cushions</li> <li>• speed restrictions</li> </ul>	<p>The following interventions will not be included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Interventions to increase physical activity as part of managing chronic or other conditions.</li> <li>• Interventions that aim to change individual behaviour by providing and encouraging people to take up activities that take place in the built or natural environment e.g. exercise classes, green gyms and organised walks.</li> </ul>

Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

Topic 1	Transport interventions in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• road-user charging schemes e.g. congestion zones, local emission zones (LEZs)</li> <li>• temporary road closures e.g. ciclovia, ‘School Streets’</li> <li>• Other named interventions e.g. ‘Cycling Cities’, ‘Walking Cities’</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Interventions covered by <a href="#">PH41</a> (walking and cycling) which covers the design, deliver and promotion of interventions within the environment rather than changes to the physical environment itself.</li> <li>• Re traffic calming, speed cushions and speed restrictions to be aware that may be overlap with PH31 and PH29</li> </ul>
Comparator(s)/control	<p>Comparators that will be considered are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Other intervention</li> <li>• Status quo</li> </ul> <p>Time (before and after) or area (i.e. matched city a vs b) comparisons</p>	
Outcome(s)	<p>The following outcomes will be considered when assessing variation in factors such as age, disability, special needs:</p> <p>Primary outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• total physical activity (as measured by e.g. time/distance/number of steps/levels of activity/levels of recommended PA)</li> <li>• total sedentary time (as measured by time)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Included studies should have an indicator of physical activity reported.</li> <li>• Included studies reporting any health outcomes will be noted in EPPI/the</li> </ul>



Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

Topic 1	Transport interventions in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Domain-specific physical activity levels (active travel or physical activity in everyday life (such as measures of walking, cycling or active play)).</li> <li>public transport use (as a proxy measure of physical activity) according to factors such as age disability special needs etc</li> </ul> <p>Secondary outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>car use according to factors such as age, disability, special needs etc</li> </ul>	evidence tables and forwarded on to EMU for economic modelling and not for the purposes of this review.

183

Topic 1	Transport interventions in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
<b>Review question 3</b>	<p>Are there any adverse or unintended effects of transport interventions in terms of (1) physical activity and (2) other aspects of health and wellbeing?</p> <p>a. Do these vary for different population groups, in particular those who are less able to be physically active?</p> <p>b. How can the effects of any unintended or adverse effects be minimised?</p>	
Context and objectives	To determine if transport interventions which encourage and support physical activity have any unintended or adverse effects in all groups, particularly in those groups that are less able to be physically active.	

Topic 1	Transport interventions in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
Types of study to be included/excluded	<p>Inclusions:</p> <p>Comparative studies including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Randomised or non-randomised controlled trials</li> <li>• Before and after studies</li> <li>• Cohort studies</li> <li>• Case-control studies</li> </ul> <p>Economic studies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Economic evaluations</li> <li>• Cost-utility (cost per QALY)</li> <li>• Cost benefit (i.e. Net benefit)</li> <li>• Cost-effectiveness (Cost per unit of effect)</li> <li>• Cost minimisation</li> <li>• Cost-consequence</li> </ul> <p>Qualitative studies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Focus groups</li> <li>• Interviews</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• If there is a large number of includes for the question, effectiveness evidence may be prioritised, where available, by study design (e.g. RCTs prioritised over observational studies) in consultation with PHAC. Where there is a lack of higher quality evidence for different population groups, in particular those who are less able to be physically active, a lower quality of evidence may be considered.</li> <li>• It is unlikely that cross-sectional and other surveys will be included in the review unless there is an absence of other evidence. This will be</li> </ul>

Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

Topic 1	Transport interventions in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
		<p>agreed with PHAC as appropriate.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Systematic reviews will only be used as a source for primary evidence.</li> <li>• Only full economic analyses will be included – papers reporting costs only will be excluded.</li> </ul> <p>Only qualitative studies from the UK which provide insight into the unintended consequences or adverse effects of specific interventions and how these vary by population group will be included. Studies will be limited to the UK (rather than EU/OECD countries as for effectiveness studies) as the context (national legislation, local government structures</p>

Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

Topic 1	Transport interventions in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
		<p>and powers etc) will be particularly relevant here.</p> <p>Qualitative studies which are linked to interventions identified through reviews 1 and 2 may be prioritised if the volume of studies is high. This would be agreed with PHAC.</p>
Participants/population	<p>Whole population (adults and children) with particular consideration of groups who are less able to be physically active including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Older people</li> <li>• People with disabilities including mental, physical, sensory and learning impairments which impact on their ability to be physically active</li> </ul>	
Intervention(s)	<p>Interventions which prioritise the needs of pedestrians, cyclists and users of other modes of active transport, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• re-allocating road space to support physically active modes of transport such as cycling and walking e.g. shared road space</li> <li>• interventions that enable people with restricted mobility to be physically active by ensuring their local environments are accessible and can be used by all groups e.g. road crossing times, introduction/improvement of pedestrian crossings</li> </ul>	<p>The following interventions will not be included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Interventions to increase physical activity as part of managing chronic or other conditions.</li> <li>• Interventions that aim to change individual</li> </ul>

Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

Topic 1	Transport interventions in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• planning and providing walking and cycling networks (such as Connect2), infrastructure links with existing networks and facilities e.g. signed only and segregated walking/cycle paths, wayfinding networks/signage, on-street cycle parking</li> <li>• public transport provision, networks, links and facilities (e.g. cycle parking)</li> <li>• parking restrictions and charges e.g. controlled parking zones, parking charges, waiting and loading restrictions</li> <li>• traffic-calming measures to restrict vehicle speeds e.g. sign only speed limits, physical interventions such as road humps and speed cushions</li> <li>• speed restrictions</li> <li>• road-user charging schemes e.g. congestion zones, local emission zones (LEZs)</li> <li>• temporary road closures e.g. ciclovia, ‘School Streets’</li> <li>• Other named interventions e.g. ‘Cycling Cities’, ‘Walking Cities’</li> </ul>	<p>behaviour by providing and encouraging people to take up activities that take place in the built or natural environment e.g. exercise classes, green gyms and organised walks.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Interventions covered by <a href="#">PH41</a> (walking and cycling) which covers the design, deliver and promotion of interventions within the environment rather than changes to the physical environment itself.</li> </ul>
Comparator(s)/control	<p>Comparators that will be considered are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Other intervention</li> <li>• Status quo</li> </ul> <p>Time (before and after) or area (i.e. matched city a vs b) comparisons</p>	
Outcome(s)	<u>From comparative studies</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Included studies should have an</li> </ul>

Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

Topic 1	Transport interventions in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
	<p>Indicators of physical activity and variation in these according to factors such as age, disability, special needs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• decrease in total physical activity</li> <li>• increase in total sedentary time</li> <li>• decrease in domain-specific physical activity levels</li> <li>• decrease in public transport use (as a proxy measure of physical activity)</li> </ul> <p>Other aspects of health and wellbeing and variation in these according to factors such as age, disability, special needs, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Rates/numbers of accidents, injuries or fatalities e.g. road traffic accidents, falls</li> </ul> <p><u>From qualitative studies</u></p> <p>Adverse/unintended effects of interventions in terms of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Intentions to be physically active</li> <li>• Perceptions (such as barriers, stigma, safety, isolation, feeling of exclusion, lack of sense of belonging and connectedness, increased fear of crime)</li> </ul>	<p>indicator of physical activity reported.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Included studies reporting any health outcomes will be noted in EPPI/the evidence tables and forwarded on to EMU for economic modelling and not for the purposes of this review.</li> </ul>

184  
185

Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

Topic 1	Transport interventions in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
<b>Review question 4</b>	What factors relating to transport interventions to encourage and support physical activity, ensure that interventions are acceptable to all groups, including those less able to be physically active?	
Context and objectives	To identify any factors relating to transport interventions that may facilitate the uptake of opportunities to be physically active or conversely prevent uptake of those opportunities. In particular to identify factors which may facilitate uptake by one group but in doing so create barriers for others.	
Types of study to be included/excluded	<p>Inclusions:</p> <p>Qualitative studies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Focus groups</li> <li>• Interviews</li> </ul>	<p>Exclusions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All quantitative studies</li> <li>• Systematic reviews will only be included as a source for primary evidence.</li> </ul> <p>Only qualitative studies from the UK which provide insight into the unintended consequences or adverse effects of specific interventions and how these vary by population group will be included. Studies will be limited to the UK (rather than EU/OECD countries as for effectiveness studies) as the context (national</p>

Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

Topic 1	Transport interventions in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
		<p>legislation, local government structures and powers etc) will be particularly relevant here.</p> <p>Qualitative studies which are linked to interventions identified through reviews 1 and 2 may be prioritised if the volume of studies is high. This would be agreed with PHAC.</p>
Participants/population	<p>Whole population (adults and children) with particular consideration of groups who are less able to be physically active including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Older people</li> <li>• People with disabilities including mental, physical, sensory and learning impairments which impact on their ability to be physically active</li> </ul>	
Intervention(s)	<p>Interventions which prioritise the needs of pedestrians, cyclists and users of other modes of active transport, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• re-allocating road space to support physically active modes of transport such as cycling and walking e.g. shared road space</li> <li>• interventions that enable people with restricted mobility to be physically active by ensuring their local environments are accessible and can be used by all groups e.g. road crossing times, introduction/improvement of pedestrian crossings</li> <li>• planning and providing walking and cycling networks (such as Connect2), infrastructure links with existing networks and</li> </ul>	<p>The following interventions will not be included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Interventions to increase physical activity as part of managing chronic or other conditions.</li> <li>• Interventions that aim to change individual</li> </ul>



Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

Topic 1	Transport interventions in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
	<p>facilities e.g. signed only and segregated walking/cycle paths, wayfinding networks/signage, on-street cycle parking</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• public transport provision, networks, links and facilities (e.g. cycle parking)</li> <li>• parking restrictions and charges e.g. controlled parking zones, parking charges, waiting and loading restrictions</li> <li>• traffic-calming measures to restrict vehicle speeds e.g. sign only speed limits, physical interventions such as road humps and speed cushions</li> <li>• speed restrictions</li> <li>• road-user charging schemes e.g. congestion zones, local emission zones (LEZs)</li> <li>• temporary road closures e.g. ciclovía, ‘School Streets’</li> <li>• Other named interventions e.g. ‘Cycling Cities’, ‘Walking Cities’</li> </ul>	<p>behaviour by providing and encouraging people to take up activities that take place in the built or natural environment e.g. exercise classes, green gyms and organised walks.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Interventions covered by <a href="#">PH41</a> (walking and cycling) which covers the design, deliver and promotion of interventions within the environment rather than changes to the physical environment itself.</li> </ul>
Comparator(s)/control	<p>Comparators that will be considered are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Other intervention</li> <li>• Status quo</li> </ul> <p>Time (before and after) or area (i.e. matched city a vs b) comparisons</p>	
Outcome(s)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Intentions (e.g. to be physically active)</li> <li>• Perceptions (e.g. feelings of inclusion, increased sense of belonging and connectedness, increased sense of safety, reduced fear of crime)</li> </ul>	

Topic 1	Transport interventions in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Preferences (e.g. for different modes of transport)</li> <li>• Knowledge / Attitudes / beliefs (e.g. of interventions)</li> <li>• Acceptability of interventions</li> </ul>	

186

Topic 1	Transport interventions in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
<b>Review question 5</b>	Who needs to be involved to ensure that transport interventions are effective and cost-effective for everyone in encouraging and supporting them to be physically active (including those less able to be physically active)?	
Context and objectives	To determine what the key characteristics of the people involved in the development and delivery of transport interventions (e.g. job roles and competencies) are which affect an intervention's effectiveness and cost effectiveness.	
Types of study to be included/excluded	<p>Inclusions:</p> <p>Comparative studies including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Randomised or non-randomised controlled trials</li> <li>• Before and after studies</li> <li>• Cohort studies</li> <li>• Case-control studies</li> </ul> <p>Economic studies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Economic evaluations</li> <li>• Cost-utility (cost per QALY)</li> <li>• Cost benefit (i.e. Net benefit)</li> <li>• Cost-effectiveness (Cost per unit of effect)</li> <li>• Cost minimisation</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• If there is a large number of includes for the question, effectiveness evidence may be prioritised, where available, by study design (e.g. RCTs prioritised over observational studies) in consultation with PHAC. Where there is a lack of higher quality evidence for different</li> </ul>

Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

Topic 1	Transport interventions in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cost-consequence</li> </ul> <p>Qualitative studies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Focus groups</li> <li>• Interviews</li> </ul>	<p>population groups, in particular those who are less able to be physically active, a lower quality of evidence may be considered.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It is unlikely that cross-sectional and other surveys will be included in the review unless there is an absence of other evidence. This will be agreed with PHAC as appropriate.</li> <li>• Systematic reviews will only be used as a source for primary evidence.</li> <li>• Only full economic analyses will be included – papers reporting costs only will be excluded.</li> </ul> <p>Only qualitative studies from the UK which provide insight into the</p>

Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

Topic 1	Transport interventions in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
		<p>unintended consequences or adverse effects of specific interventions and how these vary by population group will be included. Studies will be limited to the UK (rather than EU/OECD countries as for effectiveness studies) as the context (national legislation, local government structures and powers etc) will be particularly relevant here.</p> <p>Qualitative studies which are linked to interventions identified through reviews 1 and 2 may be prioritised if the volume of studies is high. This would be agreed with PHAC.</p>
Participants/population	<p>Whole population (adults and children) with particular consideration of groups who are less able to be physically active including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Older people</li> </ul>	

Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

Topic 1	Transport interventions in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• People with disabilities including mental, physical, sensory and learning impairments which impact on their ability to be physically active</li> </ul>	
Intervention(s)	<p>Interventions which prioritise the needs of pedestrians, cyclists and users of other modes of active transport, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• re-allocating road space to support physically active modes of transport such as cycling and walking e.g. shared road space</li> <li>• interventions that enable people with restricted mobility to be physically active by ensuring their local environments are accessible and can be used by all groups e.g. road crossing times, introduction/improvement of pedestrian crossings</li> <li>• planning and providing walking and cycling networks (such as Connect2), infrastructure links with existing networks and facilities e.g. signed only and segregated walking/cycle paths, wayfinding networks/signage, on-street cycle parking</li> <li>• public transport provision, networks, links and facilities (e.g. cycle parking)</li> <li>• parking restrictions and charges e.g. controlled parking zones, parking charges, waiting and loading restrictions</li> <li>• traffic-calming measures to restrict vehicle speeds e.g. sign only speed limits, physical interventions such as road humps and speed cushions</li> <li>• speed restrictions</li> <li>• road-user charging schemes e.g. congestion zones, local emission zones (LEZs)</li> <li>• temporary road closures e.g. ciclovia, ‘School Streets’</li> <li>• Other named interventions e.g. ‘Cycling Cities’, ‘Walking Cities’</li> </ul>	<p>The following interventions will not be included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Interventions to increase physical activity as part of managing chronic or other conditions.</li> <li>• Interventions that aim to change individual behaviour by providing and encouraging people to take up activities that take place in the built or natural environment e.g. exercise classes, green gyms and organised walks.</li> <li>• Interventions covered by <a href="#">PH41</a> (walking and cycling) which covers the design, deliver and promotion of interventions within</li> </ul>

Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

Topic 1	Transport interventions in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
		<p>the environment rather than changes to the physical environment itself.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Re traffic calming, speed cushions and speed restrictions to be aware that may be overlap with PH31 and PH29</li> </ul>
Comparator(s)/control	<p>Comparators that will be considered are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Other interventions</li> <li>• Status quo/do nothing/control</li> <li>• Time (before and after) or area (i.e. matched city a vs b) comparisons</li> </ul>	
Outcome(s)	<p>The outcomes that will be considered when assessing the impact on physical activity are:</p> <p>Primary outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• total physical activity (as measured by e.g. time/distance/number of steps/levels of activity/levels of recommended PA)</li> <li>• total sedentary time (as measured by time)</li> <li>• Domain-specific physical activity levels (active travel or physical activity in everyday life (such as measures of walking, cycling or active play))</li> <li>• public transport use (as a proxy measure of physical activity)</li> </ul> <p>Secondary outcomes:</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Included effectiveness studies should have an indicator of physical activity reported.</li> <li>• Included studies reporting any health outcomes will be noted in EPPI/the evidence tables and forwarded on to EMU for economic modelling and not for</li> </ul>

Topic 1	Transport interventions in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• changes to road environment e.g. introduction of traffic calming measures</li> <li>• changes to transport (such as changes in modal share)</li> <li>• vehicle speeds</li> <li>• car use</li> </ul> <p>Qualitative outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Perceptions (e.g. of the key characteristics of the people involved in the development and delivery of transport interventions which make them effective)</li> <li>• Preferences (e.g. for the person delivering the intervention)</li> </ul> <p>Descriptive outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Key characteristics of the people involved in the development and delivery of transport interventions which make them effective e.g. job roles, competencies</li> </ul>	<p>the purposes of this review.</p>

187

Topic 2	Design and accessibility of public open spaces <sup>1</sup> in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
<p><b>Review question 6</b></p>	<p>Which interventions related to the design and accessibility of public open spaces are effective and cost-effective at encouraging and supporting physical activity in all population groups, including those less able to be physically active?</p>	

<sup>1</sup> Public open spaces in the built and natural environment include open urban spaces (such as the external areas of buildings and open 'grey' space e.g. urban squares and pedestrianised areas), green spaces (such as urban parks, open green areas, woods and forests, coastland and countryside, and paths and routes connecting them) and blue spaces (including the sea, lakes, rivers and canals).

Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

Topic 2	Design and accessibility of public open spaces <sup>1</sup> in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
Context and objectives	<p>To determine the effectiveness and cost effectiveness of interventions that focus on the design and accessibility of public open spaces (such as access by public transport, on foot, by bicycle and using other modes of physically active transport and through ensuring open spaces are managed maintained safe and welcoming to everyone), which may result in:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• supporting and encouraging people to build physical activity into their daily lives</li> <li>• increasing opportunities for, and uptake of, formal or informal recreational activity</li> <li>• reducing sedentary time</li> <li>• increasing the opportunity for, and uptake of, active travel such as walking or cycling (including the use of adapted cycles)</li> </ul>	
Types of study to be included/excluded	<p>Inclusions:</p> <p>Comparative studies including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Randomised or non-randomised controlled trials</li> <li>• Before and after studies</li> <li>• Cohort studies</li> <li>• Case-control studies</li> </ul> <p>Economic studies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Economic evaluations</li> <li>• Cost-utility (cost per QALY)</li> <li>• Cost benefit (i.e. Net benefit)</li> <li>• Cost-effectiveness (Cost per unit of effect)</li> <li>• Cost minimisation</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• If there is a large number of includes for the question, evidence may be prioritised, where available, by study design (e.g. RCTs prioritised over observational studies) in consultation with PHAC. Where there is a lack of higher quality evidence for different population groups, in</li> </ul>



Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

Topic 2	Design and accessibility of public open spaces <sup>1</sup> in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cost-consequence</li> </ul>	<p>particular those who are less able to be physically active, a lower quality of evidence may be considered.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It is unlikely that cross-sectional and other surveys will be included in the review unless there is an absence of other evidence. This will be agreed with PHAC as appropriate.</li> <li>• Systematic reviews will only be used as a source for primary evidence.</li> <li>• Only full economic analyses will be included – papers reporting costs only will be excluded.</li> </ul>
Participants/population	<p>Whole population (adults and children) with particular consideration of groups who are less able to be physically active including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Older people</li> </ul>	

Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

Topic 2	Design and accessibility of public open spaces <sup>1</sup> in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• People with disabilities including mental, physical, sensory and learning impairments which impact on their ability to be physically active</li> </ul>	
Intervention(s)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Access to open spaces by public transport, on foot, by bicycle or using other forms of active transport (such as Connect2)</li> <li>• Connections between open spaces through traffic-free networks of footpaths, trails or cycle routes (such as green corridors)</li> <li>• Maintenance and management, conservation or landscaping of open spaces</li> <li>• Facilities in open spaces such as accessible toilets, shelter, signage, accessible parking</li> <li>• Safety of open spaces, for example through layout, lighting or security</li> <li>• Regeneration projects</li> <li>• Street and neighbourhood design</li> <li>• Named interventions that involve changes to the design and accessibility of public open spaces, such as Healthy Towns/Healthy New Towns, Age Friendly Cities, Urban 40 project, Olympic parks, Pocket Parks, Play Streets, Healthy Streets</li> </ul>	<p>The following interventions related to the design and accessibility of public open spaces will not be included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Interventions to increase physical activity as part of managing chronic or other conditions.</li> <li>• Interventions that aim to change individual behaviour by providing and encouraging people to take up activities that take place in the built or natural environment e.g. exercise classes, green gyms and organised walks.</li> <li>• Interventions covered by <a href="#">PH41</a> (walking and</li> </ul>

Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

Topic 2	Design and accessibility of public open spaces <sup>1</sup> in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
		cycling) which covers the design, deliver and promotion of interventions within the environment rather than changes to the physical environment itself.
Comparator(s)/control	Comparators that will be considered are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Other intervention</li> <li>• Status quo</li> </ul> Time (before and after) or area (i.e. matched area a vs b)	
Outcome(s)	The outcomes that will be considered when assessing the impact on physical activity are:  Primary outcomes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• total physical activity (as measured by e.g. time/distance/number of steps/levels of activity/levels of recommended PA)</li> <li>• total sedentary time (as measured by time)</li> <li>• Domain-specific physical activity levels (active travel or physical activity in everyday life (such as measures of walking, cycling or active play)).</li> <li>• public transport use (as a proxy measure of physical activity)</li> </ul> Intermediate outcomes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• changes to urban planning</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Included studies should have an indicator of physical activity reported.</li> <li>• Included studies reporting any health outcomes will be noted in EPPI/the evidence tables and forwarded on to EMU for economic modelling and not for the purposes of this review.</li> </ul>

Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

Topic 2	Design and accessibility of public open spaces <sup>1</sup> in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• changes to transport (such as changes in modal share)</li> <li>• changes to the infrastructure for both green and blue spaces</li> <li>• access to and use of natural environment including green and blue space</li> <li>• access to grey space</li> </ul>	

188  
189

Topic 2	Design and accessibility of public open spaces <sup>1</sup> in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
<b>Review question 7</b>	Does the effectiveness and cost effectiveness of interventions related to the design and accessibility of public open spaces vary for different population groups in terms of encouraging and supporting physical activity? In particular, does this vary for those groups who are less able to be physically active, and if so how?	
Context and objectives	To determine any variation in the effectiveness and cost effectiveness of interventions related to the design and accessibility of public open spaces, between different population groups, in particular for groups who are less able to be physically active.	
Types of study to be included/excluded	<p>Inclusions:</p> <p>Comparative studies including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Randomised or non-randomised controlled trials</li> <li>• Before and after studies</li> <li>• Cohort studies</li> <li>• Case-control studies</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• If there is a large number of includes for the question, evidence may be prioritised, where available, by study design (e.g. RCTs)</li> </ul>

Topic 2	Design and accessibility of public open spaces <sup>1</sup> in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
	<p>Economic studies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Economic evaluations</li> <li>• Cost-utility (cost per QALY)</li> <li>• Cost benefit (i.e. Net benefit)</li> <li>• Cost-effectiveness (Cost per unit of effect)</li> <li>• Cost minimisation</li> <li>• Cost-consequence</li> </ul>	<p>prioritised over observational studies) in consultation with PHAC. Where there is a lack of higher quality evidence for different population groups, in particular those who are less able to be physically active, a lower quality of evidence may be considered.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It is unlikely that cross-sectional and other surveys will be included in the review unless there is an absence of other evidence. This will be agreed with PHAC as appropriate.</li> <li>• Systematic reviews will only be used as a source for primary evidence.</li> <li>• Only full economic analyses will be</li> </ul>

Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

Topic 2	Design and accessibility of public open spaces <sup>1</sup> in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
		included – papers reporting costs only will be excluded.
Participants/population	<p>Whole population (adults and children) with particular consideration of groups who are less able to be physically active including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Older people</li> <li>• People with disabilities including mental, physical, sensory and learning impairments which impact on their ability to be physically active</li> </ul>	
Intervention(s)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Access to open spaces by public transport, on foot, by bicycle or using other forms of active transport (such as Connect2)</li> <li>• Connections between open spaces through traffic-free networks of footpaths, trails or cycle routes (such as green corridors)</li> <li>• Maintenance and management, conservation or landscaping of open spaces</li> <li>• Facilities in open spaces such as accessible toilets, shelter, signage, accessible parking</li> <li>• Safety of open spaces, for example through layout, lighting or security</li> <li>• Regeneration projects</li> <li>• Street and neighbourhood design</li> <li>• Named interventions that involve changes to the design and accessibility of public open spaces, such as Healthy Towns/Healthy New Towns, Age Friendly Cities, Urban 40 project, Olympic parks, Pocket Parks, Play Streets, Healthy Streets</li> </ul>	<p>The following interventions related to the design and accessibility of public open spaces will not be included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Interventions to increase physical activity as part of managing chronic or other conditions.</li> <li>• Interventions that aim to change individual behaviour by providing and encouraging people to take up activities that take place in the built or natural</li> </ul>

Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

Topic 2	Design and accessibility of public open spaces <sup>1</sup> in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
		<p>environment e.g. exercise classes, green gyms and organised walks.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Interventions covered by <a href="#">PH41</a> (walking and cycling) which covers the design, deliver and promotion of interventions within the environment rather than changes to the physical environment itself.</li> </ul>
Comparator(s)/control	<p>Comparators that will be considered are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Other intervention</li> <li>Status quo</li> </ul> <p>Time (before and after) or area (i.e. matched area a vs b)</p>	
Outcome(s)	<p>The following outcomes will be considered when assessing variation in factors such as age, disability, special needs:</p> <p>Primary outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>total physical activity (as measured by e.g. time/distance/number of steps/levels of activity/levels of recommended PA)</li> <li>total sedentary time (as measured by time)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Included studies should have an indicator of physical activity reported.</li> <li>Included studies reporting any health outcomes will be noted in EPPI/the evidence tables and forwarded on to EMU</li> </ul>

Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

Topic 2	Design and accessibility of public open spaces <sup>1</sup> in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Domain-specific physical activity levels (active travel or physical activity in everyday life (such as measures of walking, cycling or active play)).</li> <li>• public transport use (as a proxy measure of physical activity) according to factors such as age disability special needs etc</li> </ul> <p>Secondary outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• access to and use of natural environment including green and blue space and variation in this according to factors such as age, disability, special needs</li> <li>• access to grey space and variation in this according to factors such as age, disability, special needs</li> </ul>	<p>for economic modelling and not for the purposes of this review.</p>

190  
191

Topic 2	Design and accessibility of public open spaces <sup>1</sup> in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
<b>Review question 8</b>	<p>Are there any adverse or unintended effects of interventions related to the design and accessibility of public open spaces in terms of (1) physical activity and (2) other aspects of health and wellbeing?</p> <p>a. Do these vary for different population groups, in particular those who are less able to be physically active?</p> <p>b. How can the effects of any unintended or adverse effects be minimised?</p>	



Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

Topic 2	Design and accessibility of public open spaces <sup>1</sup> in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
Context and objectives	To determine if interventions related to the design and accessibility of public open spaces have any adverse or unintended effects, in all groups and particularly in those groups that are less able to be physically active.	
Types of study to be included/excluded	<p>Inclusions:</p> <p>Comparative studies including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Randomised or non-randomised controlled trials</li> <li>• Before and after studies</li> <li>• Cohort studies</li> <li>• Case-control studies</li> </ul> <p>Economic studies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Economic evaluations</li> <li>• Cost-utility (cost per QALY)</li> <li>• Cost benefit (i.e. Net benefit)</li> <li>• Cost-effectiveness (Cost per unit of effect)</li> <li>• Cost minimisation</li> <li>• Cost-consequence</li> </ul> <p>Qualitative studies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Focus groups</li> <li>• Interviews</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• If there is a large number of includes for the question, effectiveness evidence may be prioritised, where available, by study design (e.g. RCTs prioritised over observational studies) in consultation with PHAC. Where there is a lack of higher quality evidence for different population groups, in particular those who are less able to be physically active, a lower quality of evidence may be considered.</li> <li>• It is unlikely that cross-sectional and other surveys will be</li> </ul>

Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

Topic 2	Design and accessibility of public open spaces <sup>1</sup> in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
		<p>included in the review unless there is an absence of other evidence. This will be agreed with PHAC as appropriate.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Systematic reviews will only be used as a source for primary evidence.</li> <li>• Only full economic analyses will be included – papers reporting costs only will be excluded.</li> </ul> <p>Only qualitative studies from the UK which provide insight into the unintended consequences or adverse effects of specific interventions and how these vary by population group will be included. Studies will be limited to the UK (rather than EU/OECD countries as</p>

Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

Topic 2	Design and accessibility of public open spaces <sup>1</sup> in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
		<p>for effectiveness studies) as the context (national legislation, local government structures and powers etc) will be particularly relevant here.</p> <p>Qualitative studies which are linked to interventions identified through reviews 1 and 2 may be prioritised if the volume of studies is high. This would be agreed with PHAC.</p>
Participants/population	<p>Whole population (adults and children) with particular consideration of groups who are less able to be physically active including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Older people</li> <li>• People with disabilities including mental, physical, sensory and learning impairments which impact on their ability to be physically active</li> </ul>	
Intervention(s)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Access to open spaces by public transport, on foot, by bicycle or using other forms of active transport (such as Connect2)</li> <li>• Connections between open spaces through traffic-free networks of footpaths, trails or cycle routes (such as green corridors)</li> <li>• Maintenance and management, conservation or landscaping of open spaces</li> </ul>	<p>The following interventions related to the design and accessibility of public open spaces will not be included:</p>

Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

Topic 2	Design and accessibility of public open spaces <sup>1</sup> in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Facilities in open spaces such as accessible toilets, shelter, signage, accessible parking</li> <li>• Safety of open spaces, for example through layout, lighting or security</li> <li>• Regeneration projects</li> <li>• Street and neighbourhood design</li> <li>• Named interventions that involve changes to the design and accessibility of public open spaces, such as Healthy Towns/Healthy New Towns, Age Friendly Cities, Urban 40 project, Olympic parks, Pocket Parks, Play Streets, Healthy Streets</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Interventions to increase physical activity as part of managing chronic or other conditions.</li> <li>• Interventions that aim to change individual behaviour by providing and encouraging people to take up activities that take place in the built or natural environment e.g. exercise classes, green gyms and organised walks.</li> <li>• Interventions covered by <a href="#">PH41</a> (walking and cycling) which covers the design, deliver and promotion of interventions within the environment rather than changes to the physical environment itself.</li> </ul>
Comparator(s)/control	Comparators that will be considered are:	

Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

Topic 2	Design and accessibility of public open spaces <sup>1</sup> in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Other intervention</li> <li>• Status quo</li> </ul> <p>Time (before and after) or area (i.e. matched area a vs b)</p>	
Outcome(s)	<p><u>From comparative studies</u></p> <p>Indicators of physical activity and variation in these according to factors such as age, disability, special needs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• decrease in total physical activity</li> <li>• increase in total sedentary time</li> <li>• decrease in domain-specific physical activity levels</li> <li>• decrease in public transport use (as a proxy measure of physical activity)</li> </ul> <p>Other aspects of health and wellbeing and variation in these according to factors such as age, disability, special needs, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Rates/numbers of accidents, or injuries or fatalities e.g. road traffic accidents, falls</li> </ul> <p><u>From qualitative studies</u></p> <p>Adverse/unintended effects of interventions in terms of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Intentions to be physically active</li> <li>• Perceptions (such as barriers, stigma, safety, isolation, feeling of exclusion, lack of sense of belonging and connectedness, increased fear of crime)</li> <li>•</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Included studies should have an indicator of physical activity reported.</li> <li>• Included studies reporting any health outcomes will be noted in EPPI/the evidence tables and forwarded on to EMU for economic modelling and not for the purposes of this review.</li> </ul>

192  
193

Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

Topic 2	Design and accessibility of public open spaces <sup>1</sup> in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
<b>Review question 9</b>	What factors relating to interventions which focus on the design and accessibility of public open spaces ensure that interventions are acceptable to all groups, including those less able to be physically active?	
Context and objectives	To identify any factors relating to the design and accessibility of public open spaces, that may facilitate the uptake of opportunities to be physically active or conversely prevent uptake of those opportunities. In particular to identify factors which may facilitate uptake by one group but in doing so create barriers for others.	
Types of study to be included/excluded	<p>Inclusions:</p> <p>Qualitative studies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Focus groups</li> <li>• Interviews</li> </ul>	<p>Exclusions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All quantitative studies</li> <li>• Systematic reviews will only be included as a source for primary evidence.</li> </ul> <p>Only qualitative studies from the UK which provide insight into the unintended consequences or adverse effects of specific interventions and how these vary by population group will be included. Studies will be limited to the UK (rather than EU/OECD countries as</p>

Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

Topic 2	Design and accessibility of public open spaces <sup>1</sup> in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
		<p>for effectiveness studies) as the context (national legislation, local government structures and powers etc) will be particularly relevant here.</p> <p>Qualitative studies which are linked to interventions identified through reviews 1 and 2 may be prioritised if the volume of studies is high. This would be agreed with PHAC.</p>
Participants/population	<p>Whole population (adults and children) with particular consideration of groups who are less able to be physically active including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Older people</li> <li>• People with disabilities including mental, physical, sensory and learning impairments which impact on their ability to be physically active</li> </ul>	
Intervention(s)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Access to open spaces by public transport, on foot, by bicycle or using other forms of active transport (such as Connect2)</li> <li>• Connections between open spaces through traffic-free networks of footpaths, trails or cycle routes (such as green corridors)</li> <li>• Maintenance and management, conservation or landscaping of open spaces</li> </ul>	<p>The following interventions related to the design and accessibility of public open spaces will not be included:</p>

Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

Topic 2	Design and accessibility of public open spaces <sup>1</sup> in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Facilities in open spaces such as accessible toilets, shelter, signage, accessible parking</li> <li>• Safety of open spaces, for example through layout, lighting or security</li> <li>• Regeneration projects</li> <li>• Street and neighbourhood design</li> <li>• Named interventions that involve changes to the design and accessibility of public open spaces, such as Healthy Towns/Healthy New Towns, Age Friendly Cities, Urban 40 project, Olympic parks, Pocket Parks, Play Streets, Healthy Streets</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Interventions to increase physical activity as part of managing chronic or other conditions.</li> <li>• Interventions that aim to change individual behaviour by providing and encouraging people to take up activities that take place in the built or natural environment e.g. exercise classes, green gyms and organised walks.</li> <li>• Interventions covered by <a href="#">PH41</a> (walking and cycling) which covers the design, deliver and promotion of interventions within the environment rather than changes to the physical environment itself.</li> </ul>
Comparator(s)/control	Comparators that will be considered are:	



Topic 2	Design and accessibility of public open spaces <sup>1</sup> in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Other intervention</li> <li>• Status quo</li> <li>• Time (before and after) or area (i.e. matched area a vs b)</li> </ul>	
Outcome(s)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Intentions (e.g. to be physically active)</li> <li>• Perceptions (e.g. feelings of inclusion, increased sense of belonging and connectedness, increased sense of safety, reduced fear of crime)</li> <li>• Knowledge / Attitudes / beliefs (e.g. of interventions)</li> <li>• Acceptability of interventions</li> </ul>	

194

Topic 1	Transport interventions in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
<b>Review question 10</b>	Who needs to be involved to ensure that interventions related to the design and accessibility of public open spaces are effective and cost-effective for everyone in encouraging and supporting them to be physically active, including those less able to be physically active?	
Context and objectives	To determine what the key characteristics of the people involved in the design and accessibility of public open spaces (e.g. job roles and competencies) are which affect an intervention's effectiveness and cost effectiveness.	
Types of study to be included/excluded	Inclusions:  Comparative studies including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Randomised or non-randomised controlled trials</li> <li>• Before and after studies</li> <li>• Cohort studies</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• If there is a large number of includes for the question, effectiveness evidence may be prioritised, where</li> </ul>

Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

Topic 1	Transport interventions in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Case-control studies</li> </ul> <p>Economic studies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Economic evaluations</li> <li>• Cost-utility (cost per QALY)</li> <li>• Cost benefit (i.e. Net benefit)</li> <li>• Cost-effectiveness (Cost per unit of effect)</li> <li>• Cost minimisation</li> <li>• Cost-consequence</li> </ul> <p>Qualitative studies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Focus groups</li> <li>• Interviews</li> </ul>	<p>available, by study design (e.g. RCTs prioritised over observational studies) in consultation with PHAC. Where there is a lack of higher quality evidence for different population groups, in particular those who are less able to be physically active, a lower quality of evidence may be considered.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It is unlikely that cross-sectional and other surveys will be included in the review unless there is an absence of other evidence. This will be agreed with PHAC as appropriate.</li> <li>• Systematic reviews will only be used as a source for primary evidence.</li> </ul>

Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

Topic 1	Transport interventions in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Only full economic analyses will be included – papers reporting costs only will be excluded.</li> </ul> <p>Only qualitative studies from the UK which provide insight into the unintended consequences or adverse effects of specific interventions and how these vary by population group will be included. Studies will be limited to the UK (rather than EU/OECD countries as for effectiveness studies) as the context (national legislation, local government structures and powers etc) will be particularly relevant here.</p> <p>Qualitative studies which are linked to interventions identified through reviews 1 and 2 may be prioritised if the volume of</p>

Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

Topic 1	Transport interventions in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
		studies is high. This would be agreed with PHAC.
Participants/population	<p>Whole population (adults and children) with particular consideration of groups who are less able to be physically active including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Older people</li> <li>• People with disabilities including mental, physical, sensory and learning impairments which impact on their ability to be physically active</li> </ul>	
Intervention(s)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Access to open spaces by public transport, on foot, by bicycle or using other forms of active transport (such as Connect2)</li> <li>• Connections between open spaces through traffic-free networks of footpaths, trails or cycle routes (such as green corridors)</li> <li>• Maintenance and management, conservation or landscaping of open spaces</li> <li>• Facilities in open spaces such as accessible toilets, shelter, signage, accessible parking</li> <li>• Safety of open spaces, for example through layout, lighting or security</li> <li>• Regeneration projects</li> <li>• Street and neighbourhood design</li> <li>• Named interventions that involve changes to the design and accessibility of public open spaces, such as Healthy Towns/Healthy New Towns, Age Friendly Cities, Urban 40 project, Olympic parks, Pocket Parks, Play Streets, Healthy Streets</li> </ul>	<p>The following interventions will not be included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Interventions to increase physical activity as part of managing chronic or other conditions.</li> <li>• Interventions that aim to change individual behaviour by providing and encouraging people to take up activities that take place in the built or natural environment e.g. exercise classes, green gyms and organised walks.</li> </ul>

Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

Topic 1	Transport interventions in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Interventions covered by <a href="#">PH41</a> (walking and cycling) which covers the design, deliver and promotion of interventions within the environment rather than changes to the physical environment itself.</li> </ul>
Comparator(s)/control	<p>Comparators that will be considered are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Other interventions</li> <li>Status quo/do nothing/control</li> <li>Time (before and after) or area (i.e. matched city a vs b) comparisons</li> </ul>	
Outcome(s)	<p>The outcomes that will be considered when assessing the impact on physical activity are:</p> <p>Primary outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>total physical activity (as measured by e.g. time/distance/number of steps/levels of activity/levels of recommended PA)</li> <li>total sedentary time (as measured by time)</li> <li>Domain-specific physical activity levels (active travel or physical activity in everyday life (such as measures of walking, cycling or active play))</li> <li>public transport use (as a proxy measure of physical activity)</li> </ul> <p>Secondary outcomes:</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Included effectiveness studies should have an indicator of physical activity reported.</li> <li>Included studies reporting any health outcomes will be noted in EPPI/the evidence tables and forwarded on to EMU for economic modelling and not for</li> </ul>

Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

Topic 1	Transport interventions in the built or natural environment	
Component of protocol	Description	Additional comments
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• changes to urban planning</li> <li>• changes to transport (such as changes in modal share)</li> <li>• changes to the infrastructure for both green and blue spaces</li> <li>• access to and use of natural environment including green and blue space</li> <li>• access to grey space</li> </ul> <p>Qualitative outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Perceptions (e.g. of the key characteristics of the people involved in the development and delivery of transport interventions which make them effective)</li> <li>• Preferences (e.g. for the person delivering the intervention)</li> </ul> <p>Descriptive outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Key characteristics of the people involved in the design and accessibility of public open spaces which make them effective e.g. job roles, competencies</li> </ul>	<p>the purposes of this review.</p>

195  
196

197 **3. Quality Appraisal Checklists**

198

199 **QA EPOC Checklist for RCTs, non-randomised controlled trials and controlled before-after studies**

200 **Administrative details**

<b>Study name or author and year</b> [Type study name, or author and year (include letter if more than 1 paper with the same author and year, e.g. 'Smith 2010a')] 	<b>STAR ID</b> [Type STAR ID] 
<b>Citation</b> [Include citation details – usually authors, title of study, journal details, year] 	
<b>Linked studies (study name or author, year, STAR ID)</b> [Include study name or author, year and STAR ID of any related studies, or state 'None'] 	
<b>Final study quality score</b> [Click to choose the final quality score. See 'Calculation of final study quality score' below for details on how to complete this.] 	
<b>Date of QA</b> [Click to choose the date the QA was completed] 	<b>Reviewer(s) names</b> [Type name of the reviewer/reviewers completing the quality assessment] 

201

202 **Calculation of final study quality score (from box 6.1 on page 95 of the NICE Guidelines Manual)**

- ++ All or most of the checklist criteria have been fulfilled, and where they have not been fulfilled the conclusions are very unlikely to alter.
- + Some of the checklist criteria have been fulfilled, and where they have not been fulfilled, or are not adequately described, the conclusions are unlikely to alter.
- Few or no checklist criteria have been fulfilled and the conclusions are likely or very likely to alter.

203 **Quality Assessment**

204

**For all questions:**

- ++ 'Yes' The study full meets the criterion.
- + 'Partly' The study largely meets the criterion but differs in some important respect.
- 'No' The study deviates substantially from the criterion.
- 'Unclear' Report provides insufficient information to judge whether the study complies with the criterion.
- 'NA (not applicable)' The criterion is not relevant in this particular instance.

Item	Decision	Comments
1. Was the allocation sequence adequately generated?	[Click here to choose a decision. ++ if a random component in the sequence generation process is described (e.g. a random number table), - if a non-random method is used (e.g. date of admission) or if study is a non-randomised controlled trial or controlled before-after study]	[State how the allocation sequence was generated.]
2. Was the allocation adequately concealed?	[Click here to choose a decision. ++ if allocation by institution, team or professional and allocation performed on all units at start of the study, or if the unit of allocation was by patient or episode of care and there was a centralised randomisation scheme (on-site computer system or sealed opaque envelopes). – if controlled before-after study.]	[State how the allocation was concealed.]
3. Were baseline outcome measurements similar?	[Click here to choose a decision. ++ if performance or patient outcomes were measured prior to intervention and no important differences present across study groups. In RCTs score ++ if imbalanced but appropriate adjusted analysis was performed (e.g. analysis of covariance). Score - if important differences were present and not adjusted for in analysis.]	[State whether the baseline outcome measurements were similar.]
4. Were baseline characteristics similar?	[Click here to choose a decision. ++ if baseline characteristics of the study and control providers are	[State whether the baseline characteristics were similar.]



Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

	reported and similar. Score - if there is no report of characteristics or if there are differences between control and intervention providers.]	
5. Were incomplete outcome data adequately addressed?	[Click here to choose a decision. ++ if missing outcome measures were unlikely to bias the results (e.g. the proportion of missing data was similar in the intervention and control groups or the proportion of missing data was less than the effect size i.e. unlikely to overturn the study result). Score - if missing outcome data was likely to bias the results.]	[State whether incomplete outcome data were adequately addressed.]
6. Was knowledge of the allocated interventions adequately prevented during the study?	[Click here to choose a decision. ++ if the authors state explicitly that primary outcome variables were assessed blindly, or outcomes are objective, e.g. length of hospital stay. Score - if primary outcomes were not assessed blindly.]	[State whether knowledge of the allocated interventions was adequately prevented during the study.]
7. Was the study adequately protected against contamination?	[Click here to choose a decision. ++ if allocation by community, institution or practice and it is unlikely that the control group received the intervention. Score - if it is likely that the control group received the intervention (e.g. if patients rather than professionals were randomised). Score “unclear” if professionals were allocated within a clinic or practice and it is possible that communication between intervention and control professionals could have occurred (e.g. physicians within practices	[State whether the study was adequately protected against contamination.]

Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

	were allocated to intervention or control).]	
8. Was the study free from selective outcome reporting?	[Click here to choose a decision. ++ if there is no evidence that outcomes were selectively reported (e.g. all relevant outcomes in the methods section are reported in the results section). Score - if some important outcomes are subsequently omitted from the results.]	[State whether the study was free from selective outcome reporting.]
9. Was the study free from other risks of bias?	[Click here to choose a decision. Score ++ if there is no evidence of other risk of biases.]	[State whether the study was free from other risks of bias.]

206

207

208 **QA EPHPP Checklist for uncontrolled before and after studies (EPHPP)**

209

210 **Administrative details**

<b>Study name or author and year</b> [Type study name, or author and year (include letter if more than 1 paper with the same author and year, e.g. 'Smith 2010a')]	<b>STAR ID</b> [Type STAR ID]
<b>Citation</b> [Include citation details – usually authors, title of study, journal details, year]	
<b>Linked studies (study name or author, year, STAR ID)</b> [Include study name or author, year and STAR ID of any related studies, or state 'None']	
<b>Final study quality score</b> [Click to choose the final quality score. See 'Calculation of final study quality score' below for details on how to complete this.]	
<b>Date of QA</b> [Click to choose the date the QA was completed]	<b>Reviewer(s) names</b> [Type name of the reviewer/reviewers completing the quality assessment]

211

212 Calculation of final study quality score (from EPHPP tool [http://www.ephpp.ca/PDF/Quality%20Assessment%20Tool\\_2010\\_2.pdf](http://www.ephpp.ca/PDF/Quality%20Assessment%20Tool_2010_2.pdf))

- ++ Strong. No weak ratings.
- + Moderate. One weak rating.
- Weak. Two or more weak ratings.

213

214 **Quality Assessment**

<b>Item</b>	<b>Component Rating</b>	<b>Section Rating</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Selection bias			

## Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

1. Are the individuals selected to participate in the study likely to be representative of the target population?	[Click here to choose a rating. Score 'very likely' if randomly selected from a comprehensive list of individuals in target population, 'somewhat likely' if referred from a source (e.g. clinic) in a systematic manner, 'not likely' if self-referred.]	[Click here to choose a decision. 'Strong' if Q1 is 'very likely' and Q2 is 80 to 100%. 'Moderate' if Q1 is 'very likely' or 'somewhat likely' and Q2 is 60 or 79% or 'can't tell'. 'Weak' if Q1 is 'not likely' or 'can't tell' and Q2 is 'can't tell'.]	[Add comments if necessary.]
2. What percentage of selected individuals agreed to participate?	[Click here to choose a rating.]		
<b>Study design</b>			
3. What is the study design?	[Click here to choose a rating.]	[Click here to choose a decision. 'Strong' if RCT or CCT, 'moderate' if cohort analytic study, case control study, a cohort design, or interrupted time series, 'weak' for any other method or did not state method used.]	[Add comments if necessary, including description of study design if 'other'.]
4. Was the study described as randomised?	[Click here to choose a rating. If 'no', mark questions 5 and 6 as 'not applicable' and go straight to 'Confounders' section.]		
5. Was the method of randomisation described?	[Click here to choose a rating.]		
6. Was the method of randomisation appropriate?	[Click here to choose a rating.]		
<b>Confounders</b>			
7. Were there important differences between groups prior to the intervention?	[Click here to choose a rating. Example of confounders include race, sex, marital status/family, age, socioeconomic status, education, health status, pre-intervention score on outcome measure.]	[Click here to choose a decision. 'Strong' if Q7 is 'no' or Q2 is 80% or more. 'Moderate' if Q7 is 'yes' and Q8 is 60 to 79%. 'Weak' if Q7 is 'yes' and Q8 is less than 60%, or if Q7 is 'cant tell' and Q8 is 'can't tell'.]	[Add comments if necessary.]
8. If yes, what percentage of relevant confounders were controlled (either in the design [e.g. stratification, matching] or analysis)?	[Click here to choose a rating.]		
<b>Blinding</b>			

Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

9. Was/were the outcome assessor/s aware of the intervention or exposure status of participants?	[Click here to choose a rating.]	[Click here to choose a decision. 'Strong' if Q9 is 'no' and Q10 is 'no'. 'Moderate' if Q9 is 'no' or Q10 is 'no', or Q9 is 'can't tell' and Q10 is 'can't tell'. 'Weak' if Q9 is 'yes' and Q10 is 'yes'.]	[Add comments if necessary.]
10. Were the study participants aware of the research question?	[Click here to choose a rating.]		
<b>Data collection methods</b>			
11. Were data collection tools shown to be valid?	[Click here to choose a rating.]	[Click here to choose a decision. 'Strong' if Q11 is 'yes' and Q12 is 'yes'. 'Moderate' if Q11 is 'yes' and Q12 is 'no' or Q12 is 'can't tell'. 'Weak' if Q11 is 'no' or Q11 is 'can't tell' and Q12 is 'can't tell'.]	[Add comments if necessary.]
12. Were data collection tools shown to be reliable?	[Click here to choose a rating.]		
<b>Withdrawals and drop-outs</b>			
13. Were withdrawals and drop-outs reported in terms of numbers and/or reasons per group?	[Click here to choose a rating.]	[Click here to choose a decision. 'Strong' if Q14 is 80% or more. 'Moderate' if Q14 is 60 to 79% or 'not applicable'. 'Weak' if Q14 is less than 60% or 'can't tell'.]	[Add comments if necessary.]
14. What percentage of participants completed the survey?	[Click here to choose a rating. If percentage differs by groups, record the lowest.]		
<b>Intervention integrity</b>			
15. What percentage of participants received the allocated intervention or exposure of interest?	[Click here to choose a rating. If percentage differs by groups, record the lowest.]	Section rating not required.	[Add comments if necessary.]
16. Was the consistency of the intervention measured?	[Click here to choose a rating.]		
17. Is it likely that subjects received an	[Click here to choose a rating.]		

Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

unintended intervention (contamination or co-intervention) that may influence the results?			
<b>Analyses</b>			
18. What is the unit of allocation?	[Click here to choose a rating.]	Section rating not required.	[Add comments if necessary. Add details if 'other' selected for question 18 and/or 19.]
19. What is the unit of analysis?	[Click here to choose a rating.]		
20. Are the statistical methods appropriate for the study design?	[Click here to choose a rating.]		
21. Is the analysis performed by intervention allocation status (i.e. intention to treat) rather than the actual intervention received?	[Click here to choose a rating.]		

215

216

217 **Methodology checklist: Qualitative studies**

<b>Study identification</b>	
<b>Guidance topic:</b>	<b>Key research question/aim:</b>
<b>Checklist completed by:</b>	

218

<b>Theoretical approach</b>		
<b>1. Is a qualitative approach appropriate?</b> <i>For example,</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Does the research question seek to understand processes or structures, or illuminate subjective experiences or meanings?</li> <li>Could a quantitative approach better have addressed the research question?</li> </ul>	Choose an item.	Comments:
<b>2. Is the study clear in what it seeks to do?</b> <i>For example,</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Is the purpose of the study discussed – aims/objectives/research question/s?</li> <li>Is there adequate/appropriate reference to the literature?</li> <li>Are underpinning values/assumptions/theory discussed?</li> </ul>	Choose an item.	Comments:

219

<b>Study design</b>		
<b>3. How defensible/rigorous is the research design/methodology?</b> <i>For example,</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Is the design appropriate to the research question?</li> <li>Is a rationale given for using a qualitative approach?</li> <li>Are there clear accounts of the rationale/justification for the sampling, data collection and data analysis techniques used?</li> <li>Is the selection of cases/sampling strategy theoretically justified?</li> </ul>	Choose an item.	Comments:

220

Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

221  
222  
223  
224

<b>Data collection</b>		
<p><b>4. How well was the data collection carried out?</b></p> <p><i>For example,</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Are the data collection methods clearly described?</li> <li>• Were the appropriate data collected to address the research question?</li> <li>• Was the data collection and record keeping systematic?</li> </ul>	Choose an item.	Comments:

225

<b>Trustworthiness</b>		
<p><b>5. Is the role of the researcher clearly described?</b></p> <p><i>For example,</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Has the relationship between the researcher and the participants been adequately considered?</li> <li>• Does the paper describe how the research was explained and presented to the participants?</li> </ul>	Choose an item.	Comments:
<p><b>6. Is the context clearly described?</b></p> <p><i>For example,</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Are the characteristics of the participants and settings clearly defined?</li> <li>• Were observations made in a sufficient variety of circumstances?</li> <li>• Was context bias considered?</li> </ul>	Choose an item.	Comments:
<p><b>7. Were the methods reliable?</b></p> <p><i>For example,</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Was data collected by more than one method?</li> <li>• Is there justification for triangulation, or for not triangulating?</li> <li>• Do the methods investigate what they claim to?</li> </ul>	Choose an item.	Comments:

226



## Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

<b>Analysis</b>		
<p><b>8. Is the data analysis sufficiently rigorous?</b></p> <p><i>For example,</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is the procedure explicit – i.e. is it clear how the data was analysed to arrive at the results?</li> <li>• How systematic is the analysis, is the procedure reliable/dependable?</li> <li>• Is it clear how the themes and concepts were derived from the data?</li> </ul>	Choose an item.	Comments:
<p><b>9. Is the data 'rich'?</b></p> <p><i>For example,</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• How well are the contexts of the data described?</li> <li>• Has the diversity of perspective and content been explored?</li> <li>• How well has the detail and depth been demonstrated?</li> <li>• Are responses compared and contrasted across groups/sites?</li> </ul>	Choose an item.	Comments:
<p><b>10. Is the analysis reliable?</b></p> <p><i>For example,</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Did more than one researcher theme and code transcripts/data?</li> <li>• If so, how were differences resolved?</li> <li>• Did participants feed back on the transcripts/data if possible and relevant?</li> <li>• Were negative/ discrepant results addressed or ignored?</li> </ul>	Choose an item.	Comments:
<p><b>11. Are the findings convincing?</b></p> <p><i>For example,</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Are the findings clearly presented?</li> <li>• Are the findings internally coherent?</li> <li>• Are extracts from the original data included?</li> <li>• Is the data appropriately referenced?</li> <li>• Is the reporting clear and coherent?</li> </ul>	Choose an item.	Comments:
<p><b>12. Are the findings relevant to the aims of the study?</b></p>	Choose an item.	Comments:

Physical Activity and the Environment – Appendix 3: Search strategies

<p><b>13. Conclusions</b></p> <p><i>For example,</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• How clear are the links between data, interpretation and conclusions?</li> <li>• Are the conclusions plausible and coherent?</li> <li>• Have alternative explanations been explored and discounted?</li> <li>• Does this enhance understanding of the research topic?</li> <li>• Are the implications of the research clearly defined?</li> <li>• Is there adequate discussion of any limitations encountered?</li> </ul>	<p>Choose an item.</p>	<p>Comments:</p>
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------	------------------

227

<p><b>Ethics</b></p>		
<p><b>14. How clear and coherent is the reporting of ethics?</b></p> <p><i>For example,</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Have ethical issues been taken into consideration?</li> <li>• Are they adequately discussed e.g. do they address consent and anonymity?</li> <li>• Have the consequences of the research been considered i.e. raising expectations, changing behaviour etc?</li> <li>• Was the study approved by an ethics committee?</li> </ul>	<p>Choose an item.</p>	<p>Comments:</p>

<p><b>Overall Assessment</b></p>		
<p><b>As far as can be ascertained from the paper, how well was the study conducted?</b></p>	<p>Choose an item.</p>	<p>Comments:</p>

228

229 **Quality of Included Studies**

230 ***Effectiveness Studies***

231

EFFECTIVENESS STUDIES (EPOC Checklist) (N=3)										
Review 1	Question									Score
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
<b>Boarnet et al 2013</b>	NA	NA	++	+	++	-	+	++	+	+
<b>Brown et al 2016</b>	NA	NA	Unclear	-	+	NA	-	++	+	-
<b>Loader and Stanley 2009</b>	NA	NA	Unclear	Unclear	NA	Unclear	++	-	Unclear	-

232

EFFECTIVENESS STUDIES (EPOC Checklist) (N=15)										
Review 2	Question									Score
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
<b>Bjornskau et al 2012</b>	NA	NA	Unclear	Unclear	NA	-	-	NA	+	-
<b>Clark et al 2014</b>	NA	NA	-	Unclear	++	++	++	++	+	+
<b>D’Haese et al 2015</b>	NA	NA	+	+	+	++	-	++	-	+
<b>Dill et al 2014</b>	NA	NA	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	-

EFFECTIVENESS STUDIES (EPOC Checklist) (N=15)										
Review 2	Question									Score
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
<b>Fitzhugh et al 2010</b>	NA	NA	++	-	NA	+	Unclear	+	++	+
<b>Goodman et al 2013a</b>	NA	NA	+	+	++	-	+	++	+	+
<b>Gustat et al 2012</b>	NA	NA	Unclear	-	NA	Unclear	+	-	+	-
<b>Hoelscher et al 2016</b>	NA	NA	Unclear	+	-	+	+	++	-	-
<b>Krizek et al 2009</b>	NA	NA	-	Unclear	NA	-	+	++	+	-
<b>Orenstein et al 2007 (controlled study)</b>	NA	NA	Unclear	Unclear	++	-	+	+	-	-
<b>Ostergaard et al 2015</b>	NA	NA	-	+	-	-	+	+	++	-
<b>Parker et al 2013</b>	NA	NA	-	+	++	-	+	++	++	-
<b>Rissel et al 2015</b>	NA	NA	Unclear	Unclear	-	Unclear	-	-	-	-
<b>Sloman et al 2009</b>	NA	NA	Unclear	Unclear	NA	-	+	+	++	-
<b>West and Shores 2011</b>	NA	NA	+	-	-	+	-	++	++	-
<b>West and Shores 2015</b>	NA	NA	+	+	+	NA	+	++	+	+

EFFECTIVENESS STUDIES (EPOC Checklist) (N=14)										
Review 3	Question									Score
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
<b>Bohn Goldbaum et al 2013</b>	NA	NA	-	-	NA	-	-	-	++	-
<b>Christian et al 2013</b>	NA	NA	++	++	Unclear	Unclear	+	++	+	+
<b>Chomitz et al 2012</b>	NA	NA	-	-	Unclear	++	+	-	+	-
<b>Cohen et al 2009</b>	NA	NA	+	-	NA	-	Unclear	-	-	-
<b>Cohen et al 2014</b>	NA	NA	-	-	++	-	-	-	++	-
<b>Cohen et al 2015</b>	NA	NA	-	Unclear	++	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Droomers et al 2016</b>	NA	NA	-	Unclear	NA	+	+	+	++	+
<b>Dunton et al 2012</b>	NA	NA	-	++	++	+	+	-	+	+
<b>Norwood et al 2014</b>	NA	NA	-	+	NA	-	+	++	-	-
<b>Quigg Et al 2011</b>	NA	NA	-	+	+	Unclear	-	-	+	-
<b>Slater et al 2016</b>	NA	NA	+	++	++	-	-	-	++	-

EFFECTIVENESS STUDIES (EPOC Checklist) (N=14)										
Review 3	Question									Score
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
Tester and Baker 2009	NA	NA	Unclear	++	NA	-	-	+	+	-
Veitch et al 2012	NA	NA	-	+	NA	+	-	+	+	-
Ward Thompson et al 2014	NA	NA	Unclear	+	Unclear	NA	++	-	-	-

234

235 U = Unclear      NA = Not applicable

236 **Key to questions:**

- 237 1. Was the allocation sequence adequately generated?
- 238 2. Was the allocation adequately concealed?
- 239 3. Were baseline outcome measurements similar?
- 240 4. Were baseline characteristics similar?
- 241 5. Were incomplete outcome data adequately addressed?
- 242 6. Was knowledge of the allocated interventions adequately prevented during the study?
- 243 7. Was the study adequately protected against contamination?
- 244 8. Was the study free from selective outcome reporting?
- 245 9. Was the study free from other risks of bias?
- 246

247 **Before and After Studies**

UNCONTROLLED BEFORE AND AFTER STUDIES (EPHPP) (N=13)							
Review 1	Question						Score
	Selection Bias	Study Design	Confounders	Blinding	Data Collection Methods	Withdrawals and Dropouts	
<b>Bergman et al 2010</b>	Moderate	Moderate	Strong	Moderate	Moderate	Strong	+
<b>Brockman and Fox, 2011</b>	Moderate	Moderate	NA	Weak	Weak	NA	-
<b>Brown and Werner 2007</b>	Moderate	Weak	Weak	Moderate	Weak	Weak	-
<b>Brown and Werner 2009</b>	Moderate	Moderate	Strong	Moderate	Weak	Weak	-
<b>Brown et al 2015</b>	Weak	Weak	NA	Weak	Moderate	Strong	-
<b>Collins and Agarwal 2015</b>	Weak	Weak	NA	Moderate	Weak	Weak	-
<b>Foley et al 2017</b>	Moderate	Moderate	Weak	Moderate	Weak	Weak	-
<b>Heinen et al 2015</b>	Weak	Moderate	NA	Strong	Weak	Weak	-
<b>Karlstrom and Franklin 2009</b>	Moderate	Moderate	Weak	Weak	Weak	Moderate	-
<b>Miller et al 2015</b>	Weak	Weak	NA	Weak	Moderate	Strong	-
<b>Panter et al 2016</b>	Weak	Moderate	NA	Moderate	Strong	Weak	-
<b>Sharaby and Shiftan 2012</b>	Weak	Moderate	NA	Weak	Weak	Weak	-

UNCONTROLLED BEFORE AND AFTER STUDIES (EPHPP) (N=13)							
Review 1	Question						Score
	Selection Bias	Study Design	Confounders	Blinding	Data Collection Methods	Withdrawals and Dropouts	
<b>Transport for London 2008</b>	Moderate	Moderate	NA	Moderate	Weak	NA	+
UNCONTROLLED BEFORE AND AFTER STUDIES (EPHPP) (N=9)							
Review 2	Question						Score
	Selection Bias	Study Design	Confounders	Blinding	Data Collection Methods	Withdrawals and Dropouts	
<b>Adams and Cavill 2015</b>	Weak	Moderate	Weak	Moderate	Weak	Strong	-
<b>Goodman et al 2013b</b>	Weak	Moderate	NA	Moderate	Moderate	Weak	-
<b>Goodman et al 2014</b>	Weak	Moderate	NA	Moderate	Moderate	Weak	-
<b>Hendricks et al 2009</b>	Weak	Moderate	NA	Moderate	Weak	NA	-
<b>Hunter et al 2009</b>	Moderate	Moderate	Weak	Moderate	Weak	NA	-
<b>Parker et al 2011</b>	NA	Moderate	NA	Weak	Moderate	NA	-
<b>Poindexter et al 2007</b>	Moderate	Moderate	NA	Strong	Weak	NA	-
<b>Stewart et al 2014</b>	Weak	Moderate	NA	Weak	Weak	Weak	-
<b>Torres et al 2016</b>	Weak	Weak	Weak	Weak	Weak	Moderate	-



UNCONTROLLED BEFORE AND AFTER STUDIES (EPHPP) (N=6)							
Review 3	Question						Score
	Selection Bias	Study Design	Confounders	Blinding	Data Collection Methods	Withdrawals and Dropouts	
Gidlow et al 2010	Weak	Weak	NA	Weak	Weak	Weak	-
King et al 2015	NA	Weak	NA	Moderate	Strong	NA	+
Knuiman et al 2014	Moderate	Moderate	NA	Moderate	Strong	Weak	+
O'Brien and Morris 2009	Weak	Moderate	NA	Weak	Weak	NA	-
Paton-Lopez et al 2015	NA	Weak	NA	Moderate	Weak	Weak	-
Roemmich et al 2014	Weak	Moderate	Weak	Weak	Strong	NA	-

249

250 **Intervention integrity** and **Analyses** are included in the tool but a section rating is not required. Therefore they are not included in  
 251 this summary.

252

253 **Qualitative Studies**

QUALITATIVE STUDIES (N=2)															
Review 1	Question														Score
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Jones et al 2013	Appropriate	Clear	Defensible	NS	U	Clear	NS	NS	Rich	Reliable	Convincing	Relevant	Adequate	Appropriate	++
Kesten et al 2015	Appropriate	Clear	Defensible	Appropriately	Not described	Clear	NS	Rigorous	Rich	Reliable	Convincing	Relevant	Adequate	Appropriate	++

254

QUALITATIVE STUDIES (N=2)															
Review 2	Question														Score
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Orenstein et al 2007	N	N	N	N	Unclear	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	N	N	-
Sahlqvist et al 2015	Y	Y	Y	Not sure	Unclear	N	Y	Y	Not sure	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	+

255

QUALITATIVE STUDIES (N=3)															
Review 3	Question														Score
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Coulson et al 2011	Y	Y	Y	Y	Unclear	N	Not sure	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	+

QUALITATIVE STUDIES (N=3)															
Review 3	Question														Score
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Gidlow Revoiewetal 2010	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	-
Trayers et al 2006	Y	Mixed	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	+

256

257

**Key to questions:**

258

1. Is a qualitative approach appropriate?

259

2. Is the study clear in what it seeks to do?

260

3. How defensible / rigorous is the research design / methodology?

261

4. How well was the data collection carried out?

262

5. Is the role of the researcher clearly described?

263

6. Is the context clearly described?

264

7. Were the methods reliable?

265

8. Is the data analysis sufficiently rigorous?

266

9. Is the data 'rich'?

267

10. Is the analysis reliable?

268

11. Are the findings convincing?

269

12. Are the findings relevant to the aims of the study?

270

13. Conclusions

271

14. How clear and coherent is the reporting of ethics?

272

273

NS = Not sure / inadequately reported

274

U = Unclear

275

276

277 **4. Excluded Studies**

278

Authors	Title	Reason for exclusion
Aird (2015)	Active aging: Exploration into self-ratings of "being active," out-of-home physical activity, and participation among older Australian adults living in four different settings	• EXCLUDE on intervention
Albildso et al, 2012	Assessing the cost effectiveness of a community rail-trail in achieving physical activity gains	• EXCLUDE - unavailable
Anonymous (2015)	Impact of Safe Routes to School programs on walking and biking	• EXCLUDE - study type
Arredondo (2013)	Advocating for environmental changes to increase access to parks: engaging promotoras and youth leaders	• EXCLUDE on outcomes
Audrey (2015)	Healthy urban environments for children and young people: A systematic review of intervention studies	• EXCLUDE relevant systematic review
Aytur (2008)	Urban Containment Policies and Physical Activity. A Time-Series Analysis of Metropolitan Areas, 1990-2002	• EXCLUDE on intervention
Backing the bus (2006)	Backing the bus	• EXCLUDE - study type
Barnes et al., 2006	A longitudinal analysis of the effect of bicycle facilities on commute mode share	• EXCLUDE - duplicate
Bassett (2013)	Estimated energy expenditures for school-based policies and active living	• EXCLUDE on study type
Beale (2012)	Should we invest in environmental interventions to encourage physical activity in England? An economic appraisal	• EXCLUDE on study type
Bicycles 2010 (2010)	Bicycles 2010	• EXCLUDE - unavailable
Boarnet et al (2008)	Walking, Urban Design, and Health Toward a Cost-Benefit Analysis Framework	• EXCLUDE - study type
Boehm (2013)	Transformation of an Urban Corridor	• EXCLUDE no data to extract
Boone-Heinonen et al, 2010	Residential self-selection bias in the estimation of built environment effects on physical activity between adolescence and young adulthood	• EXCLUDE - no data to extract

PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

Brown (2015)	Congestion Pricing and Active Transport - Evidence from Five Opportunities for Natural Experiment	• EXCLUDE - relevant systematic review
Brown (2016)	A systematic review of economic analyses of active transport interventions that include physical activity benefits	• EXCLUDE - relevant systematic review
Bruhova (2012)	Statistical analysis of the impact of policies on active transport in European cities	• EXCLUDE on intervention
Buckley (2013)	Evaluating safe routes to school events that designate days for walking and bicycling	• EXCLUDE on intervention
Burbage (2014)	Building mutually beneficial partnerships to improve physical activity opportunities through shared-use efforts in under-resourced communities in Los Angeles County	• EXCLUDE - out of scope
Burbidge (2008)	Evaluating the Impact of Neighborhood Trail Development on Active Travel Behavior and Overall Physical Activity	• EXCLUDE - out of scope
Burgoyne (2007)	Walking in a city neighbourhood, paving the way	• EXCLUDE - out of scope
Burke (2009)	The Path to Active Living: Physical Activity Through Community Design in Somerville, Massachusetts	• EXCLUDE on outcomes
Calise (2012)	The effect of a neighborhood built environment on physical activity behaviors	• EXCLUDE on intervention
Carlson (2009)	Reducing Auto Congestion Around Schools: Transportation Demand Strategies for Schools Phase II Report	• EXCLUDE on intervention
Carman (2007)	Walking to Maintain and Improve Health: How the Integration of Sidewalks and Walking Paths in Senior Communities Promotes Successful Aging	• EXCLUDE no data to extract
Catacchio (2011)	More Cyclists + Better Design = Safer Roadways	• EXCLUDE - no data to extract
Caulfield (2014)	Re-cycling a City--Examining the Growth of Cycling in Dublin	• EXCLUDE - study type
Cavill (2011)	Cycling demonstration towns: A cost-effective investment to promote physical activity	• EXCLUDE - no data to extract

PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

Cedervall (2010)	Physical activity and implications on well-being in mild Alzheimer's disease: A qualitative case study on two men with dementia and their spouses	• EXCLUDE on country
Chapman (2014)	Increasing active travel: aims, methods and baseline measures of a quasi-experimental study	• EXCLUDE - no data to extract
Chaufan (2012)	The safe routes to school program in California: an update	• EXCLUDE - study type
Cheadle (2010)	Approaches to measuring the extent and impact of environmental change in three California community-level obesity prevention initiatives	• EXCLUDE on study type
Cheadle (2012)	Kaiser Permanente's Community Health Initiative in Northern California: evaluation findings and lessons learned	• EXCLUDE on intervention
Chillon (2011)	A systematic review of interventions for promoting active transportation to school	• EXCLUDE - relevant systematic review
Clark (2010)	Key stakeholder perspectives on the development of walkable neighbourhoods	• EXCLUDE - out of scope
Cleland (2014)	Identifying solutions to increase participation in physical activity interventions within a socio-economically disadvantaged community: A qualitative study	• EXCLUDE on intervention
Cobiac et al 2009	Cost-effectiveness of interventions to promote physical activity: a modelling study	• EXCLUDE - study type
Cohen (2008)	Impact of a new bicycle path on physical activity	• EXCLUDE - no data to extract
Cohen (2012)	Impact and cost-effectiveness of family Fitness Zones: A natural experiment in urban public parks	• EXCLUDE on intervention [Info] Green gym equipment
Cohen (2016)	CicLavia: Evaluation of participation, physical activity and cost of an open streets event in Los Angeles	• EXCLUDE - study type
Cope et al 2010	Cycling demonstration Towns - an economic evaluation.	• EXCLUDE - duplicate [Info] data reported elsewhere

PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

COWI and City of Copenhagen 2009	Economic Evaluation of cycle projects - methodology and unit prices	• EXCLUDE on study type [Info] Cross sectional survey
Cranney (2016)	Impact of an outdoor gym on park users' physical activity: A natural experiment	• EXCLUDE on intervention [Info] outdoor gym installation
Crawford (2013)	Tampa combines bus and toll lanes	• EXCLUDE - unavailable
Davey (2008)	Design of a pragmatic cluster randomised controlled trial: Ecological approach to increasing physical activity in an urban community	• EXCLUDE on intervention
De Cocker , Katrien A, De Bourdeaudhuij , Ilse M, Brown Wendy J, and Cardon Greet M, 2007	Effects of '10,000 Steps Ghent' A Whole-Community Intervention	• EXCLUDE on intervention
De Oliveira (2013)	How effective is the Forestry Commission Scotland's woodland improvement programme-'Woods In and Around Towns' (WIAT)-at improving psychological well-being in deprived urban communities? A quasi-experimental study	• EXCLUDE no data to extract
De Smedt et al 2012	A cost-effectiveness study of the community-based intervention "10 000 Steps Ghent"	• EXCLUDE on intervention
Deehr (2009)	Active Seattle: Achieving Walkability in Diverse Neighborhoods	• EXCLUDE on outcomes
Dobson (2009)	From Partnership to Policy: The Evolution of Active Living by Design in Portland, Oregon	• EXCLUDE on outcomes
Economic appraisal of... (2006)	Economic appraisal of local walking and cycling routes	• EXCLUDE - unavailable
Engelberg (2014)	Ciclovía participation and impacts in San Diego, CA: The first CicloSDias	• EXCLUDE on outcomes
Farley (2007)	Safe play spaces to promote physical activity in inner-city children: Results from a pilot study of an environmental intervention	• EXCLUDE on intervention [Info] schoolyards
Fishman (2015)	Dutch Cycling: Quantifying the Health and Related Economic Benefits	• EXCLUDE - study type
Frank (2008)	Urban planning and public health: A story of separation and reconnection	• EXCLUDE - no data to extract

PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

Frew et al 2014	Cost-effectiveness of a community-based physical activity programme for adults (be active) in the UK: an economic analysis within a natural experiment	• EXCLUDE on intervention
Frost (2010)	Effects of the built environment on physical activity of adults living in rural settings	• EXCLUDE - relevant systematic review
Ganten (2010)	Health co-benefits of policies to tackle climate change	• EXCLUDE - no data to extract
Garrard and Crawford, 2010	Evaluation of the Victorian Ride2School Program: Impacts and Insights into Promoting Active Travel to School.	• EXCLUDE on intervention
Geraghty (2009)	Partnership Moves Community Toward Complete Streets	• EXCLUDE on study type
Gerike (2016)	Physical Activity through Sustainable Transport Approaches (PASTA): A study protocol for a multicentre project	• EXCLUDE - no data to extract
Ghekiere (2014)	Critical environmental factors for transportation cycling in children: A qualitative study using bike-along interviews	• EXCLUDE - study type
Gomez-Feliciano (2009)	Active Living Logan Square: Joining Together to Create Opportunities for Physical Activity	• EXCLUDE on intervention
Gotschi (2011)	Costs and benefits of bicycling investments in Portland, Oregon	• EXCLUDE - study type
Greg (2010)	Do transport planning tools reflect the needs of the older traveller?	• EXCLUDE - qualitative
Guell (2012)	Towards a differentiated understanding of active travel behaviour: using social theory to explore everyday commuting	• EXCLUDE on intervention
Gunn L D, Lee Y, Geelhoed E, Shiell A, and Giles-Corti B, 2014	The cost-effectiveness of installing sidewalks to increase levels of transport-walking and health	• EXCLUDE on study type [Info] Cross sectional survey
Guo (2010)	An economic evaluation of health-promotive built environment changes	• EXCLUDE - study type
Haerens et al 2007	Acceptability, feasibility and effectiveness of a computer-tailored physical activity intervention in adolescents	• EXCLUDE on intervention
Hallgrimsdottir Berglind, Svensson Helena, and Stahl Agneta, 2015	Long term effects of an intervention in the outdoor environment--a comparison of older people's perception in two residential areas, in one of which accessibility improvements were introduced	• EXCLUDE on study type [Info] Cross sectional survey
Heath (2012)	Evidence-based intervention in physical activity: Lessons from around the world	• EXCLUDE - relevant systematic review



PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

Heinen (2015)	Sociospatial patterning of the use of new transport infrastructure: Walking, cycling and bus travel on the Cambridgeshire guided busway	• EXCLUDE - study type
Henderson (2013)	Safe routes to school: a public health practice success story-Atlanta, 2008-2010	• EXCLUDE on intervention
Hinckson (2011)	School travel plans: preliminary evidence for changing school-related travel patterns in elementary school children	• EXCLUDE on intervention
Hooker (2007)	Walkable Neighborhoods for Seniors: The Alameda County experience	• EXCLUDE on intervention
Hooker (2009)	Evaluation of the Walkable Neighborhoods for Seniors Project in Sacramento County	• EXCLUDE on intervention
Hooper (2014)	Evaluating the Implementation and Active Living Impacts of a State Government Planning Policy Designed to Create Walkable Neighborhoods in Perth, Western Australia	• EXCLUDE - no baseline data
Hunter (2015)	The impact of interventions to promote physical activity in urban green space: A systematic review and recommendations for future research	• EXCLUDE relevant systematic review
Hylton (2007)	The Walking Life	• EXCLUDE - no data to extract
Impacts of better use... (2009)	Impacts of better use transport interventions - review of the evaluation evidence base	• EXCLUDE - unavailable
Irvine (2013)	Understanding urban green space as a health resource: A qualitative comparison of visit motivation and derived effects among park users in sheffield, UK	• EXCLUDE - Qualitative
Jalaludin B, Maxwell M, Saddik B, Lobb E, Byun R, Gutierrez R, and Paszek J, 2012	A pre-and-post study of an urban renewal program in a socially disadvantaged neighbourhood in Sydney, Australia	• EXCLUDE on intervention
Jones (2012)	Getting the British back on bicycles - The effects of urban traffic-free paths on everyday cycling	• EXCLUDE - study type
Jones (2012)	Motivations for active commuting: a qualitative investigation of the period of home or work relocation	• EXCLUDE - study type
Jones et al 2016	CycleBOOM: Design for lifelong health and wellbeing	• EXCLUDE - qualitative

PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

Jordan et al 2008	Evaluation of the Gold Medal Schools Program	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• EXCLUDE on intervention</li> </ul>
Kaida and Kaida 2014	Spillover effect of congestion charging on pro-environmental behavior	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• EXCLUDE on study type [Info] Cross sectional survey</li> </ul>
Keuleers (2006)	Behavioural Change in Activity-Travel Patterns in Response to Road User Charging	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• EXCLUDE - study type</li> </ul>
Kinney (2012)	Isanti County Active Living: Measuring Change in Perception and Behavior	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• EXCLUDE on study type</li> </ul>
Kirby (2009)	Active travel to school: views of 10-13 year old schoolchildren in Scotland	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• EXCLUDE - Qualitative</li> </ul>
Klassen (2014)	Analyzing the severity of bicycle-motor vehicle collision using spatial mixed logit models: A city of edmonton case study	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• EXCLUDE - out of scope</li> </ul>
Koohsari et al 2014	Street connectivity and walking for transport: role of neighborhood destinations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• EXCLUDE - no data to extract</li> </ul>
Krieger (2009)	High point walking for health: creating built and social environments that support walking in a public housing community	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• EXCLUDE on intervention</li> </ul>
Krizec (2007)	Estimating the Economic Benefits of Bicycling and Bicycle Facilities: An Interpretive Review and Proposed Methods	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• EXCLUDE - unavailable</li> </ul>
Kuhlberg (2014)	Open streets initiatives in the United States: closed to traffic, open to physical activity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• EXCLUDE on study type[Info] Not a systematic review</li> </ul>
Laine (2014)	Cost-Effectiveness of Population-Level Physical Activity Interventions: A Systematic Review	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• EXCLUDE - relevant systematic review [Info] cost effectiveness of interventions to increase PA - some environmental changes in there that might be relevant</li> </ul>
Lanzendorf (2014)	The cycling boom in large German cities--Empirical evidence for successful cycling campaigns	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• EXCLUDE - study type</li> </ul>

PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

Lawrie (2006)	Research Pays Off: Bikeways to Prosperity: Assessing the Economic Impact of Bicycle Facilities	• EXCLUDE on outcomes
Learnihan et al 2011	Effect of scale on the links between walking and urban design.	• EXCLUDE on study type [Info] Cross sectional survey
Lee et al, 2009	The built environment and physical activity levels: the Harvard Alumni Health Study	• EXCLUDE - no data to extract
Li (2012)	Congestion charging and car use: A review of stated preference and opinion studies and market monitoring evidence	• EXCLUDE on outcomes
Loader (2009)	Growing bus patronage and addressing transport disadvantage The Melbourne experience	• INCLUDE - transport
MacDonald (2010)	The Effect of Light Rail Transit on Body Mass Index and Physical Activity	• EXCLUDE - study type [Info] cross sectional association
Maddock (2008)	Increasing access to places for physical activity through a joint use agreement: a case study in urban Honolulu	• EXCLUDE on intervention
Margaret (2006)	Age-friendly cities	• EXCLUDE on study type
Martens (2007)	Promoting Bike-and-Ride: The Dutch Experience	• EXCLUDE - study type
Mason (2011)	Ciclovía in Chicago: a strategy for community development to improve public health	• EXCLUDE on outcomes
McCormack (2011)	In search of causality: A systematic review of the relationship between the built environment and physical activity among adults	• EXCLUDE - relevant systematic review
McCreedy (2009)	Get Active Orlando: Changing the Built Environment to Increase Physical Activity	• EXCLUDE on study type
McDonald (2013)	Impact of the Safe Routes to School program on walking and biking: Eugene, Oregon study	• EXCLUDE on outcomes [Info] Analysis combines educational intervention with environmental changes so cannot tell which part of the

PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

		intervention is effective
McDonald (2014)	Impact of the Safe Routes to School Program on Walking and Bicycling	• EXCLUDE - study type
McKee (2007)	Promoting walking to school: results of a quasi-experimental trial	• EXCLUDE on intervention
Mehdipanah (2013)	An evaluation of an urban renewal program and its effects on neighborhood resident's overall wellbeing using concept mapping	• EXCLUDE on country
Mendes (2009)	Neighborhood Social Cohesion and Disorder in Relation to Walking in Community-Dwelling Older Adults: A Multilevel Analysis	• EXCLUDE - study type [Info] association study
Michael (2014)	Environmental influences on healthy and active ageing: a systematic review	• EXCLUDE on intervention
Miller (2009)	Slavic Village: incorporating active living into community development through partnerships	• EXCLUDE on outcomes
Montemurro (2011)	"Walkable by Willpower": Resident perceptions of neighbourhood environments	• EXCLUDE on country
Moran (2014)	Understanding the relationships between the physical environment and physical activity in older adults: A systematic review of qualitative studies	• EXCLUDE - Qualitative
Moudon and Stewart, 2012	Moving Forward: Safe Routes to School Progress in Five States	• EXCLUDE - duplicate [Info] <i>Stewart 2014 duplicate</i>
Muller-Riemenschneider (2009)	Cost-effectiveness of interventions promoting physical activity	• EXCLUDE relevant systematic review
Mulvaney (2015)	Cycling infrastructure for reducing cycling injuries in cyclists	• EXCLUDE - relevant systematic review
Murthy (2015)	Making Our Communities Walkable for Older Adults	• EXCLUDE - no data to extract

PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

Newton (2010)	Increasing Independence for Older People through Good Street Design	• EXCLUDE - qualitative
Nicaise (2012)	Evaluation of a redesigned outdoor space on preschool children's physical activity during recess	• EXCLUDE - out of scope
Nicholson (2014)	Developing a Measure of Traffic Calming Associated with Elementary School Students' Active Transport	• EXCLUDE - study type
O'Fallon, 2010	Bike Now: Exploring methods of building sustained participation in cycle commuting in New Zealand.	• EXCLUDE on intervention
Ogilvie (2010)	Shoe leather epidemiology: Active travel and transport infrastructure in the urban landscape	• EXCLUDE out of scope
Ogilvie (2010)	Commuting and health in Cambridge: a study of a 'natural experiment' in the provision of new transport infrastructure	• EXCLUDE - no data to extract
Ogilvie (2011)	An applied ecological framework for evaluating infrastructure to promote walking and cycling: the iConnect Study	• EXCLUDE - no data to extract
Ogilvie (2012)	Evaluating the travel, physical activity and carbon impacts of a 'natural experiment' in the provision of new walking and cycling infrastructure: Methods for the core module of the iConnect study	• EXCLUDE - no data to extract
Ogilvie (2016)	Health impacts of the Cambridgeshire Guided Busway: a natural experimental study (Structured abstract)	• EXCLUDE - duplicate[Info] data reported elsewhere
Ogilvie (2016)	Health impacts of the Cambridgeshire Guided Busway : a natural experimental study	• EXCLUDE - duplicate
Omishakin (2009)	Achieving Built-Environment and Active Living Goals Through Music City Moves	• EXCLUDE on study type
Orenstein (2007)	Safe Routes to School: Safety & Mobility Analysis: Report to the California Legislature	• EXCLUDE - duplicate
Panken and Holaly-Zembo, 2015	Using an integrated approach to evaluate "Where do Flint's families play"	• EXCLUDE - no baseline data

PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

Petticrew (2009)	The SHARP study: A quantitative and qualitative evaluation of the short-term outcomes of housing and neighbourhood renewal	• EXCLUDE on intervention
Pucher (2010)	Infrastructure, programs, and policies to increase bicycling: An international review	• EXCLUDE - relevant systematic review
Rantakokko (2012)	Perceived barriers in the outdoor environment and development of walking difficulties in older people	• EXCLUDE - Qualitative
Reed (2009)	Profile differences of users of paved versus natural-surface trails	• EXCLUDE on outcomes
Reynolds (2014)	Systematic review of incidental physical activity community interventions	• EXCLUDE - out of scope
Richardson (2013)	Building HIA approaches into strategies for green space use: an example from Plymouth's (UK) Stepping Stones to Nature project	• EXCLUDE no data to extract
Rind (2015)	"I used to be as fit as a linnet" - Beliefs, attitudes, and environmental supportiveness for physical activity in former mining areas in the North-East of England	• EXCLUDE - Qualitative
Rissel (2013)	Evaluating the transport, health and economic impacts of new urban cycling infrastructure in Sydney, Australia - protocol paper	• EXCLUDE - no data to extract
Rose and Marfurt, 2007	Travel behaviour change impacts of a major ride to work day event.	• EXCLUDE on intervention
Rosenberg (2011)	Physical activity among persons aging with mobility disabilities: Shaping a research agenda	• EXCLUDE on study type
Rutt (2008)	Using policy and built environment interventions to improve public health	• EXCLUDE - no data to extract
Saelens (2008)	Built environment correlates of walking: a review	• EXCLUDE on study type
Sarmiento (2010)	The Ciclovía-Recreativa: A mass-recreational program with public health potential	• EXCLUDE relevant systematic review [Info] Not all papers relevant, country dependent
Sayers (2012)	Bike, Walk, and Wheel: A Way of Life in Columbia, Missouri, Revisited	• EXCLUDE - study type

PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

Schasberger (2009)	Promoting and Developing a Trail Network Across Suburban, Rural, and Urban Communities	• EXCLUDE on outcomes
Schasberger (2009)	Promoting and Developing a Trail Network Across Suburban, Rural, and Urban Communities	• EXCLUDE on outcomes
Simons (2014)	Why do young adults choose different transport modes? A focus group study	• EXCLUDE on country
Skelton (2013)	Environmental and behavioural interventions for reducing physical activity limitation in community-dwelling visually impaired older people	• EXCLUDE relevant systematic review
Slavulj (2012)	Stimulation of Public Urban Passenger Transport through Transport Demand Management (Poticanje javnoga gradskog putničkog prijevoza upravljanjem prijevozne potražnje)	• EXCLUDE - unavailable
Soler (2013)	Play streets: working together to encourage healthier living in communities. Interviewed by Jamie Devereaux	• EXCLUDE - study type
SQW Consulting 2007	Valuing the benefits of cycling: A report to Cycling England	• EXCLUDE - no data to extract
SQW Consulting 2008	Planning for cycling: report to Cycling England	• EXCLUDE - unavailable
Stevens (2011)	Walkable new urban LEED_Neighborhood-Development (LEED-ND) community design and children's physical activity: Selection, environmental, or catalyst effects?	• EXCLUDE - no baseline data
Stewart (2015)	What interventions increase commuter cycling? A systematic review	• EXCLUDE - relevant systematic review
Stokes (2008)	Estimating the effects of light rail transit on health care costs	• EXCLUDE on study type
Strath (2007)	Operationalizing environmental indicators for physical activity in older adults	• EXCLUDE out of scope
Strath (2007)	Operationalizing environmental indicators for physical activity in older adults	• EXCLUDE - out of scope
Susilo (2012)	The Influence of Individuals' Environmental Attitudes and Urban Design Features on Their Travel Patterns in Sustainable Neighborhoods in the UK	• EXCLUDE on study type [Info] cross sectional survey

PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

Sustrans Scotland 2014	Sustrans Scotland: walking and cycling outcomes, Sustrans' Scottish Government Grant 2012-2015.	• EXCLUDE on intervention
Taylor (2007)	Changing social and built environments to promote physical activity: recommendations from low income, urban women	• EXCLUDE - study type
Tenbrink (2009)	Project U-Turn: Increasing Active Transportation in Jackson, Michigan	• EXCLUDE - no data to extract
Thomas (2009)	Bike, Walk, and Wheel: A Way of Life in Columbia, Missouri	• EXCLUDE on study type
Timperio (2015)	Playability: Built and Social Environment Features That Promote Physical Activity Within Children	• EXCLUDE on study type [Info] Relevant SR - all cross sectional studies included
Toftager (2011)	SPACE for physical activity--a multicomponent intervention study: study design and baseline findings from a cluster randomized controlled trial	• EXCLUDE on study type [Info] The paper describes the study design, data collection, intervention components and implementation. No results presented.
Trayers (2006)	Improving health through neighbourhood environmental change: Are we speaking the same language? A qualitative study of views of different stakeholders	• EXCLUDE - no data to extract
Tully (2013)	Built environment interventions for increasing physical activity in adults and children	• EXCLUDE relevant systematic review [Info] open space and transport
Tully (2013)	Physical activity and the rejuvenation of Connswater (PARC study): protocol for a natural experiment investigating the impact of urban regeneration on public health	• EXCLUDE - no data to extract
Umstatted (2016)	Physical Activity-Related Policy and Environmental Strategies to Prevent Obesity in Rural Communities: A Systematic Review of the Literature, 2002-2013	• EXCLUDE - out of scope



PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

Van Cauwenberg (2014)	Using manipulated photographs to identify features of streetscapes that may encourage older adults to walk for transport	• EXCLUDE - out of scope
van Sluijs (2007)	Effectiveness of Interventions to Promote Physical Activity in Children and Adolescents: Systematic Review of Controlled Trials	• EXCLUDE on intervention [Info] systematic review but not relevant
Van Sluijs (2008)	Effectiveness of interventions to promote physical activity in children and adolescents: Systematic review of controlled trials	• EXCLUDE on intervention
Vandenberg (2016)	Walking and Walkability: Is Wayfinding a Missing Link? Implications for Public Health Practice	• EXCLUDE on intervention
Vanwollegem (2014)	Feasibility and effectiveness of drop-off spots to promote walking to school	• EXCLUDE - out of scope
Veitch (2014)	A natural experiment to examine the impact of park renewal on park-use and park-based physical activity in a disadvantaged neighbourhood: the REVAMP study methods	• EXCLUDE - no data to extract
Verstraete et al 2006	Increasing children's physical activity levels during recess periods in elementary schools: the effects of providing game equipment	• EXCLUDE on intervention
Villa-Gonzalez (2016)	Effectiveness of an active commuting school-based intervention at 6-month follow-up	• EXCLUDE on intervention
Vuori (2011)	Promoting cycling: a review of interventions	• EXCLUDE - relevant systematic review
Wells (2008)	Neighborhood Design and Walking. A Quasi-Experimental Longitudinal Study	• EXCLUDE on intervention
Wen (2008)	Increasing active travel to school: Are we on the right track? A cluster randomised controlled trial from Sydney, Australia	• EXCLUDE on intervention
West (2011)	The impacts of building a greenway on proximate residents' physical activity	• EXCLUDE - duplicate
Wilson and Cope 2011	Value for money of walking and cycling interventions: making the case for investment in active travel.	• EXCLUDE - no data to extract
Wolf (2015)	The impact of a temporary recurrent street closure on physical activity in New York City	• EXCLUDE - study type

PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

Zangenehpour (2016)	Are signalized intersections with cycle tracks safer? A case-control study based on automated surrogate safety analysis using video data	• EXCLUDE - study type
Zapata Diomedi et al 2016	The effects of built environment attributes on physical activity-related health and healthcare costs outcomes in Australia	• EXCLUDE - relevant systematic review
Zheng (2016)	Time-dependent area-based pricing for multimodal systems with heterogeneous users in an agent-based environment	• EXCLUDE - study type
Zhu (2014)	A retrospective study on changes in residents' physical activities, social interactions, and neighborhood cohesion after moving to a walkable community	• EXCLUDE on study type
Zieff (2014)	A "Ciclovía" in San Francisco: Characteristics and physical activity behavior of Sunday Streets participants	• EXCLUDE on study type [Info] Cross sectional survey
Zook (2012)	Design and Pedestrianism in a Smart Growth Development	• EXCLUDE - study type

279

280 **5. Non-Comparative Studies (Excluded)**

- 281 Aarts M J, de Vries , S I, van Oers , H A M, and Schuit A J. (2012). Outdoor play among children in relation to neighborhood characteristics: a  
282 cross-sectional neighborhood observation study. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 9, pp.no pagination.
- 283 Aarts Marie-Jeanne, Mathijssen Jolanda J. P, van Oers , Johannes A M, and Schuit Albertine J. (2013). Associations between environmental  
284 characteristics and active commuting to school among children: a cross-sectional study. *International journal of behavioral medicine*, 20(4),  
285 pp.538-55.
- 286 Abildso Christiaan G, Zizzi Sam, Abildso Laurie C, Steele Jenessa C, and Gordon Paul M. (2007). Built Environment and Psychosocial Factors  
287 Associated With Trail Proximity and Use. *American Journal of Health Behavior*, 31(4), pp.374-383.
- 288 Adams E J, Goodman A, Sahlqvist S, Bull F C, and Ogilvie D. (2013). Correlates of walking and cycling for transport and recreation: Factor  
289 structure, reliability and behavioural associations of the perceptions of the environment in the neighbourhood scale (PENS). *International*  
290 *Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 10, pp.no pagination.
- 291 Adams M A, Ding D, Sallis J F, Bowles H R, Ainsworth B E, Bergman P, Bull F C, Carr H, Craig C L, De Bourdeaudhuij , I , Gomez L F,  
292 Hagstromer M, Klasson-Heggebo L, Inoue S, Lefevre J, Macfarlane D J, Matsudo S, Matsudo V, McLean G, Murase N, Sjostrom M, Tomten H,  
293 Volbekiene V, and Bauman A. (2013). Patterns of neighborhood environment attributes related to physical activity across 11 countries: A latent  
294 class analysis. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 10, pp.no pagination.
- 295 Adams M A, Todd M, Kurka J, Conway T L, Cain K L, Frank L D, and Sallis J F. (2015). Patterns of Walkability, Transit, and Recreation  
296 Environment for Physical Activity. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 49(6), pp.878-887.
- 297 Adams Marc A, Frank Lawrence D, Schipperijn Jasper, Smith Graham, Chapman James, Christiansen Lars B, Coffee Neil, Salvo Deborah, du  
298 Toit, Lorinne , Dygryn Jan, Hino Adriano Akira Ferreira, Lai Poh-chin, Mavoa Suzanne, Pinzon Jose David, Van de Weghe , Nico , Cerin Ester,  
299 Davey Rachel, Macfarlane Duncan, Owen Neville, and Sallis James F. (2014). International variation in neighborhood walkability, transit, and  
300 recreation environments using geographic information systems: the IPEN adult study. *International journal of health geographics*, 13, pp.43.

PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 301 Adams Marc A, Sallis James F, Conway Terry L, Frank Lawrence D, Saelens Brian E, Kerr Jacqueline, Cain Kelli L, and King Abby C. (2012).  
302 Neighborhood environment profiles for physical activity among older adults. *American journal of health behavior*, 36(6), pp.757-69.
- 303 Adams Marc A, Sallis James F, Kerr Jacqueline, Conway Terry L, Saelens Brian E, Frank Lawrence D, Norman Gregory J, and Cain Kelli L.  
304 (2011). Neighborhood environment profiles related to physical activity and weight status: A latent profile analysis. *Preventive Medicine*, 52(5),  
305 pp.326-331.
- 306 Aditjandra Paulus, Teguh , Mulley Corinne, Nelson John, and D . (2013). The influence of neighbourhood design on travel behaviour: Empirical  
307 evidence from North East England. *Transport Policy*, 26, pp.54-65.
- 308 Aibar Alberto, Bois Julien E, Generelo Eduardo, Bengoechea Enrique García, Paillard Thierry, and Zaragoza Javier. (2015). Effect of Weather,  
309 School Transport, and Perceived Neighborhood Characteristics on Moderate to Vigorous Physical Activity Levels of Adolescents From Two  
310 European Cities. *Environment & Behavior*, 47(4), pp.395-417.
- 311 Alton D, Adab P, Roberts L, and Barrett T. (2007). Relationship between walking levels and perceptions of the local neighbourhood  
312 environment. *Archives of Disease in Childhood*, 92(1), pp.29-33.
- 313 Arango C M, Paez D C, Reis R S, Brownson R C, and Parra D C. (2013). Association between the perceived environment and physical activity  
314 among adults in Latin America: A systematic review. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 10, pp.no pagination.
- 315 Arvidsson Daniel, Eriksson Ulf, Lonn Sara Larsson, and Sundquist Kristina. (2013). Neighborhood walkability, income, and hour-by-hour  
316 physical activity patterns. *Medicine and science in sports and exercise*, 45(4), pp.698-705.
- 317 Arvidsson Daniel, Kawakami Naomi, Ohlsson Henrik, and Sundquist Kristina. (2012). Physical activity and concordance between objective and  
318 perceived walkability. *Medicine and science in sports and exercise*, 44(2), pp.280-7.
- 319 Aytur S A, Rodriguez D A, Evenson K R, Catellier D J, and Rosamond W D. (2008). The sociodemographics of land use planning:  
320 Relationships to physical activity, accessibility, and equity. *Health and Place*, 14(3), pp.367-385.

PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 321 Babey Susan H, Hastert Theresa A, Huang Winnie, and Brown E Richard. (2009). Sociodemographic, Family, and Environmental Factors  
322 Associated with Active Commuting to School among US Adolescents. *Journal of Public Health Policy*, 30(supplement), pp.S203-S220.
- 323 Babey Susan H, Hastert Theresa A, Yu Hongjian, and Brown E Richard. (2008). Physical Activity among Adolescents: When Do Parks Matter?.  
324 *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 34(4), pp.345-348.
- 325 Babey Susan H, Tan Diane, Wolstein Joelle, and Diamant Allison L. (2015). Neighborhood, family and individual characteristics related to  
326 adolescent park-based physical activity. *Preventive Medicine*, 76, pp.31-36.
- 327 Badland H M, Oliver M, Kearns R A, Mavoa S, Witten K, Duncan M J, and Batty G D. (2012). Association of neighbourhood residence and  
328 preferences with the built environment, work-related travel behaviours, and health implications for employed adults: Findings from the URBAN  
329 study. *Social Science and Medicine*, 75(8), pp.1469-1476.
- 330 Badland Hannah M, Schofield Grant M, and Garrett Nick. (2008). Travel behavior and objectively measured urban design variables:  
331 Associations for adults traveling to work. *Health & Place*, 14(1), pp.85-95.
- 332 Bai Hua, Wilhelm Stanis, Sonja A, Kaczynski Andrew T, and Besenyi Gina M. (2013). Perceptions of neighborhood park quality: associations  
333 with physical activity and body mass index. *Annals of behavioral medicine : a publication of the Society of Behavioral Medicine*, 45 Suppl 1,  
334 pp.S39-48.
- 335 Bakhtari Aghdam, Fatemeh , Baghiani Moghaddam, Mohammad Hossein, Asghari Jafarabadi, Mohammad , Allahverdipour Hamid, Dabagh  
336 Nikookheslat, Saed , and Noorizadeh Roghaieh. (2013). Explaining the role of personal, social and physical environment factors on employed  
337 women's physical activity: a structural equation analysis. *Global journal of health science*, 5(4), pp.189-99.
- 338 Ball K, Timperio A, Salmon J, Giles-Corti B, Roberts R, and Crawford D. (2007). Personal, social and environmental determinants of  
339 educational inequalities in walking: A multilevel study. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*, 61(2), pp.108-114.
- 340 Baskin Monica L, Dulin-Keita Akilah, Thind Herpreet, and Godsey Emily. (2015). Social and Cultural Environment Factors Influencing  
341 Physical Activity Among African-American Adolescents. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 56(5), pp.536-542.

PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 342 Beenackers Marille A, Foster Sarah, Kamphuis Carlijn B. M, Titze Sylvia, Divitini Mark, Knuiman Matthew, van Lenthe , Frank J, and Giles-  
343 Corti Billie. (2012). Taking Up Cycling After Residential Relocation: Built Environment Factors. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*,  
344 42(6), pp.610-615.
- 345 Berke E M, Koepsell T D, Moudon A V, Hoskins R E, and Larson E B. (2007). Association of the built environment with physical activity and  
346 obesity in older persons. *American Journal of Public Health*, 97(3), pp.486-492.
- 347 Berrigan David, Pickle Linda W, and Dill Jennifer. (2010). Associations between street connectivity and active transportation. *International*  
348 *journal of health geographics*, 9, pp.20.
- 349 Bird S R, Radermacher H, Sims J, Feldman S, Browning C, and Thomas S. (2010). Factors affecting walking activity of older people from  
350 culturally diverse groups: An Australian experience. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, 13(4), pp.417-423.
- 351 Bloemen M A, Backx F J, Takken T, Wittink H, Benner J, Mollema J, de Groot , and J F. (2015). Factors associated with physical activity in  
352 children and adolescents with a physical disability: a systematic review. *Developmental medicine and child neurology*, 57(2), pp.137-148.
- 353 Boarnet Marlon G, Forsyth Ann, Day Kristen, and Oakes J Michael. (2011). The Street Level Built Environment and Physical Activity and  
354 Walking: Results of a Predictive Validity Study for the Irvine Minnesota Inventory. *Environment & Behavior*, 43(6), pp.735-775.
- 355 Bocarro Jason N, Floyd Myron F, Smith William R, Edwards Michael B, Schultz Courtney L, Baran Perver, Moore Robin A, Cosco Nilda, and  
356 Suau Luis J. (2015). Social and Environmental Factors Related to Boys' and Girls' Park-Based Physical Activity. *Preventing chronic disease*, 12,  
357 pp.E97.
- 358 Boer Rob, Zheng Yuhui, Overton Adrian, Ridgeway Gregory K, and Cohen Deborah A. (2007). Neighborhood design and walking trips in ten  
359 U.S. metropolitan areas. *American journal of preventive medicine*, 32(4), pp.298-304.
- 360 Boone-Heinonen Janne, Popkin Barry M, Song Yan, and Gordon-Larsen Penny. (2010). What neighborhood area captures built environment  
361 features related to adolescent physical activity?. *Health & Place*, 16(6), pp.1280-1286.

PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 362 Bopp M, Hastmann T J, and Norton A N. (2013). Active commuting among K-12 educators: A study examining walking and biking to work.  
363 *Journal of Environmental and Public Health*, 2013, pp.no pagination.
- 364 Bopp Melissa, Sims Dangaia, Colgan Joanna, Rovniak Liza, Matthews Stephen A, and Poole Erika. (2016). An Examination of Workplace  
365 Influences on Active Commuting in a Sample of University Employees. *Journal of public health management and practice : JPHMP*, 22(4),  
366 pp.387-91.
- 367 Borst Hieronymus C, Miedema Henk M. E, de Vries , Sanne I, Graham Jamie M. A, van Dongen , and Jef E F. (2008). Relationships between  
368 street characteristics and perceived attractiveness for walking reported by elderly people. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 28(4), pp.353-  
369 361.
- 370 Boschmann E Eric, Brady Sylvia, and A . (2013). Travel behaviors, sustainable mobility, and transit-oriented developments: a travel counts  
371 analysis of older adults in the Denver, Colorado metropolitan area. *Journal of Transport Geography*, 33, pp.1-11.
- 372 Bracy N L, Millstein R A, Carlson J A, Conway T L, Sallis J F, Saelens B E, Kerr J, Cain K L, Frank L D, and King A C. (2014). Is the  
373 relationship between the built environment and physical activity moderated by perceptions of crime and safety?. *International Journal of*  
374 *Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 11(1), pp.no pagination.
- 375 Brazdova Zuzana Derflerova, Klimusova Helena, Hruska Dalibor, Prokopova Alice, Burjanek Ales, and Wulff Krauff Rainer Schwanhaeuser.  
376 (2015). Assessment of Environmental Determinants of Physical Activity: a Study of Built Environment Indicators in Brno, Czech Republic.  
377 *Central European journal of public health*, 23 Suppl, pp.S23-9.
- 378 Bringolf-Isler Bettina, Grize Leticia, Mader Urs, Ruch Nicole, Sennhauser Felix H, Braun-Fahrlander Charlotte, and team Scarpol. (2008).  
379 Personal and environmental factors associated with active commuting to school in Switzerland. *Preventive Medicine*, 46(1), pp.67-73.
- 380 Broberg Anna, and Sarjala Satu. (2015). School Travel Mode Choice and the Characteristics of the Urban Built Environment: The Case of  
381 Helsinki, Finland. *Transport Policy*, 37, pp.1-10.

PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 382 Brown Barbara B, Smith Ken R, Hanson Heidi, Fan Jessie X, Kowaleski-Jones Lori, and Zick Cathleen D. (2013). Neighborhood Design for  
383 Walking and Biking: Physical Activity and Body Mass Index. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 44(3), pp.231-238.
- 384 Buck Christoph, Tkaczick Tobias, Pitsiladis Yannis, De Bourdehaudhuij , Ilse , Reisch Lucia, Ahrens Wolfgang, and Pigeot Iris. (2015).  
385 Objective measures of the built environment and physical activity in children: from walkability to moveability. *Journal of urban health : bulletin*  
386 *of the New York Academy of Medicine*, 92(1), pp.24-38.
- 387 Buehler Ralph, and Pucher John. (2012). Cycling to Work in 90 Large American Cities: New Evidence on the Role of Bike Paths and Lanes.  
388 *Transportation*, 39(2), pp.409-32.
- 389 Buehler Ralph, and Pucher John. (2012). Walking and Cycling in Western Europe and the United States: Trends, Policies, and Lessons. *TR*  
390 *News*, , pp.34-42.
- 391 Buehler Ralph, Hamre Andrea, Sonenklar Dan, and Goger Paul. (2011). Trends and Determinants of Cycling in the Washington, DC Region.  
392 *This report was sponsored by the U.S. Department of Transportation*, , pp..
- 393 Buehler Ralph, Hamre Andrea, Sonenklar Dan, and Goger Paul. (2012). Cycling Trends and Policies in the Washington, DC Region. *World*  
394 *Transport Policy & Practice*, 18, pp.6-29.
- 395 Buehler Ralph. (2012). Determinants of Bicycle Commuting in the Washington, DC Region: The Role of Bicycle Parking, Cyclist Showers, and  
396 Free Car Parking at Work. *Transportation Research: Part D: Transport and Environment*, 17(7), pp.525-31.
- 397 Bungum Timothy J, Landers Merrill, Azzarelli Maria, and Moonie Sheniz. (2012). Perceived environmental physical activity correlates among  
398 Asian Pacific Islander Americans. *Journal of physical activity & health*, 9(8), pp.1098-104.
- 399 Bungum Timothy J, Lounsbery Monica, Moonie Sheniz, and Gast Julie. (2009). Prevalence and Correlates of Walking and Biking to School  
400 Among Adolescents. *Journal of Community Health*, 34(2), pp.129-134.



PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 401 Cain K L, Millstein R A, Sallis J F, Conway T L, Gavand K A, Frank L D, Saelens B E, Geremia C M, Chapman J, Adams M A, Glanz K, and  
402 King A C. (2014). Contribution of streetscape audits to explanation of physical activity in four age groups based on the Microscale Audit of  
403 Pedestrian Streetscapes (MAPS). *Social Science and Medicine*, 116, pp.82-92.
- 404 Calogiuri Giovanna, and Chroni Stilian. (2014). The impact of the natural environment on the promotion of active living: an integrative  
405 systematic review. *BMC public health*, 14, pp.873.
- 406 Calvey J C, Shackleton J P, Taylor M D, and Llewellyn R. (2015). Engineering Condition Assessment of Cycling Infrastructure: Cyclists'  
407 Perceptions of Satisfaction and Comfort. *Transportation Research: Part A: Policy and Practice*, 78(0), pp.134-43.
- 408 Cao Xinyu. (2006). The Causal Relationship between the Built Environment and Personal Travel Choice: Evidence from Northern California.  
409 *University of California, and Davis. Institute of Transportation Studies. Research report*, , pp.191.
- 410 Carlson J A, Sallis J F, Conway T L, Saelens B E, Frank L D, Kerr J, Cain K L, and King A C. (2012). Interactions between psychosocial and  
411 built environment factors in explaining older adults' physical activity. *Preventive Medicine*, 54(1), pp.68-73.
- 412 Carlson Jordan A, Bracy Nicole L, Sallis James F, Millstein Rachel A, Saelens Brian E, Kerr Jacqueline, Conway Terry L, Frank Lawrence D,  
413 Cain Kelli L, and King Abby C. (2014). Sociodemographic moderators of relations of neighborhood safety to physical activity. *Medicine and  
414 science in sports and exercise*, 46(8), pp.1554-63.
- 415 Carlson Jordan A, Saelens Brian E, Kerr Jacqueline, Schipperijn Jasper, Conway Terry L, Frank Lawrence D, Chapman Jim E, Glanz Karen,  
416 Cain Kelli L, and Sallis James F. (2015). Association between neighborhood walkability and GPS-measured walking, bicycling and vehicle time  
417 in adolescents. *Health & Place*, 32, pp.1-7.
- 418 Carlson Jordan A, Sallis James F, Kerr Jacqueline, Conway Terry L, Cain Kelli, Frank Lawrence D, and Saelens Brian E. (2014). Built  
419 environment characteristics and parent active transportation are associated with active travel to school in youth age 12-15. *British journal of  
420 sports medicine*, 48(22), pp.1634-9.

## PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 421 Carson Valerie, Rosu Andrei, and Janssen Ian. (2014). A cross-sectional study of the environment, physical activity, and screen time among  
422 young children and their parents. *BMC public health*, 14, pp.61.
- 423 Carver A, Timperio A F, and Crawford D A. (2008). Neighborhood road environments and physical activity among youth: The CLAN study.  
424 *Journal of Urban Health*, 85(4), pp.532-544.
- 425 Carver Alison, Timperio Anna, and Crawford David. (2008). Perceptions of neighborhood safety and physical activity among youth: the CLAN  
426 study. *Journal of physical activity & health*, 5(3), pp.430-44.
- 427 Carver Alison, Timperio Anna, and Crawford David. (2008). Playing it safe: the influence of neighbourhood safety on children's physical  
428 activity. A review. *Health & place*, 14(2), pp.217-27.
- 429 Carver Alison, Timperio Anna, Hesketh Kylie, and Crawford David. (2010). Are safety-related features of the road environment associated with  
430 smaller declines in physical activity among youth?. *Journal of urban health : bulletin of the New York Academy of Medicine*, 87(1), pp.29-43.
- 431 Casagrande Sarah Stark, Whitt-Glover Melicia C, Lancaster Kristie J, Odoms-Young Angela M, and Gary Tiffany L. (2009). Built environment  
432 and health behaviors among African Americans: a systematic review. *American journal of preventive medicine*, 36(2), pp.174-81.
- 433 Cauwenberg J, Clarys P, Bourdeaudhuij I, Holle V, Verté D, Witte N, Donder L, Buffel T, Dury S, and Deforche B. (2013). Older adults'  
434 transportation walking: a cross-sectional study on the cumulative influence of physical environmental factors. *International journal of health  
435 geographics*, 12, pp.37.
- 436 Cerin E, Vandelanotte C, Leslie E, and Merom D. (2008). Recreational Facilities and Leisure-Time Physical Activity: An Analysis of  
437 Moderators and Self-Efficacy as a Mediator. *Health Psychology*, 27(2 SUPPL. 2), pp.S126-S135.
- 438 Cerin Ester, Cain Kelli L, Conway Terry L, Van Dyck , Delfien , Hinckson Erica, Schipperijn Jasper, De Bourdeaudhuij , Ilse , Owen Neville,  
439 Davey Rachel C, Hino Adriano Akira Ferreira, Mitas Josef, Orzanco-Garralda Rosario, Salvo Deborah, Sarmiento Olga L, Christiansen Lars B,  
440 Macfarlane Duncan J, Schofield Grant, and Sallis James F. (2014). Neighborhood environments and objectively measured physical activity in 11  
441 countries. *Medicine and science in sports and exercise*, 46(12), pp.2253-64.

PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 442 Chaix B, Meline J, Duncan S, Jardinier L, Perchoux C, Vallee J, Merrien C, Karusisi N, Lewin A, Brondeel R, and Kestens Y. (2013).  
443 Neighborhood environments, mobility, and health: Towards a new generation of studies in environmental health research. *Revue*  
444 *d'Epidemiologie et de Sante Publique*, 61(SUPPL.3), pp.S139-S145.
- 445 Chaix B, Simon C, Charreire H, Thomas F, Kestens Y, Karusisi N, Vallee J, Oppert J M, Weber C, and Pannier B. (2014). The environmental  
446 correlates of overall and neighborhood based recreational walking (a cross-sectional analysis of the RECORD Study). *International Journal of*  
447 *Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 11(1), pp.no pagination.
- 448 Chaudhury Habib, Campo Michael, Michael Yvonne, and Mahmood Atiya. (2016). Neighbourhood environment and physical activity in older  
449 adults. *Social Science & Medicine*, 149(C), pp.104-113.
- 450 Cheah Whye L, Chang Ching T, and Saimon Rosalia. (2012). Environment factors associated with adolescents' body mass index, physical  
451 activity and physical fitness in Kuching South City, Sarawak: a cross-sectional study. *International journal of adolescent medicine and health*,  
452 24(4), pp.331-7.
- 453 Chomitz Virginia Rall, Aske Denise Burke, McDonald Julia, Cabral Howard, and Hacker Karen Ann. (2011). The role of recreational spaces in  
454 meeting physical activity recommendations among middle school students. *Journal of physical activity & health*, 8 Suppl 1, pp.S8-S16.
- 455 Chriqui Jamie F, Nicholson Lisa M, Thrun Emily, Leider Julien, and Slater Sandy J. (2016). More Active Living-Oriented County and  
456 Municipal Zoning Is Associated With Increased Adult Leisure Time Physical Activity - United States, 2011. *Environment and Behavior*, 48(1),  
457 pp.111.
- 458 Chrisman Matthew, Nothwehr Faryle, Janz Kathleen, Yang Jingzhen, and Oleson Jacob. (2015). Perceived Resources and Environmental  
459 Correlates of Domain-Specific Physical Activity in Rural Midwestern Adults. *Journal of physical activity & health*, 12(7), pp.962-7.
- 460 Christensen Keith M, Holt Judith M, and Wilson Justin F. (2010). Effects of perceived neighborhood characteristics and use of community  
461 facilities on physical activity of adults with and without disabilities. *Preventing chronic disease*, 7(5), pp.A105.

### PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 462 Christiansen Lars Breum, Madsen Thomas, Schipperijn Jasper, Ersboll Annette K, and Troelsen Jens. (2014). Variations in active transport  
463 behavior among different neighborhoods and across adult lifestages. *Journal of transport & health*, 1(4), pp.316-325.
- 464 Christiansen Lars, B , Toftager Mette, Schipperijn Jasper, Ersboll Annette, K , Giles Corti, Billie , and Troelsen Jens. (2014). School site  
465 walkability and active school transport - association, mediation and moderation. *Journal of Transport Geography*, 34, pp.7-15.
- 466 Chung G L, and Youngtae C. (2009). Relationship between perceived neighborhood characteristics and vigorous physical activity among adult  
467 Seoul residents. *Journal of Preventive Medicine and Public Health*, 42(4), pp.215-222.
- 468 Clark Andrew F, Scott Darren M, and Yiannakoulias Nikolaos. (2014). Examining the Relationship between Active Travel, Weather, and the  
469 Built Environment: A Multilevel Approach Using a GPS-Enhanced Dataset. *Transportation*, 41(2), pp.325-38.
- 470 Cleland Verity J, Ball Kylie, Salmon Jo, Timperio Anna F, and Crawford David A. (2010). Personal, social and environmental correlates of  
471 resilience to physical inactivity among women from socio-economically disadvantaged backgrounds. *Health education research*, 25(2), pp.268-  
472 81.
- 473 Cohen D A, Ashwood J S, Scott M M, Overton A, Evenson K R, Staten L K, Porter D, McKenzie T L, and Catellier D. (2006). Public parks and  
474 physical activity among adolescent girls. *Pediatrics*, 118(5), pp.e1381-9.
- 475 Cohen Deborah A, Han Bing, Nagel Catherine J, Harnik Peter, McKenzie Thomas L, Evenson Kelly R, Marsh Terry, Williamson Stephanie,  
476 Vaughan Christine, and Katta Sweatha. (2016). The First National Study of Neighborhood Parks: Implications for Physical Activity. *American  
477 journal of preventive medicine*, , pp..
- 478 Cole-Hunter T, and et al. (2015). Objective Correlates and Determinants of Bicycle Commuting Propensity in an Urban Environment.  
479 *Transportation Research: Part D: Transport and Environment*, 40(0), pp.132-43.
- 480 Coughenour Courtney, Coker Lisa, and Bungum Tim J. (2014). Environmental and social determinants of youth physical activity intensity levels  
481 at neighborhood parks in Las Vegas, NV. *Journal of community health*, 39(6), pp.1092-6.

PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 482 Coughenour Courtney, Paz Alexander, de la Fuente-Mella , Hanns , and Singh Ashok. (2015). Multinomial logistic regression to estimate and  
483 predict perceptions of bicycle and transportation infrastructure in a sprawling metropolitan area. *Journal of public health (Oxford, and England)*,  
484 , pp..
- 485 Cozens P, and Love T. (2009). Manipulating permeability as a process for controlling crime: balancing security and sustainability in local  
486 contexts. *BUILT ENVIRONMENT*, 35, pp.346-65.
- 487 Cradock Angie L, Melly Steven J, Allen Joseph G, Morris Jeffrey S, and Gortmaker Steven L. (2009). Youth Destinations Associated with  
488 Objective Measures of Physical Activity in Adolescents. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 45(3s), pp.S91-S98.
- 489 Crawford D, Cleland V, Timperio A, Salmon J, Andrianopoulos N, Roberts R, Giles-Corti B, Baur L, and Ball K. (2010). The longitudinal  
490 influence of home and neighbourhood environments on children's body mass index and physical activity over 5 years: The CLAN study.  
491 *International Journal of Obesity*, 34(7), pp.1177-1187.
- 492 Creatore M I, Glazier R H, Moineddin R, Fazli G S, Johns A, Gozdyra P, Matheson F I, Kaufman-Shriqui V, Rosella L C, Manuel D G, and  
493 Booth G L. (2016). Association of neighborhood walkability with change in overweight, obesity, and diabetes. *JAMA - Journal of the American*  
494 *Medical Association*, 315(20), pp.2211-2220.
- 495 Curriero Frank C, James Nathan T, Shields Timothy M, Gouvis Roman, Caterina , Furr-Holden C Debra M, Cooley-Strickland Michele, and  
496 Pollack Keshia M. (2013). Exploring walking path quality as a factor for urban elementary school children's active transport to school. *Journal*  
497 *of physical activity & health*, 10(3), pp.323-34.
- 498 Curtis Carey, Babb Courtney, and Olaru Doina. (2015). Built environment and children's travel to school. *Transport Policy*, 42(C), pp.21-33.
- 499 Cutts B B, Darby K J, Boone C G, and Brewis A. (2009). City structure, obesity, and environmental justice: An integrated analysis of physical  
500 and social barriers to walkable streets and park access. *Social Science and Medicine*, 69(9), pp.1314-1322.

- 501 Cutumisu Nicoleta, Belanger-Gravel Ariane, Laferte Marilie, Lagarde Francois, Lemay Jean-Frederic, and Gauvin Lise. (2014). Influence of  
502 area deprivation and perceived neighbourhood safety on active transport to school among urban Quebec preadolescents. *Canadian journal of*  
503 *public health = Revue canadienne de sante publique*, 105(5), pp.e376-82.
- 504 D’Haese Sara, Meester Femke De, Cardon Greet, Bourdeaudhuij Ilse De, Deforche Benedicte, and Dyck Delfien Van. (2015). Changes in the  
505 perceived neighborhood environment in relation to changes in physical activity: A longitudinal study from childhood into adolescence. *Health &*  
506 *Place*, 33, pp.132-141.
- 507 D’Alessandro Daniela, Buffoli Maddalena, Capasso Lorenzo, Fara Gaetano Maria, Rebecchi Andrea, Capolongo Stefano, Hygiene on Built  
508 Environment Working Group on Healthy Building, Preventive Medicine, and Public Health. (2015). Green areas and public health: improving  
509 wellbeing and physical activity in the urban context. *Epidemiologia e prevenzione*, 39(4 Suppl 1), pp.8-13.
- 510 Dalton Madeline A, Longacre Meghan R, Drake Keith M, Gibson Lucinda, Adachi-Mejia Anna M, Swain Karin, Xie Haiyi, and Owens Peter M.  
511 (2011). Built Environment Predictors of Active Travel to School among Rural Adolescents. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 40(3),  
512 pp.312-319.
- 513 Damant-Sirois Gabriel, and El-Geneidy Ahmed M. (2015). Who Cycles More? Determining Cycling Frequency through a Segmentation  
514 Approach in Montreal, Canada. *Transportation Research: Part A: Policy and Practice*, 77(0), pp.113-25.
- 515 Datar Ashlesha, Nicosia Nancy, Wong Elizabeth, and Shier Victoria. (2015). Neighborhood environment and children's physical activity and  
516 body mass index: evidence from military personnel installation assignments. *Childhood obesity (Print)*, 11(2), pp.130-8.
- 517 Davidson Z, Simen-Kapeu A, and Veugelers P J. (2010). Neighborhood determinants of self-efficacy, physical activity, and body weights among  
518 Canadian children. *Health & Place*, 16(3), pp.567-572.
- 519 Day Kristen, Loh Lawrence, Ruff Ryan Richard, Rosenblum Randi, Fischer Sean, and Lee Karen K. (2014). Does Bus Rapid Transit promote  
520 walking? An examination of New York City's Select Bus Service. *Journal of physical activity & health*, 11(8), pp.1512-6.

## PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 521 de Geus , Bas , De Bourdeaudhuij , Ilse , Jannes Caroline, and Meeusen Romain. (2008). Psychosocial and environmental factors associated with  
522 cycling for transport among a working population. *Health Education Research*, 23(4), pp.697-708.
- 523 De Meester , F , Van Dyck , D , De Bourdeaudhuij , I , Deforche B, and Cardon G. (2013). Does the perception of neighborhood built  
524 environmental attributes influence active transport in adolescents?. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 10,  
525 pp.no pagination.
- 526 De Meester , F , Van Dyck , D , De Bourdeaudhuij , I , Deforche B, and Cardon G. (2013). Do psychosocial factors moderate the association  
527 between neighborhood walkability and adolescents' physical activity?. *Social Science and Medicine*, 81, pp.1-9.
- 528 De Meester , Femke , Van Dyck , Delfien , De Bourdeaudhuij , Ilse , Deforche Benedicte, Sallis James F, and Cardon Greet. (2012). Active  
529 living neighborhoods: is neighborhood walkability a key element for Belgian adolescents?. *BMC public health*, 12, pp.7.
- 530 de Sa , E , and Ardern C I. (2014). Neighbourhood walkability, leisure-time and transport-related physical activity in a mixed urban-rural area.  
531 *PeerJ*, 2014(1), pp.no pagination.
- 532 de Sa , Eric , and Ardern Chris I. (2014). Associations between the built environment, total, recreational, and transit-related physical activity.  
533 *BMC public health*, 14, pp.693.
- 534 De Vries , S , van Dillen , S M E, Groenewegen P P, and Spreeuwenberg P. (2013). Streetscape greenery and health: Stress, social cohesion and  
535 physical activity as mediators. *Social Science and Medicine*, 94, pp.26-33.
- 536 De Vries , S I, Bakker I, Van Mechelen , W , and Hopman-Rock M. (2007). Determinants of activity-friendly neighborhoods for children:  
537 Results from the SPACE study. *American Journal of Health Promotion*, 21(4 SUPPL.), pp.312-316.
- 538 de Vries , S I, Hopman-Rock M, Bakker I, Hirasig R A, van Mechelen , and W . (2010). Built environmental correlates of walking and cycling  
539 in dutch urban children: Results from the SPACE study. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 7(5), pp.2309-  
540 2324.

PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 541 De Witte , A , Macharis C, and Mairesse O. (2008). How persuasive is free public transport? A survey among commuters in the Brussels Capital  
542 Region. *TRANSPORT POLICY*, 15, pp.216-224.
- 543 Deforche B, Van Dyck , D , Verloigne M, De Bourdeaudhuij , and I . (2010). Perceived social and physical environmental correlates of physical  
544 activity in older adolescents and the moderating effect of self-efficacy. *Preventive Medicine*, 50, pp.S24-S29.
- 545 D'Haese S, De Meester , F , De Bourdeaudhuij , I , Deforche B, and Cardon G. (2011). Criterion distances and environmental correlates of active  
546 commuting to school in children. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 8, pp.no pagination.
- 547 D'Haese S, Van Dyck , D , De Bourdeaudhuij , I , Deforche B, and Cardon G. (2014). The association between objective walkability,  
548 neighborhood socio-economic status, and physical activity in Belgian children. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical*  
549 *Activity*, 11(1), pp.no pagination.
- 550 D'Haese S, Vanwolleghem G, Hinckson E, De Bourdeaudhuij , I , Deforche B, Van Dyck , D , and Cardon G. (2015). Cross-continental  
551 comparison of the association between the physical environment and active transportation in children: A systematic review. *International*  
552 *Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 12(1), pp.no pagination.
- 553 Dill Jennifer, Mohr Cynthia, and Ma Liang. (2014). How Can Psychological Theory Help Cities Increase Walking and Bicycling?. *Journal of*  
554 *the American Planning Association*, 80, pp.36-51.
- 555 Dills James E, Rutt Candace D, and Mumford Karen G. (2012). Objectively Measuring Route-To-Park Walkability in Atlanta, Georgia.  
556 *Environment & Behavior*, 44(6), pp.841-860.
- 557 Ding D, Adams M A, Sallis J F, Norman G J, Hovell M F, Chambers C D, Hofstetter C R, Bowles H R, Hagstromer M, Craig C L, Gomez L F,  
558 De Bourdeaudhuij , I , Macfarlane D J, Ainsworth B E, Bergman P, Bull F C, Carr H, Klasson-Heggebo L, Inoue S, Murase N, Matsudo S,  
559 Matsudo V, McLean G, Sjostrom M, Tomten H, Lefevre J, Volbekiene V, and Bauman A E. (2013). Perceived neighborhood environment and  
560 physical activity in 11 countries: Do associations differ by country?. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 10(1),  
561 pp.no pagination.



PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 562 Djurhuus S, Hansen H S, Aadahl M, and Glumer C. (2014). The association between access to public transportation and self-reported active  
563 commuting. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 11(12), pp.12632-12651.
- 564 Djurhuus Sune, Hansen Henning Sten, Aadahl Mette, and Glumer Charlotte. (2014). Individual Public Transportation Accessibility is Positively  
565 Associated with Self-Reported Active Commuting. *Frontiers in public health*, 2, pp.240.
- 566 Dolash Karry, He Meizi, Yin Zenong, and Sosa Erica T. (2015). Factors That Influence Park Use and Physical Activity in Predominantly  
567 Hispanic and Low-Income Neighborhoods. *Journal of physical activity & health*, 12(4), pp.462-9.
- 568 Downward Paul, and Rasciute Simona. (2015). Assessing the Impact of the National Cycle Network and Physical Activity Lifestyle on Cycling  
569 Behaviour in England. *Transportation Research: Part A: Policy and Practice*, 78(0), pp.425-37.
- 570 Doyle Scott, Kelly Schwartz, Alexia , Schlossberg Marc, and Stockard Jean. (2006). Active Community Environments and Health: The  
571 Relationship of Walkable and Safe Communities to Individual Health. *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 72, pp.19-31.
- 572 Dulin-Keita A, Clay O, Whittaker S, Hannon L, Adams I K, Rogers M, and Gans K. (2015). The influence of HOPE VI neighborhood  
573 revitalization on neighborhood-based physical activity: A mixed-methods approach. *Social Science and Medicine*, 139, pp.90-99.
- 574 Duncan G E, Cash S W, Horn E E, and Turkheimer E. (2015). Quasi-causal associations of physical activity and neighborhood walkability with  
575 body mass index: A twin study. *Preventive Medicine*, 70, pp.90-95.
- 576 Duncan Susan C, Strycker Lisa A, Chaumeton Nigel R, and Cromley Ellen K. (2016). Relations of Neighborhood Environment Influences,  
577 Physical Activity, and Active Transportation to/from School across African American, Latino American, and White Girls in the United States.  
578 *International journal of behavioral medicine*, 23(2), pp.153-61.
- 579 Dunton Genevieve Fridlund, Almanza Estela, Jerrett Michael, Wolch Jennifer, and Pentz Mary Ann. (2014). Neighborhood Park Use by  
580 Children: Use of Accelerometry and Global Positioning Systems. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 46(2), pp.136-142.

## PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 581 Durand C P, Andalib M, Dunton G F, Wolch J, and Pentz M A. (2011). A systematic review of built environment factors related to physical  
582 activity and obesity risk: implications for smart growth urban planning. *Obesity reviews : an official journal of the International Association for*  
583 *the Study of Obesity*, 12(5), pp.e173-82.
- 584 Dyck D, Sallis J F, Cardon G, Deforche B, Adams M A, Geremia C, and Bourdeaudhuij I. (2013). Associations of neighborhood characteristics  
585 with active park use: an observational study in two cities in the USA and Belgium. *International journal of health geographics*, 12, pp.26.
- 586 Dygryn Jan, Mitas Josef, Gaba Ales, Rubin Lukas, and Fromel Karel. (2015). Changes in Active Commuting to School in Czech Adolescents in  
587 Different Types of Built Environment across a 10-Year Period. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 12(10),  
588 pp.12988-98.
- 589 Echeverría Sandra E, Ohri-Vachaspati Punam, and Yedidia Michael J. (2015). The Influence of Parental Nativity, Neighborhood Disadvantage  
590 and the Built Environment on Physical Activity Behaviors in Latino Youth. *Journal of Immigrant and Minority Health*, 17(2), pp.519-526.
- 591 Edwards N, Hooper P, Knuiaman M, Foster S, and Giles-Corti B. (2015). Associations between park features and adolescent park use for physical  
592 activity. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 12(1), pp.no pagination.
- 593 Eichinger M, Titze S, Haditsch B, Dorner T E, and Stronegger W J. (2015). How are physical activity behaviors and cardiovascular risk factors  
594 associated with characteristics of the built and social residential environment. *PLoS ONE*, 10(6), pp.no pagination.
- 595 Ellis Geraint, Hunter Ruth, Tully Mark A, Donnelly Michael, Kelleher Luke, and Kee Frank. (2016). Connectivity and physical activity: using  
596 footpath networks to measure the walkability of built environments. *Environment & Planning B: Planning & Design*, 43(1), pp.130-151.
- 597 Engbers L H, and Hendriksen I J. M. (2010). Characteristics of a population of commuter cyclists in the Netherlands: Perceived barriers and  
598 facilitators in the personal, social and physical environment. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 7, pp.no  
599 pagination.

PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 600 Esteban-Cornejo Irene, Carlson Jordan A, Conway Terry L, Cain Kelli L, Saelens Brian E, Frank Lawrence D, Glanz Karen, Roman Caterina G,  
601 and Sallis James F. (2016). Parental and Adolescent Perceptions of Neighborhood Safety Related to Adolescents' Physical Activity in Their  
602 Neighborhood. *Research quarterly for exercise and sport*, 87(2), pp.191-9.
- 603 Etman Astrid, Kamphuis Carlijn B. M, Prins Richard G, Burdorf Alex, Pierik Frank H, van Lenthe , and Frank J. (2014). Characteristics of  
604 residential areas and transportational walking among frail and non-frail Dutch elderly: does the size of the area matter?. *International journal of*  
605 *health geographics*, 13, pp.7.
- 606 Evenson K R, Murray D M, Birnbaum A S, and Cohen D A. (2010). Examination of perceived neighborhood characteristics and transportation  
607 on changes in physical activity and sedentary behavior: The Trial of Activity in Adolescent Girls. *Health & place*, 16(5), pp.977-85.
- 608 Evenson Kelly R, Scott Molly M, Cohen Deborah A, and Voorhees Carolyn C. (2007). Girls' perception of neighborhood factors on physical  
609 activity, sedentary behavior, and BMI. *Obesity (Silver Spring, and Md.)*, 15(2), pp.430-45.
- 610 Everett Jones, Sherry , and Sliwa Sarah. (2016). School Factors Associated With the Percentage of Students Who Walk or Bike to School,  
611 School Health Policies and Practices Study, 2014. *Preventing chronic disease*, 13, pp.E63.
- 612 Fan Jessie X, Wen Ming, and Kowaleski-Jones Lori. (2014). An ecological analysis of environmental correlates of active commuting in urban  
613 U.S. *Health & Place*, 30, pp.242-250.
- 614 Ferdinand Alva O, Sen Bisakha, Raurkar Saurabh, Engler Sally, and Menachemi Nir. (2012). The relationship between built environments and  
615 physical activity: a systematic review. *American journal of public health*, 102(10), pp.e7-e13.
- 616 Feuillet Thierry, Charreire Helene, Menai Mehdi, Salze Paul, Simon Chantal, Dugas Julien, Hercberg Serge, Andreeva Valentina A, Enaux  
617 Christophe, Weber Christiane, and Oppert Jean-Michel. (2015). Spatial heterogeneity of the relationships between environmental characteristics  
618 and active commuting: towards a locally varying social ecological model. *International journal of health geographics*, 14, pp.12.
- 619 Fields Robert, Kaczynski Andrew T, Bopp Melissa, and Fallon Elizabeth. (2013). Built environment associations with health behaviors among  
620 hispanics. *Journal of physical activity & health*, 10(3), pp.335-42.

PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 621 Findholt Nancy E, Michael Yvonne L, Jerofke Linda J, and Brogoitti Victoria W. (2011). Environmental influences on children's physical  
622 activity and eating habits in a rural Oregon County. *American journal of health promotion : AJHP*, 26(2), pp.e74-85.
- 623 Floyd Myron F, Bocarro Jason N, Smith William R, Baran Perver K, Moore Robin C, Cosco Nilda G, Edwards Michael B, Suau Luis J, and  
624 Fang Kunsheng. (2011). Park-Based Physical Activity Among Children and Adolescents. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 41(3),  
625 pp.258-265.
- 626 Frank L D, Kerr J, Sallis J F, Miles R, and Chapman J. (2008). A hierarchy of sociodemographic and environmental correlates of walking and  
627 obesity. *Preventive Medicine*, 47(2), pp.172-178.
- 628 Frank Lawrence Douglas, Saelens Brian E, Powell Ken E, and Chapman James E. (2007). Stepping towards causation: Do built environments or  
629 neighborhood and travel preferences explain physical activity, driving, and obesity?. *Social Science & Medicine*, 65(9), pp.1898-1914.
- 630 Frank Lawrence, D , Sallis James, F , Conway Terry, L , Chapman James, E , Saelens Brian, E , and Bachman William. (2006). Many Pathways  
631 from Land Use to Health: Associations between Neighborhood Walkability and Active Transportation, Body Mass Index, and Air Quality.  
632 *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 72, pp.75-87.
- 633 Fraser Simon D. S. (2011). Cycling for transport and public health : a systematic review of the effect of the environment on cycling. *European*  
634 *Journal of Public Health*, 21(6), pp..
- 635 Freeman Lance, Neckerman Kathryn, Schwartz-Soicher Ofira, Quinn James, Richards Catherine, Bader Michael D. M, Lovasi Gina, Jack Darby,  
636 Weiss Christopher, Konty Kevin, Arno Peter, Viola Deborah, Kerker Bonnie, and Rundle Andrew G. (2013). Neighborhood walkability and  
637 active travel (walking and cycling) in New York City. *Journal of urban health : bulletin of the New York Academy of Medicine*, 90(4), pp.575-  
638 85.
- 639 Friederichs Stijn A. H, Kremers Stef P. J, Lechner Lilian, de Vries , and Nanne K. (2013). Neighborhood walkability and walking behavior: the  
640 moderating role of action orientation. *Journal of physical activity & health*, 10(4), pp.515-22.

PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 641 Frost Stephanie S, Goins R Turner, Hunter Rebecca H, Hooker Steven P, Bryant Lucinda L, Kruger Judy, and Pluto Delores. (2010). Effects of  
642 the Built Environment on Physical Activity of Adults Living in Rural Settings. *American Journal of Health Promotion*, 24(4), pp.267-283.
- 643 G Boarnet Marlon. (2008). Walking, Urban Design, and Health Toward a Cost-Benefit Analysis Framework. *Journal of Planning Education and  
644 Research*, 27(3), pp.341-358.
- 645 Gallimore Jonathan M, Brown Barbara B, and Werner Carol M. (2011). Walking routes to school in new urban and suburban neighborhoods: An  
646 environmental walkability analysis of blocks and routes. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 31(2), pp.184-191.
- 647 Galvez M P, McGovern K, Knuff C, Resnick S, Brenner B, Teitelbaum S L, and Wolff M S. (2013). Associations between neighborhood  
648 resources and physical activity in inner-city minority children. *Academic Pediatrics*, 13(1), pp.20-26.
- 649 Gell N M, Rosenberg D E, Carlson J, Kerr J, and Belza B. (2015). Built environment attributes related to GPS measured active trips in mid-life  
650 and older adults with mobility disabilities. *Disability and Health Journal*, 8(2), pp.290-295.
- 651 Gi-Hyong Cho, and Rodríguez Daniel A. (2015). Neighborhood design, neighborhood location, and three types of walking: results from the  
652 Washington DC area. *Environment & Planning B: Planning & Design*, 42(3), pp.526-540.
- 653 Giles-Corti B, Bull F, Knuiman M, McCormack G, Van Niel , K , Timperio A, Christian H, Foster S, Divitini M, Middleton N, and Boruff B.  
654 (2013). The influence of urban design on neighbourhood walking following residential relocation: Longitudinal results from the RESIDE study.  
655 *Social Science and Medicine*, 77(1), pp.20-30.
- 656 Giles-Corti B, Kelty S F, Zubrick S R, and Villanueva K P. (2009). Encouraging walking for transport and physical activity in children and  
657 adolescents: How important is the built environment?. *Sports Medicine*, 39(12), pp.995-1009.
- 658 Giles-Corti B, Knuiman M, Timperio A, Van Niel , K , Pikora T J, Bull F C. L, Shilton T, and Bulsara M. (2008). Evaluation of the  
659 implementation of a state government community design policy aimed at increasing local walking: Design issues and baseline results from  
660 RESIDE, Perth Western Australia. *Preventive Medicine*, 46(1), pp.46-54.

PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 661 Giles-Corti Billie, Wood Gina, Pikora Terri, Learnihan Vincent, Bulsara Max, Van Niel , Kimberly , Timperio Anna, McCormack Gavin, and  
662 Villanueva Karen. (2011). School site and the potential to walk to school: The impact of street connectivity and traffic exposure in school  
663 neighborhoods. *Health & Place*, 17(2), pp.545-550.
- 664 Glazier R H, Creatore M I, Weyman J T, Fazli G, Matheson F I, Gozdyra P, Moineddin R, Shriqui V K, and Booth G L. (2014). Density,  
665 destinations or both? A comparison of measures of walkability in relation to transportation behaviors, obesity and diabetes in Toronto, Canada.  
666 *PLoS ONE*, 9(1), pp.no pagination.
- 667 Gong Y, Gallacher J, Palmer S, and Fone D. (2014). Neighbourhood green space, physical function and participation in physical activities  
668 among elderly men: The Caerphilly Prospective study. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 11(1), pp.no  
669 pagination.
- 670 Granner Michelle L, Sharpe Patricia A, Hutto Brent, Wilcox Sara, and Addy Cheryl L. (2007). Perceived individual, social, and environmental  
671 factors for physical activity and walking. *Journal of physical activity & health*, 4(3), pp.278-93.
- 672 Grigsby-Toussaint Diana S, Chi Sang-Hyun, Fiese Barbara H, and Group Strong Kids Project Writing. (2011). Where they live, how they play:  
673 neighborhood greenness and outdoor physical activity among preschoolers. *International journal of health geographics*, 10, pp.66.
- 674 Gropp K M, Pickett W, and Janssen I. (2012). Multi-level examination of correlates of active transportation to school among youth living within  
675 1 mile of their school. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 9, pp.no pagination.
- 676 Grow H M, Saelens B E, Kerr J, Durant N H, Norman G J, and Sallis J F. (2008). Where are youth active? Roles of proximity, active transport,  
677 and built environment. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 40(12), pp.2071-2079.
- 678 Gustat Jeanette, O'Malley Keelia, Hu Tian, Tabak Rachel G, Goins Karin Valentine, Valko Cheryl, Litt Jill, and Eyler Amy. (2014). Support for  
679 Physical Activity Policies and Perceptions of Work and Neighborhood Environments: Variance by BMI and Activity Status at the County and  
680 Individual Levels. *American Journal of Health Promotion*, 28(3), pp.S33-S43.

### PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 681 Hajna S, Ross N A, Joseph L, Harper S, and Dasgupta K. (2015). Neighbourhood walkability, daily steps and utilitarian walking in Canadian  
682 adults. *BMJ Open*, 5(11), pp.no pagination.
- 683 Hajna Samantha, Dasgupta Kaberi, Halparin Max, and Ross Nancy A. (2013). Neighborhood Walkability: Field Validation of Geographic  
684 Information System Measures. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 44(6), pp.e55-e59.
- 685 Hajna Samantha, Ross Nancy A, Brazeau Anne-Sophie, Belisle Patrick, Joseph Lawrence, and Dasgupta Kaberi. (2015). Associations between  
686 neighbourhood walkability and daily steps in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC public health*, 15, pp.768.
- 687 Handy Susan, Cao Xinyu, Mokhtarian Patricia, and L . (2006). Self-Selection in the Relationship between the Built Environment and Walking:  
688 Evidence from Northern California. *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 72, pp.55-74.
- 689 Hanibuchi Tomoya, Kawachi Ichiro, Nakaya Tomoki, Hirai Hiroshi, and Kondo Katsunori. (2011). Neighborhood built environment and  
690 physical activity of Japanese older adults: results from the Aichi Gerontological Evaluation Study (AGES). *BMC public health*, 11, pp.657.
- 691 Hanibuchi Tomoya, Nakaya Tomoki, Yonejima Mayuko, and Honjo Kaori. (2015). Perceived and Objective Measures of Neighborhood  
692 Walkability and Physical Activity among Adults in Japan: A Multilevel Analysis of a Nationally Representative Sample. *International journal of*  
693 *environmental research and public health*, 12(10), pp.13350-64.
- 694 Hankey S, Marshall J D, and Brauer M. (2012). Health impacts of the built environment: Within-urban variability in physical inactivity, air  
695 pollution, and ischemic heart disease mortality. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 120(2), pp.247-253.
- 696 Hanson Heather M, Schiller Claire, Winters Meghan, Sims-Gould Joanie, Clarke Philippa, Curran Eileen, Donaldson Meghan G, Pitman  
697 Beverley, Scott Vicky, McKay Heather A, and Ashe Maureen C. (2013). Concept mapping applied to the intersection between older adults'  
698 outdoor walking and the built and social environments. *Preventive Medicine*, 57(6), pp.785-791.
- 699 Haybatollahi Mohammad, Czepkiewicz Michal, Laatikainen Tiina, and Kytta Marketta. (2015). Neighbourhood preferences, active travel  
700 behaviour, and built environment: An exploratory study. *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour*, 29, pp.57-69.

### PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 701 Heesch K C, Giles-Corti B, and Turrell G. (2015). Cycling for transport and recreation: Associations with the socio-economic, natural and built  
702 environment. *Health and Place*, 36, pp.152-161.
- 703 Heeswijck Torbjorn van, Paquet Catherine, Kestens Yan, Thierry Benoit, Morency Catherine, and Daniel Mark. (2015). Differences in  
704 associations between active transportation and built environmental exposures when expressed using different components of individual activity  
705 spaces. *Health & Place*, 33, pp.195-202.
- 706 Heinen Eva, Panter Jenna, Dalton Alice, Jones Andy, and Ogilvie David. (2015). Sociospatial patterning of the use of new transport  
707 infrastructure: Walking, cycling and bus travel on the Cambridgeshire guided busway. *Journal of transport & health*, 2(2), pp.199-211.
- 708 Helbich M, Emmichoven M J. Z. V, Dijst M J, Kwan M P, Pierik F H, and Vries S I. D. (2016). Natural and built environmental exposures on  
709 children's active school travel: A Dutch global positioning system-based cross-sectional study. *Health and Place*, 39, pp.101-109.
- 710 Henaio Alejandro, Piatkowski Daniel, Luckey Kara, S , Nordback Krista, Marshall Wesley, E , Krizek Kevin, and J . (2015). Sustainable  
711 Transportation Infrastructure Investments and Mode Share Changes: A 20-Year Background of Boulder, Colorado. *Transport Policy*, 37, pp.64-  
712 71.
- 713 Hess G, and Peterson M N. (2015). "Bicycles May use Full Lane" signage communicates U.S. Roadway rules and increases perception of safety.  
714 *PLoS ONE*, 10(8), pp.no pagination.
- 715 Hillsdon M, Panter J, Foster C, and Jones A. (2006). The relationship between access and quality of urban green space with population physical  
716 activity. *Public Health*, 120(12), pp.1127-1132.
- 717 Hipp J Aaron, Eyler Amy A, and Kuhlberg Jill A. (2013). Target population involvement in urban ciclovias: a preliminary evaluation of St.  
718 Louis open streets. *Journal of urban health : bulletin of the New York Academy of Medicine*, 90(6), pp.1010-5.
- 719 Hirsch J A, Moore K A, Clarke P J, Rodriguez D A, Evenson K R, Brines S J, Zagorski M A, and Roux A V. D. (2014). Changes in the built  
720 environment and changes in the amount of walking over time: Longitudinal results from the Multi-Ethnic study of Atherosclerosis. *American  
721 Journal of Epidemiology*, 180(8), pp.799-809.



### PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 722 Hirsch Jana A, Moore Kari A, Evenson Kelly R, Rodriguez Daniel A, Diez Roux, and Ana V. (2013). Walk Score(TM) and Transit Score(TM)  
723 and Walking in the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 45(2), pp.158-166.
- 724 Hoerster K D, Millstein R A, Hall K S, Gray K E, Reiber G E, Nelson K M, and Saelens B E. (2015). Individual and contextual correlates of  
725 physical activity among a clinical sample of United States Veterans. *Social Science and Medicine*, 142, pp.100-108.
- 726 Holle V V, Van Cauwenberg , J , Gheysen F, Van Dyck , D , Deforche B, Van De Weghe , N , De Bourdeaudhuij , and I . (2016). The  
727 association between Belgian older adults' physical functioning and physical activity: What is the moderating role of the physical environment?.  
728 *PLoS ONE*, 11(2), pp.no pagination.
- 729 Hooper P, Knuiman M, Bull F, Jones E, and Giles-Corti B. (2015). Are we developing walkable suburbs through urban planning policy?  
730 Identifying the mix of design requirements to optimise walking outcomes from the 'Liveable Neighbourhoods' planning policy in Perth, Western  
731 Australia. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 12(1), pp.no pagination.
- 732 Hooper P, Knuiman M, Foster S, and Giles-Corti B. (2015). The building blocks of a 'Liveable Neighbourhood': Identifying the key performance  
733 indicators for walking of an operational planning policy in Perth, Western Australia. *Health and Place*, 36, pp.173-183.
- 734 Hosler A S, Gallant M P, Riley-Jacome M, and Rajulu D T. (2014). Relationship between objectively measured walkability and exercise  
735 walking among adults with diabetes. *Journal of Environmental and Public Health*, 2014, pp.no pagination.
- 736 Hou Ningqi, Popkin Barry M, Jacobs David R, Jr , Song Yan, Guilkey David, Lewis Cora E, and Gordon-Larsen Penny. (2010). Longitudinal  
737 associations between neighborhood-level street network with walking, bicycling, and jogging: The CARDIA study. *Health & Place*, 16(6),  
738 pp.1206-1215.
- 739 Hume Clare, Timperio Anna, Salmon Jo, Carver Alison, Giles-Corti Billie, and Crawford David. (2009). Walking and Cycling to School:  
740 Predictors of Increases Among Children and Adolescents. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 36(3), pp.195-200.
- 741 Hutchinson Jayne, White Piran C. L, and Graham Hilary. (2014). Differences in the social patterning of active travel between urban and rural  
742 populations: findings from a large UK household survey. *International journal of public health*, 59(6), pp.993-8.

PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 743 Hwang L D, Hurvitz P M, and Duncan G E. (2016). Cross sectional association between spatially measured walking bouts and neighborhood  
744 walkability. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 13(4), pp.no pagination.
- 745 Inoue Shigeru, Murase Norio, Shimomitsu Teruichi, Ohya Yumiko, Odagiri Yuko, Takamiya Tomoko, Ishii Kaori, Katsumura Toshihito, and  
746 Sallis James F. (2009). Association of physical activity and neighborhood environment among Japanese adults. *Preventive Medicine*, 48(4),  
747 pp.321-325.
- 748 Inoue Shigeru, Ohya Yumiko, Odagiri Yuko, Takamiya Tomoko, Ishii Kaori, Kitabayashi Makiko, Suijo Kenichi, Sallis James F, and  
749 Shimomitsu Teruichi. (2010). Association between perceived neighborhood environment and walking among adults in 4 cities in Japan. *Journal*  
750 *of epidemiology / Japan Epidemiological Association*, 20(4), pp.277-86.
- 751 Inoue Shigeru, Ohya Yumiko, Odagiri Yuko, Takamiya Tomoko, Kamada Masamitsu, Okada Shinpei, Oka Kohichiro, Kitabatake Yoshinori,  
752 Nakaya Tomoki, Sallis James F, and Shimomitsu Teruichi. (2011). Perceived neighborhood environment and walking for specific purposes  
753 among elderly Japanese. *Journal of epidemiology / Japan Epidemiological Association*, 21(6), pp.481-90.
- 754 Ivory V C, Blakely T, Pearce J, Witten K, Bagheri N, Badland H, and Schofield G. (2015). Could strength of exposure to the residential  
755 neighbourhood modify associations between walkability and physical activity?. *Social Science and Medicine*, 147, pp.232-241.
- 756 Jack E, and McCormack G R. (2014). The associations between objectively-determined and self-reported urban form characteristics and  
757 neighborhood-based walking in adults. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 11(1), pp.no pagination.
- 758 Jago Russell, Baranowski Tom, and Baranowski Janice C. (2006). Observed, GIS, and Self-Reported Environmental Features and Adolescent  
759 Physical Activity. *American Journal of Health Promotion*, 20(6), pp.422-428.
- 760 Janssen I, and King N. (2015). Walkable school neighborhoods are not playable neighborhoods. *Health and Place*, 35, pp.66-69.
- 761 Janssen I, and Rosu A. (2015). Undeveloped green space and free-time physical activity in 11 to 13-year-old children. *International Journal of*  
762 *Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 12(1), pp.no pagination.

## PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 763 Jauregui Alejandra, Soltero Erica, Santos-Luna Rene, Hernandez-Barrera Lucia, Barquera Simon, Jauregui Edtna, Levesque Lucie, Lopez-  
764 Taylor Juan, Ortiz-Hernandez Luis, and Lee Rebecca. (2016). A Multisite Study of Environmental Correlates of Active Commuting to School in  
765 Mexican Children. *Journal of physical activity & health*, 13(3), pp.325-32.
- 766 Jerrett M, Almanza E, Davies M, Wolch J, Dunton G, Spruitj-Metz D, and Pentz M A. (2013). Smart growth community design and physical  
767 activity in children. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 45(4), pp.386-392.
- 768 Jia Ying-Nan, and Fu Hua. (2014). Associations between perceived and observational physical environmental factors and the use of walking  
769 paths: a cross-sectional study. *BMC public health*, 14, pp.627.
- 770 Jones Andy, Hillsdon Melvyn, and Coombes Emma. (2009). Greenspace access, use, and physical activity: Understanding the effects of area  
771 deprivation. *Preventive Medicine*, 49(6), pp.500-505.
- 772 Jones Michael, G , Ryan Sherry, Donlon Jennifer, Ledbetter Lauren, Ragland David, R , Arnold Lindsay, and S . (2010). Seamless Travel:  
773 Measuring Bicycle and Pedestrian Activity in San Diego County and Its Relationship to Land Use, Transportation, Safety, and Facility Type.  
774 *PATH Research Report*, , pp.120.
- 775 Jongeneel-Grimen Birthe, Droomers Mariel, van Oers , Hans A M, Stronks Karien, and Kunst Anton E. (2014). The relationship between  
776 physical activity and the living environment: A multi-level analyses focusing on changes over time in environmental factors. *Health & Place*,  
777 26, pp.149-160.
- 778 Kaczynski A T, Potwarka L R, and Saelens P B. E. (2008). Association of park size, distance, and features with physical activity in  
779 neighborhood parks. *American Journal of Public Health*, 98(8), pp.1451-1456.
- 780 Kaczynski Andrew T, and Glover Troy D. (2012). Talking the talk, walking the walk: examining the effect of neighbourhood walkability and  
781 social connectedness on physical activity. *Journal of Public Health*, 34(3), pp.382-389.
- 782 Kaczynski Andrew T, Johnson Amanda J, and Saelens Brian E. (2010). Neighborhood land use diversity and physical activity in adjacent parks.  
783 *Health & Place*, 16(2), pp.413-415.

## PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 784 Kaczynski Andrew T, Koohsari Mohammad Javad, Stanis Sonja A. Wilhelm, Bergstrom Ryan, and Sugiyama Takemi. (2014). Association of  
785 street connectivity and road traffic speed with park usage and park-based physical activity. *American journal of health promotion : AJHP*, 28(3),  
786 pp.197-203.
- 787 Kaczynski Andrew T, Robertson-Wilson Jennifer, and Decloe Melissa. (2012). Interaction of perceived neighborhood walkability and self-  
788 efficacy on physical activity. *Journal of physical activity & health*, 9(2), pp.208-17.
- 789 Kaczynski Andrew T. (2010). Neighborhood walkability perceptions: associations with amount of neighborhood-based physical activity by  
790 intensity and purpose. *Journal of physical activity & health*, 7(1), pp.3-10.
- 791 Kamada Masamitsu, Kitayuguchi Jun, Inoue Shigeru, Kamioka Hiroharu, Mutoh Yoshiteru, and Shiwaku Kuninori. (2009). Environmental  
792 correlates of physical activity in driving and non-driving rural Japanese women. *Preventive Medicine*, 49(6), pp.490-496.
- 793 Kamruzzaman M, Washington Simon, Baker Douglas, Brown Wendy, Giles-Corti Billie, and Turrell Gavin. (2016). Built Environment Impacts  
794 on Walking for Transport in Brisbane, Australia. *Transportation*, 43(1), pp.53-77.
- 795 Karusisi N, Thomas F, Meline J, Brondeel R, and Chaix B. (2014). Environmental conditions around itineraries to destinations as correlates of  
796 walking for transportation among adults: The RECORD cohort study. *PLoS ONE*, 9(5), pp.no pagination.
- 797 Kasehagen Laurin, Busacker Ashley, Kane Debra, and Rohan Angela. (2012). Associations between neighborhood characteristics and physical  
798 activity among youth within rural-urban commuting areas in the US. *Maternal and child health journal*, 16 Suppl 2, pp.258-67.
- 799 Kearney Patricia M, and Kenny Rose Anne. (2015). The factors associated with self-reported physical activity in older adults living in the  
800 community. *Age and Ageing*, 44(4), pp.586-592.
- 801 Kegler Michelle C, Swan Deanne W, Alcantara Iris, Feldman Lynne, and Glanz Karen. (2014). The influence of rural home and neighborhood  
802 environments on healthy eating, physical activity, and weight. *Prevention science : the official journal of the Society for Prevention Research*,  
803 15(1), pp.1-11.

### PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 804 Kelly Cheryl M, Lian Min, Struthers Jim, and Kammrath Anna. (2015). Walking to Work: The Roles of Neighborhood Walkability and  
805 Socioeconomic Deprivation. *Journal of physical activity & health*, 12 Suppl 1, pp.S70-5.
- 806 Kelly Cheryl, Wilson Jeffrey S, Schootman Mario, Clennin Morgan, Baker Elizabeth A, and Miller Douglas K. (2014). The built environment  
807 predicts observed physical activity. *Frontiers in public health*, 2, pp.52.
- 808 Kemperman Astrid, and Timmermans Harry. (2014). Environmental Correlates of Active Travel Behavior of Children. *Environment &*  
809 *Behavior*, 46(5), pp.583-608.
- 810 Kerr J, Emond J A, Badland H, Reis R, Sarmiento O, Carlson J, Sallis J F, Cerin E, Cain K, Conway T, Schofield G, Macfarlane D J,  
811 Christiansen L B, Van Dyck , D , Davey R, Aguinaga-Ontoso I, Salvo D, Sugiyama T, Mitas J, Owen N, and Natarajan L. (2016). Perceived  
812 neighborhood environmental attributes associated with walking and cycling for transport among adult residents of 17 cities in 12 countries: The  
813 IPEN study. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 124(3), pp.290-298.
- 814 Kerr J, Norman G J, Sallis J F, and Patrick K. (2008). Exercise aids, neighborhood safety, and physical activity in adolescents and parents.  
815 *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 40(7), pp.1244-1248.
- 816 Kerr J, Rosenberg D, Sallis J F, Saelens B E, Frank L D, and Conway T L. (2006). Active commuting to school: Associations with environment  
817 and parental concerns. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 38(4), pp.787-794.
- 818 Kerr Jacqueline, Norman Greg, Millstein Rachel, Adams Marc A, Morgan Cindy, Langer Robert D, and Allison Matthew. (2014). Neighborhood  
819 environment and physical activity among older women: findings from the San Diego Cohort of the women's health initiative. *Journal of physical*  
820 *activity & health*, 11(6), pp.1070-7.
- 821 Kerr Jacqueline, Norman Gregory J, Adams Marc A, Ryan Sherry, Frank Lawrence, Sallis James F, Calfas Karen J, and Patrick Kevin. (2010).  
822 Do neighborhood environments moderate the effect of physical activity lifestyle interventions in adults?. *Health & Place*, 16(5), pp.903-908.
- 823 Kerr Jacqueline, Sallis James F, Owen Neville, De Bourdeaudhuij , Ilse , Cerin Ester, Sugiyama Takemi, Reis Rodrigo, Sarmiento Olga, Fromel  
824 Karel, Mitas Josef, Troelsen Jens, Christiansen Lars Breum, Macfarlane Duncan, Salvo Deborah, Schofield Grant, Badland Hannah, Guillen-

PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 825 Grima Francisco, Aguinaga-Ontoso Ines, Davey Rachel, Bauman Adrian, Saelens Brian, Riddoch Chris, Ainsworth Barbara, Pratt Michael,  
826 Schmidt Tom, Frank Lawrence, Adams Marc, Conway Terry, Cain Kelli, Van Dyck , Delfien , and Bracy Nicole. (2013). Advancing science and  
827 policy through a coordinated international study of physical activity and built environments: IPEN adult methods. *Journal of physical activity &*  
828 *health*, 10(4), pp.581-601.
- 829 King A C, Toobert D, Ahn D, Resnicow K, Coday M, Riebe D, Garber C E, Hurtz S, Morton J, and Sallis J F. (2006). Perceived environments  
830 as physical activity correlates and moderators of intervention in five studies. *American Journal of Health Promotion*, 21(1), pp.24-35.
- 831 King Abby C, Sallis James F, Frank Lawrence D, Saelens Brian E, Cain Kelli, Conway Terry L, Chapman James E, Ahn David K, and Kerr  
832 Jacqueline. (2011). Aging in neighborhoods differing in walkability and income: Associations with physical activity and obesity in older adults.  
833 *Social Science & Medicine*, 73(10), pp.1525-1533.
- 834 King D. (2008). Neighborhood and individual factors in activity in older adults: Results from the neighborhood and senior health study. *Journal*  
835 *of Aging and Physical Activity*, 16(2), pp.144-170.
- 836 King Diane K, Allen Peg, Jones Dina L, Marquez David X, Brown David R, Rosenberg Dori, Janicek Sarah, Allen Laila, and Belza Basia.  
837 (2016). Safe, Affordable, Convenient: Environmental Features of Malls and Other Public Spaces Used by Older Adults for Walking. *Journal of*  
838 *physical activity & health*, 13(3), pp.289-95.
- 839 King Tania L, Thornton Lukar E, Bentley Rebecca J, and Kavanagh Anne M. (2012). Does parkland influence walking? The relationship  
840 between area of parkland and walking trips in Melbourne, Australia. *The international journal of behavioral nutrition and physical activity*, 9,  
841 pp.115.
- 842 Kligerman M, Sallis J F, Ryan S, Frank L D, and Nader P R. (2007). Association of neighborhood design and recreation environment variables  
843 with physical activity and body mass index in adolescents. *American Journal of Health Promotion*, 21(4), pp.274-277.
- 844 Knuiman M W, Christian H E, Divitini M L, Foster S A, Bull F C, Badland H M, and Giles-Corti B. (2014). A longitudinal analysis of the  
845 influence of the neighborhood built environment on walking for transportation: the RESIDE study. *American journal of epidemiology*, 180(5),  
846 pp.453-61.

PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 847 Koh Puay, Ping , Wong Yiik, and Diew . (2013). Comparing pedestrians' needs and behaviours in different land use environments. *Journal of*  
848 *Transport Geography*, 26, pp.43-50.
- 849 Kondo K, Lee J S, Kawakubo K, Kataoka Y, Asami Y, Mori K, Umezaki M, Yamauchi T, Takagi H, Sunagawa H, and Akabayashi A. (2009).  
850 Association between daily physical activity and neighborhood environments. *Environmental Health and Preventive Medicine*, 14(3), pp.196-  
851 206.
- 852 Koohsari M J, Sugiyama T, Mavoa S, Villanueva K, Badland H, Giles-Corti B, and Owen N. (2016). Street network measures and adults'  
853 walking for transport: Application of space syntax. *Health and Place*, 38, pp.89-95.
- 854 Koohsari Mohammad Javad, Karakiewicz Justyna Anna, and Kaczynski Andrew T. (2013). Public Open Space and Walking: The Role of  
855 Proximity, Perceptual Qualities of the Surrounding Built Environment, and Street Configuration. *Environment & Behavior*, 45(6), pp.706-736.
- 856 Koohsari Mohammad Javad, Sugiyama Takemi, Lamb Karen Elaine, Villanueva Karen, and Owen Neville. (2014). Street connectivity and  
857 walking for transport: Role of neighborhood destinations. *Preventive Medicine*, 66, pp.118-122.
- 858 Koohsari Mohammad Javad, Sugiyama Takemi, Sahlqvist Shannon, Mavoa Suzanne, Hadgraft Nyssa, and Owen Neville. (2015). Neighborhood  
859 environmental attributes and adults' sedentary behaviors: Review and research agenda. *Preventive Medicine*, 77, pp.141-149.
- 860 Kostova Deliana. (2011). Can the Built Environment Reduce Obesity? The Impact of Residential Sprawl and Neighborhood Parks on Obesity  
861 and Physical Activity. *Eastern Economic Journal*, 37(3), pp.390-402.
- 862 Kozo Justine, Sallis James F, Conway Terry L, Kerr Jacqueline, Cain Kelli, Saelens Brian E, Frank Lawrence D, and Owen Neville. (2012).  
863 Sedentary behaviors of adults in relation to neighborhood walkability and income. *Health Psychology*, 31(6), pp.704-713.
- 864 Kramer Danielle, Maas Jolanda, Wingen Marleen, and Kunst Anton E. (2013). Neighbourhood safety and leisure-time physical activity among  
865 Dutch adults: a multilevel perspective. *The international journal of behavioral nutrition and physical activity*, 10, pp.11.

PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 866 Krizek Kevin, J , Barnes Gary, and Thompson Kristin. (2009). Analyzing the Effect of Bicycle Facilities on Commute Mode Share over Time.  
867 *Journal of Urban Planning and Development*, 135, pp.66-73.
- 868 Kuhnimhof Tobias, Georg , Chlund Bastian, von der Ruhren, and Stefan . (2006). Users of Transport Modes and Multimodal Travel Behavior:  
869 Steps Toward Understanding Travelers' Options and Choices. *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board* ,  
870 pp.40-48.
- 871 Kurka Jonathan M, Adams Marc A, Todd Michael, Colburn Trina, Sallis James F, Cain Kelli L, Glanz Karen, Frank Lawrence D, and Saelens  
872 Brian E. (2015). Patterns of neighborhood environment attributes in relation to children's physical activity. *Health & Place*, 34, pp.164-170.
- 873 Kwarteng Jamila L, Schulz Amy J, Mentz Graciela B, Zenk Shannon N, and Opperman Alisha A. (2014). Associations between observed  
874 neighborhood characteristics and physical activity: findings from a multiethnic urban community. *Journal of public health (Oxford, and  
875 England)*, 36(3), pp.358-67.
- 876 Kytta A Marketta, Broberg Anna K, and Kahila Maarit H. (2012). Urban environment and children's active lifestyle: softGIS revealing children's  
877 behavioral patterns and meaningful places. *American journal of health promotion : AJHP*, 26(5), pp.e137-48.
- 878 Lachapelle Ugo, Noland Robert, and B . (2012). Does the commute mode affect the frequency of walking behavior? The public transit link.  
879 *Transport Policy*, 21, pp.26-36.
- 880 Lachowycz K, and Jones A P. (2011). Greenspace and obesity: A systematic review of the evidence. *Obesity Reviews*, 12(501), pp.e183-e189.
- 881 Lake A A, Townshend T, Alvanides S, Stamp E, and Adamson A J. (2009). Diet, physical activity, sedentary behaviour and perceptions of the  
882 environment in young adults. *Journal of Human Nutrition and Dietetics*, 22(5), pp.444-454.
- 883 Lamiquiz Patxi, J , Lopez Dominguez, and Jorge . (2015). Effects of built environment on walking at the neighbourhood scale. A new role for  
884 street networks by modelling their configurational accessibility?. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 74, pp.148-163.



PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 885 Larco Nico, Steiner Bethany, Stockard Jean, and West Amanda. (2012). Pedestrian-Friendly Environments and Active Travel for Residents of  
886 Multifamily Housing: The Role of Preferences and Perceptions. *Environment & Behavior*, 44(3), pp.303-333.
- 887 Larouche R. (2015). Built Environment Features that Promote Cycling in School-Aged Children. *Current Obesity Reports*, 4(4), pp.494-503.
- 888 Larouche Richard, Chaput Jean-Philippe, Leduc Genevieve, Boyer Charles, Belanger Priscilla, LeBlanc Allana G, Borghese Michael M, and  
889 Tremblay Mark S. (2014). A cross-sectional examination of socio-demographic and school-level correlates of children's school travel mode in  
890 Ottawa, Canada. *BMC public health*, 14, pp.497.
- 891 Larsen B A, Pekmezi D, Marquez B, Benitez T J, and Marcus B H. (2013). Physical activity in Latinas: Social and environmental influences.  
892 *Women's Health*, 9(2), pp.201-210.
- 893 Larsen K, Gilliland J, Hess P, Tucker P, Irwin J, and He M. (2009). The influence of the physical environment and sociodemographic  
894 characteristics on children's mode of travel to and from school. *American Journal of Public Health*, 99(3), pp.520-526.
- 895 Laxer Rachel E, and Janssen Ian. (2013). The proportion of youths' physical inactivity attributable to neighbourhood built environment features.  
896 *International journal of health geographics*, 12, pp.31.
- 897 Lee Chanam, and Li Li. (2014). Demographic, physical activity, and route characteristics related to school transportation: an exploratory study.  
898 *American journal of health promotion : AJHP*, 28(3 Suppl), pp.S77-88.
- 899 Lee Chanam, Zhu Xuemei, Yoon Jeongjae, and Varni James W. (2013). Beyond distance: children's school travel mode choice. *Annals of*  
900 *behavioral medicine : a publication of the Society of Behavioral Medicine*, 45 Suppl 1, pp.S55-67.
- 901 Lee H, Kang H M, Ko Y J, Kim H S, Kim Y J, Bae W K, Park S, and Cho B. (2015). Influence of urban neighbourhood environment on physical  
902 activity and obesity-related diseases. *Public Health*, 129(9), pp.1204-1210.
- 903 Lee I Min, Ewing Reid, and Sesso Howard D. (2009). The Built Environment and Physical Activity Levels: The Harvard Alumni Health Study.  
904 *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 37(4), pp.293-298.

## PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 905 Lee J S, Kawakubo K, Kohri S, Tsujii H, Mori K, and Akabayashi A. (2007). Association between residents' perception of the neighborhood's  
906 environments and walking time in objectively different regions. *Environmental Health and Preventive Medicine*, 12(1), pp.3-10.
- 907 Lee K Y, Lee P H, and Macfarlane D. (2014). Associations between moderate-to-vigorous physical activity and neighbourhood recreational  
908 facilities: The features of the facilities matter. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 11(12), pp.12594-12610.
- 909 Lee Murray C, Orenstein Marla R, and Richardson Maxwell J. (2008). Systematic review of active commuting to school and childrens physical  
910 activity and weight. *Journal of physical activity & health*, 5(6), pp.930-49.
- 911 Lee R E, Mama S K, Medina A V, Ho A, and Adamus H J. (2012). Neighborhood factors influence physical activity among African American  
912 and Hispanic or Latina women. *Health and Place*, 18(1), pp.63-70.
- 913 Lee Rebecca E, Mama Scherezade K, McAlexander Kristen P, Adamus Heather, and Medina Ashley V. (2011). Neighborhood and PA:  
914 neighborhood factors and physical activity in African American public housing residents. *Journal of physical activity & health*, 8 Suppl 1,  
915 pp.S83-90.
- 916 Lee Rebecca E, Soltero Erica G, Jáuregui Alejandra, Mama Scherezade K, Barquera Simón, Jauregui Edna, y Taylor, Juan Lopez, Ortiz-  
917 Hernández Luis, and Lévesque Lucie. (2016). Disentangling Associations of Neighborhood Street Scale Elements With Physical Activity in  
918 Mexican School Children. *Environment and Behavior*, 48(1), pp.150.
- 919 Leslie E, Kremer P, Toumbourou J W, and Williams J W. (2010). Gender differences in personal, social and environmental influences on active  
920 travel to and from school for Australian adolescents. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, 13(6), pp.597-601.
- 921 Leslie Eva, Coffee Neil, Frank Lawrence, Owen Neville, Bauman Adrian, and Hugo Graeme. (2007). Walkability of local communities: Using  
922 geographic information systems to objectively assess relevant environmental attributes. *Health & Place*, 13(1), pp.111-122.
- 923 Levasseur Melanie, Genereux Melissa, Bruneau Jean-Francois, Vanasse Alain, Chabot Eric, Beaulac Claude, and Bedard Marie-Michele.  
924 (2015). Importance of proximity to resources, social support, transportation and neighborhood security for mobility and social participation in  
925 older adults: results from a scoping study. *BMC public health*, 15, pp.503.

PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 926 Li F, Harmer P A, Cardinal B J, Bosworth M, Acock A, Johnson-Shelton D, and Moore J M. (2008). Built Environment, Adiposity, and Physical  
927 Activity in Adults Aged 50-75. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 35(1), pp.38-46.
- 928 Li F, Harmer P, Cardinal B J, Bosworth M, Johnson-Shelton D, Moore J M, Acock A, and Vongjaturapat N. (2009). Built environment and 1-  
929 year change in weight and waist circumference in middle-aged and older adults: Portland neighborhood environment and health study. *American*  
930 *Journal of Epidemiology*, 169(4), pp.401-408.
- 931 Li Fuzhong, Harmer Peter A, Cardinal Bradley J, Bosworth Mark, Acock Alan, Johnson-Shelton Deborah, and Moore Jane M. (2008). Built  
932 Environment, Adiposity, and Physical Activity in Adults Aged 50-75. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 35(1), pp.38-46.
- 933 Li Yue, Hsu Jennifer Anna, and Fernie Geoff. (2013). Aging and the use of pedestrian facilities in winter-the need for improved design and  
934 better technology. *Journal of urban health : bulletin of the New York Academy of Medicine*, 90(4), pp.602-17.
- 935 Liao Y, Harada K, Shibata A, Ishii K, Oka K, Nakamura Y, Inoue S, and Shimomitsu T. (2011). Perceived environmental factors associated with  
936 physical activity among normal-weight and overweight Japanese men. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*,  
937 8(4), pp.631-943.
- 938 Lovasi Gina S, Schwartz-Soicher Ofira, Neckerman Kathryn M, Konty Kevin, Kerker Bonnie, Quinn James, and Rundle Andrew. (2013).  
939 Aesthetic amenities and safety hazards associated with walking and bicycling for transportation in New York City. *Annals of behavioral*  
940 *medicine : a publication of the Society of Behavioral Medicine*, 45 Suppl 1, pp.S76-85.
- 941 Lusk Anne C, Morency Patrick, Miranda-Moreno Luis F, Willett Walter C, and Dennerlein Jack T. (2013). Bicycle guidelines and crash rates on  
942 cycle tracks in the United States. *American journal of public health*, 103(7), pp.1240-8.
- 943 Ma Liang, and Dill Jennifer. (2015). Associations between the Objective and Perceived Built Environment and Bicycling for Transportation.  
944 *Journal of Transport & Health*, 2, pp.248-255.
- 945 MacDonald John M, Stokes Robert J, Cohen Deborah A, Kofner Aaron, and Ridgeway Greg K. (2010). The Effect of Light Rail Transit on  
946 Body Mass Index and Physical Activity. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 39(2), pp.105-112.

## PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 947 Macniven R, Richards J, Gubhaju L, Joshy G, Bauman A, Banks E, and Eades S. (2016). Physical activity, healthy lifestyle behaviors,  
948 neighborhood environment characteristics and social support among Australian Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal adults. *Preventive Medicine*  
949 *Reports*, 3, pp.203-210.
- 950 Maddison R, Hoorn S V, Jiang Y, Mhurchu C N, Exeter D, Dorey E, Bullen C, Utter J, Schaaf D, and Turley M. (2009). The environment and  
951 physical activity: The influence of psychosocial, perceived and built environmental factors. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and*  
952 *Physical Activity*, 6, pp.no pagination.
- 953 Maisel Jordana L. (2016). Impact of Older Adults' Neighborhood Perceptions on Walking Behavior. *Journal of aging and physical activity*,  
954 28(2), pp.247-55.
- 955 Maki-Opas Tomi E, de Munter , Jeroen , Maas Jolanda, den Hertog, Frank , and Kunst Anton E. (2014). The association between physical  
956 environment and cycling to school among Turkish and Moroccan adolescents in Amsterdam. *International journal of public health*, 59(4),  
957 pp.629-36.
- 958 Mandic S, Leon de la Barra, S , Garcia Bengoechea, E , Stevens E, Flaherty C, Moore A, Middlemiss M, Williams J, and Skidmore P. (2015).  
959 Personal, social and environmental correlates of active transport to school among adolescents in Otago, New Zealand. *Journal of Science and*  
960 *Medicine in Sport*, 18(4), pp.432-437.
- 961 Mantjes J A, Jones A P, Corder K, Jones N R, Harrison F, Griffin S J, van Sluijs , and E M F. (2012). School related factors and 1yr change in  
962 physical activity amongst 9-11 year old English schoolchildren. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 9, pp.no  
963 pagination.
- 964 Marquet O, and Miralles-Guasch C. (2015). Neighbourhood vitality and physical activity among the elderly: THE role of walkable environments  
965 on active ageing in Barcelona, Spain. *Social Science and Medicine*, 135, pp.24-30.
- 966 McAlexander Kristen M, Mama Scherezade K, Medina Ashley V, O'Connor Daniel P, and Lee Rebecca E. (2012). Concordance and correlates  
967 of direct and indirect built environment measurement among minority women. *American journal of health promotion : AJHP*, 26(4), pp.239-44.

PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 968 McCormack G R, and Shiell A. (2011). In search of causality: A systematic review of the relationship between the built environment and  
969 physical activity among adults. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 8, pp.no pagination.
- 970 McCormack G R, Shiell A, Doyle-Baker P K, Friedenreich C M, and Sandalack B A. (2014). Subpopulation differences in the association  
971 between neighborhood urban form and neighborhood-based physical activity. *Health and Place*, 28, pp.109-115.
- 972 McCormack G R, Shiell A, Giles-Corti B, Begg S, Veerman J L, Geelhoed E, Amarasinghe A, and Emery J C. H. (2012). The association  
973 between sidewalk length and walking for different purposes in established neighborhoods. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and*  
974 *Physical Activity*, 9, pp.no pagination.
- 975 McCormack Gavin R, Friedenreich Christine M, Giles-Corti Billie, Doyle-Baker Patricia K, and Shiell Alan. (2013). Do motivation-related  
976 cognitions explain the relationship between perceptions of urban form and neighborhood walking?. *Journal of physical activity & health*, 10(7),  
977 pp.961-73.
- 978 McCormack Gavin R, Friedenreich Christine, Sandalack Beverly A, Giles-Corti Billie, Doyle-Baker Patricia K, and Shiell Alan. (2012). The  
979 relationship between cluster-analysis derived walkability and local recreational and transportation walking among Canadian adults. *Health &*  
980 *Place*, 18(5), pp.1079-1087.
- 981 McDonald K, Hearst M, Farbakhsh K, Patnode C, Forsyth A, Sirard J, and Lytle L. (2012). Adolescent physical activity and the built  
982 environment: A latent class analysis approach. *Health and Place*, 18(2), pp.191-198.
- 983 McDonald N C. (2007). Active Transportation to School. Trends Among U.S. Schoolchildren, 1969-2001. *American Journal of Preventive*  
984 *Medicine*, 32(6), pp.509-516.
- 985 McGinn A P, Evenson K R, Herring A H, Huston S L, and Rodriguez D A. (2007). Exploring associations between physical activity and  
986 perceived and objective measures of the built environment. *Journal of Urban Health*, 84(2), pp.162-184.

PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 987 McGrath Leslie J, Hinckson Erica A, Hopkins Will G, Mavoa Suzanne, Witten Karen, and Schofield Grant. (2016). Associations Between the  
988 Neighborhood Environment and Moderate-to-Vigorous Walking in New Zealand Children: Findings from the URBAN Study. *Sports medicine*  
989 (*Auckland, and N.Z.*), 46(7), pp.1003-17.
- 990 McGrath Leslie J, Hopkins Will G, and Hinckson Erica A. (2015). Associations of objectively measured built-environment attributes with youth  
991 moderate-vigorous physical activity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sports medicine (Auckland, and N.Z.)*, 45(6), pp.841-65.
- 992 McMinn David, Oreskovic Nicolas M, Aitkenhead Matt J, Johnston Derek W, Murtagh Shemane, and Rowe David A. (2014). The physical  
993 environment and health-enhancing activity during the school commute: global positioning system, geographical information systems and  
994 accelerometry. *Geospatial health*, 8(2), pp.569-72.
- 995 Mecredy G, Pickett W, and Janssen I. (2011). Street connectivity is negatively associated with physical activity in Canadian youth. *International*  
996 *Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 8(8), pp.3333-3350.
- 997 Merchant A T, Dehghan M, Behnke-Cook D, and Anand S S. (2007). Diet, physical activity, and adiposity in children in poor and rich  
998 neighbourhoods: A cross-sectional comparison. *Nutrition Journal*, 6, pp.no pagination.
- 999 Mertens L, Compernelle S, Gheysen F, Deforche B, Brug J, Mackenbach J D, Lakerveld J, Oppert J M, Feuillet T, Glonti K, Bardos H, De  
1000 Bourdeaudhuij , and I . (2016). Perceived environmental correlates of cycling for transport among adults in five regions of Europe. *Obesity*  
1001 *Reviews*, 17, pp.53-61.
- 1002 Miles R. (2008). Neighborhood Disorder, Perceived Safety, and Readiness to Encourage Use of Local Playgrounds. *American Journal of*  
1003 *Preventive Medicine*, 34(4), pp.275-281.
- 1004 Millstein Rachel A, Strobel Joe, Kerr Jacqueline, Sallis James F, Norman Gregory J, Durant Nefertiti, Harris Sion, and Saelens Brian E. (2011).  
1005 Home, school, and neighborhood environment factors and youth physical activity. *Pediatric exercise science*, 23(4), pp.487-503.
- 1006 Molina-Garcia Javier, Castillo Isabel, and Sallis James F. (2010). Psychosocial and environmental correlates of active commuting for university  
1007 students. *Preventive Medicine*, 51(2), pp.136-138.

PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 1008 Moniruzzaman Md, and Paez Antonio. (2016). An investigation of the attributes of walkable environments from the perspective of seniors in  
1009 Montreal. *Journal of Transport Geography*, 51, pp.85-96.
- 1010 Morris K S, McAuley E, and Motl R W. (2008). Self-efficacy and environmental correlates of physical activity among older women and women  
1011 with multiple sclerosis. *Health Education Research*, 23(4), pp.744-752.
- 1012 Mota Jorge, Gomes Helena, Almeida Mariana, Ribeiro Jose Carlos, Carvalho Joana, and Santos Maria Paula. (2007). Active versus passive  
1013 transportation to school-differences in screen time, socio-economic position and perceived environmental characteristics in adolescent girls.  
1014 *Annals of human biology*, 34(3), pp.273-82.
- 1015 Muller-Riemenschneider Falk, Pereira Gavin, Villanueva Karen, Christian Hayley, Knuiman Matthew, Giles-Corti Billie, and Bull Fiona C.  
1016 (2013). Neighborhood walkability and cardiometabolic risk factors in Australian adults: an observational study. *BMC public health*, 13, pp.755.
- 1017 Murayama H, Yoshie S, Sugawara I, Wakui T, and Arami R. (2012). Contextual effect of neighborhood environment on homebound elderly in a  
1018 Japanese community. *Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics*, 54(1), pp.67-71.
- 1019 Mytton Oliver T, Townsend Nick, Rutter Harry, and Foster Charlie. (2012). Green space and physical activity: An observational study using  
1020 Health Survey for England data. *Health & Place*, 18(5), pp.1034-1041.
- 1021 Nagel C L, Carlson N E, Bosworth M, and Michael Y L. (2008). The relation between neighborhood built environment and walking activity  
1022 among older adults. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 168(4), pp.461-468.
- 1023 Nathan Andrea, Wood Lisa, and Giles-Corti Billie. (2014). Examining correlates of self-reported and objectively measured physical activity  
1024 among retirement village residents. *Australasian journal on ageing*, 33(4), pp.250-6.
- 1025 Nelson Norah M, and Woods Catherine B. (2010). Neighborhood perceptions and active commuting to school among adolescent boys and girls.  
1026 *Journal of physical activity & health*, 7(2), pp.257-66.

PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 1027 Nielsen Thomas Alexander Sick, Olafsson Anton S, Carstensen Trine A, and Skov-Petersen Hans. (2013). Environmental Correlates of Cycling:  
1028 Evaluating Urban Form and Location Effects Based on Danish Micro-data. *Transportation Research: Part D: Transport and Environment*,  
1029 22(0), pp.40-44.
- 1030 Noonan R J, Boddy L M, Knowles Z R, and Fairclough S J. (2016). Cross-sectional associations between high-deprivation home and  
1031 neighbourhood environments, and health-related variables among Liverpool children. *BMJ Open*, 6(1), pp.no pagination.
- 1032 Norman Gregory J, Carlson Jordan A, O'Mara Stephanie, Sallis James F, Patrick Kevin, Frank Lawrence D, and Godbole Suneeta V. (2013).  
1033 Neighborhood preference, walkability and walking in overweight/obese men. *American journal of health behavior*, 37(2), pp.277-82.
- 1034 Ogilvie D, Mitchell R, Mutrie N, Petticrew M, and Platt S. (2008). Perceived characteristics of the environment associated with active travel:  
1035 Development and testing of a new scale. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 5, pp.no pagination.
- 1036 Oliver Lisa, Schuurman Nadine, Hall Alexander, and Hayes Michael. (2011). Assessing the Influence of the Built Environment on Physical  
1037 Activity for Utility and Recreation in Suburban Metro Vancouver. *BMC Public Health*, 11, pp.9.
- 1038 Oliver M, Badland H, Mavoa S, Witten K, Kearns R, Ellaway A, Hinckson E, Mackay L, and Schluter P J. (2014). Environmental and socio-  
1039 demographic associates of children's active transport to school: A cross-sectional investigation from the URBAN Study. *International Journal of*  
1040 *Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 11(1), pp.no pagination.
- 1041 Oliver M, Mavoa S, Badland H, Parker K, Donovan P, Kearns R A, Lin E Y, and Witten K. (2015). Associations between the neighbourhood  
1042 built environment and out of school physical activity and active travel: An examination from the Kids in the City study. *Health and Place*, 36,  
1043 pp.57-64.
- 1044 Oliver Melody, Witten Karen, Blakely Tony, Parker Karl, Badland Hannah, Schofield Grant, Ivory Vivienne, Pearce Jamie, Mavoa Suzanne,  
1045 Hinckson Erica, Sweetsur Paul, and Kearns Robin. (2015). Neighbourhood built environment associations with body size in adults: mediating  
1046 effects of activity and sedentariness in a cross-sectional study of New Zealand adults. *BMC public health*, 15, pp.956.



PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 1047 Oluyomi Abiodun O, Whitehead Lawrence W, Burau Keith D, Symanski Elaine, Kohl Harold W, and Bondy Melissa. (2014). Physical activity  
1048 guideline in Mexican-Americans: does the built environment play a role?. *Journal of immigrant and minority health / Center for Minority Public*  
1049 *Health*, 16(2), pp.244-55.
- 1050 Olvera Norma, Smith Dennis W, Lee Chanam, Liu Jian, Lee Jay, Kellam Stephanie, and Kim Jun-Hyun. (2012). Hispanic maternal and  
1051 children's perceptions of neighborhood safety related to walking and cycling. *Health & Place*, 18(1), pp.71-75.
- 1052 Ord K, Mitchell R, and Pearce J. (2013). Is level of neighbourhood green space associated with physical activity in green space?. *International*  
1053 *Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 10, pp.no pagination.
- 1054 Oreskovic N M, Blossom J, Robinson A I, Chen M L, Uscanga D K, and Mendoza J A. (2014). The influence of the built environment on  
1055 outcomes from a "walking school bus study": a cross-sectional analysis using geographical information systems. *Geospatial health*, 9(1), pp.37-  
1056 44.
- 1057 Orzanco-Garralda M Rosario, Guillen-Grima Francisco, Sainz Suberviola, Lourdes , Redin Areta, M Dolores, de la Rosa Eduardo, Rosana , and  
1058 Aguinaga-Ontoso Ines. (2016). Influence of Urbanistic Characteristics in the Level of Physical Activity in People Aged 18 to 65 of the  
1059 Metropolitan Area Pamplona, Spain. *Influencia de las características urbanísticas ambientales en el nivel de actividad física de la población de*  
1060 *18 a 65 años del área metropolitana de Pamplona*. *orzancg@cfnavarra.es.*, 90, pp.e1-e10.
- 1061 Ou J Y, Levy J I, Peters J L, Bongiovanni R, Garcia-Soto J, Medina R, and Scammell M K. (2016). A walk in the park: The influence of urban  
1062 parks and community violence on physical activity in Chelsea, MA. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 13(1),  
1063 pp.no pagination.
- 1064 Owen Neville, Cerin Ester, Leslie Eva, duToit Lorinne, Coffee Neil, Frank Lawrence D, Bauman Adrian E, Hugo Graeme, Saelens Brian E, and  
1065 Sallis James F. (2007). Neighborhood Walkability and the Walking Behavior of Australian Adults. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*,  
1066 33(5), pp.387-395.

### PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 1067 Owen Neville, De Bourdeaudhuij , Ilse , Sugiyama Takemi, Leslie Eva, Cerin Ester, Van Dyck , Delfien , and Bauman Adrian. (2010). Bicycle  
1068 Use for Transport in an Australian and a Belgian City: Associations with Built-Environment Attributes. *Journal of Urban Health*, 87, pp.189-  
1069 198.
- 1070 Page A S, Cooper A R, Griew P, and Jago R. (2010). Independent mobility, perceptions of the built environment and children's participation in  
1071 play, active travel and structured exercise and sport: The PEACH Project. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 7,  
1072 pp.no pagination.
- 1073 Panter J R, and Jones A P. (2008). Associations between physical activity, perceptions of the neighbourhood environment and access to facilities  
1074 in an English city. *Social Science and Medicine*, 67(11), pp.1917-1923.
- 1075 Panter J R, Jones A P, van Sluijs , and E M F. (2008). Environmental determinants of active travel in youth: A review and framework for future  
1076 research. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 5, pp.no pagination.
- 1077 Panter J R, Jones A P, Van Sluijs , E M F, and Griffin S J. (2010). Attitudes, social support and environmental perceptions as predictors of active  
1078 commuting behaviour in school children. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*, 64(1), pp.41-48.
- 1079 Panter J, Corder K, Griffin S J, Jones A P, van Sluijs , and E M F. (2013). Individual, socio-cultural and environmental predictors of uptake and  
1080 maintenance of active commuting in children: Longitudinal results from the SPEEDY study. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and*  
1081 *Physical Activity*, 10, pp.no pagination.
- 1082 Panter Jenna R, Jones Andrew P, Van Sluijs , Esther M F, and Griffin Simon J. (2010). Neighborhood, Route, and School Environments and  
1083 Children's Active Commuting. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 38(3), pp.268-278.
- 1084 Panter Jenna R, Jones Andrew P, van Sluijs , Esther M F, Griffin Simon J, and Wareham Nicholas J. (2011). Environmental and psychological  
1085 correlates of older adult's active commuting. *Medicine and science in sports and exercise*, 43(7), pp.1235-43.
- 1086 Panter Jenna Rachel, and Jones Andy. (2010). Attitudes and the environment as determinants of active travel in adults: what do and don't we  
1087 know?. *Journal of physical activity & health*, 7(4), pp.551-61.

PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 1088 Panter Jenna, Desousa Carol, and Ogilvie David. (2013). Incorporating walking or cycling into car journeys to and from work: The role of  
1089 individual, workplace and environmental characteristics. *Preventive Medicine*, 56(3-4), pp.211-217.
- 1090 Panter Jenna, Griffin Simon, and Ogilvie David. (2014). Active commuting and perceptions of the route environment: a longitudinal analysis.  
1091 *Preventive medicine*, 67, pp.134-40.
- 1092 Panter Jenna, Griffin Simon, Jones Andrew, Mackett Roger, and Ogilvie David. (2011). Correlates of time spent walking and cycling to and  
1093 from work: baseline results from the commuting and health in Cambridge study. *The international journal of behavioral nutrition and physical*  
1094 *activity*, 8, pp.124.
- 1095 Park Sungjin, Choi Keechoo, Lee Jae, and Seung . (2015). To Walk or Not to Walk: Testing the Effect of Path Walkability on Transit Users'  
1096 Access Mode Choices to the Station. *International Journal of Sustainable Transportation*, 9, pp.529-541.
- 1097 Pasanen Tytti P, Tyrvaïnen Liisa, and Korpela Kalevi M. (2014). The relationship between perceived health and physical activity indoors,  
1098 outdoors in built environments, and outdoors in nature. *Applied psychology. Health and well-being*, 6(3), pp.324-46.
- 1099 Peachey Andrew A, and Baller Stephanie L. (2015). Perceived Built Environment Characteristics of On-Campus and Off-Campus  
1100 Neighborhoods Associated With Physical Activity of College Students. *Journal of American College Health*, 63(5), pp.337.
- 1101 Pelclova J, Fromel K, and Cuberek R. (2014). Gender-Specific associations between perceived neighbourhood walkability and meeting walking  
1102 recommendations when walking for transport and recreation for Czech inhabitants over 50 years of age. *International Journal of Environmental*  
1103 *Research and Public Health*, 11(1), pp.527-536.
- 1104 Perdue L A, Michael Y L, Harris C, Heller J, Livingston C, Rader M, and Goff N M. (2012). Rapid health impact assessment of policies to  
1105 reduce vehicle miles traveled in Oregon. *Public Health*, 126(12), pp.1063-1071.
- 1106 Perry Cynthia K, Herting Jerald R, Berke Ethan M, Nguyen Huong Q, Vernez Moudon, Anne , Beresford Shirley A. A, Ockene Judith K,  
1107 Manson JoAnn E, and LaCroix Andrea Z. (2013). Does neighborhood walkability moderate the effects of intrapersonal characteristics on  
1108 amount of walking in post-menopausal women?. *Health & Place*, 21, pp.39-45.

PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 1109 Pikora T J, Giles-Corti B, Knuiman M W, Bull F C, Jamrozik K, and Donovan R J. (2006). Neighborhood environmental factors correlated with  
1110 walking near home: Using SPACES. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 38(4), pp.708-714.
- 1111 Pizarro A N, Santos M P, Ribeiro J C, and Mota J. (2012). Physical activity and active transport are predicted by adolescents' different built  
1112 environment perceptions. *Journal of Public Health (Germany)*, 20(1), pp.5-10.
- 1113 Pojani Dorina, and Boussauw Kobe. (2014). Keep the children walking: active school travel in Tirana, Albania. *Journal of Transport*  
1114 *Geography*, 38, pp.55-65.
- 1115 Poulou Theodora, Sera Francesco, Griffiths Lucy, Joshi Heather, Geraci Marco, Cortina-Borja Mario, and Law Catherine. (2015).  
1116 Environmental influences on children's physical activity. *Journal of epidemiology and community health*, 69(1), pp.77-85.
- 1117 Pratt Michael, Yin Shaoman, Soler Robin, Njai Rashid, Siegel Paul Z, and Liao Youlian. (2015). Does perceived neighborhood walkability and  
1118 safety mediate the association between education and meeting physical activity guidelines?. *Preventing chronic disease*, 12, pp.E46.
- 1119 Price Anna Elizabeth, Reed Julian A, Long Savannah, Maslow Andrea L, and Hooker Steven P. (2012). The association of natural elements with  
1120 physical activity intensity during trail use by older adults. *Journal of physical activity & health*, 9(5), pp.718-23.
- 1121 Prince S A, Gresty K M, Reed J L, Wright E, Tremblay M S, and Reid R D. (2014). Individual, social and physical environmental correlates of  
1122 sedentary behaviours in adults: A systematic review protocol. *Systematic Reviews*, 3(1), pp.no pagination.
- 1123 Prince S A, Reed J L, Nerenberg K A, Kristjansson E A, Hiremath S, Adamo K B, Tulloch H E, Mullen K A, Fodor J G, Wright E, and Reid R  
1124 D. (2015). Intrapersonal, social and physical environmental determinants of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity in working-age women: A  
1125 systematic review protocol. *Systematic Reviews*, 3(1), pp.no pagination.
- 1126 Rahman T, Cushing R A, and Jackson R J. (2011). Contributions of built environment to childhood obesity. *Mount Sinai Journal of Medicine*,  
1127 78(1), pp.49-57.

### PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 1128 Reed Julian, and Ainsworth Barbara. (2007). Perceptions of environmental supports on the physical activity behaviors of university men and  
1129 women: a preliminary investigation. *Journal of American college health : J of ACH*, 56(2), pp.199-204.
- 1130 Reyer Maren, Fina Stefan, Siedentop Stefan, and Schlicht Wolfgang. (2014). Walkability is only part of the story: walking for transportation in  
1131 Stuttgart, Germany. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 11(6), pp.5849-65.
- 1132 Reynolds Kim D, Wolch Jennifer, Byrne Jason, Chou Chih-Ping, Feng Guanjun, Weaver Susan, and Jerrett Michael. (2007). Trail  
1133 Characteristics as Correlates of Urban Trail Use. *American Journal of Health Promotion*, 21(Supplement), pp.335-345.
- 1134 Rhodes R E, Courneya K S, Blanchard C M, and Plotnikoff R C. (2007). Prediction of leisure-time walking: An integration of social cognitive,  
1135 perceived environmental, and personality factors. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 4, pp.no pagination.
- 1136 Ries A V, Dunsiger S, and Marcus B H. (2009). Physical activity interventions and changes in perceived home and facility environments.  
1137 *Preventive Medicine*, 49(6), pp.515-517.
- 1138 Ries Amy V, Voorhees Carolyn C, Roche Kathleen M, Gittelsohn Joel, Yan Alice F, and Astone Nan M. (2009). A Quantitative Examination of  
1139 Park Characteristics Related to Park Use and Physical Activity Among Urban Youth. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 45(3s), pp.S64-S70.
- 1140 Robertson L B, Ward Thompson, C , Aspinall P, Millington C, McAdam C, and Mutrie N. (2012). The influence of the local neighbourhood  
1141 environment on walking levels during the walking for wellbeing in the west pedometer-based community intervention. *Journal of Environmental  
1142 and Public Health*, 2012, pp.no pagination.
- 1143 Rodriguez Ariel, and Vogt Christine A. (2009). Demographic, environmental, access, and attitude factors that influence walking to school by  
1144 elementary school-aged children. *The Journal of school health*, 79(6), pp.255-61.
- 1145 Rodriguez Daniel A, Aytur Semra, Forsyth Ann, Oakes J Michael, and Clifton Kelly J. (2008). Relation of modifiable neighborhood attributes to  
1146 walking. *Preventive Medicine*, 47(3), pp.260-264.

PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 1147 Rodriguez Daniel A, Evenson Kelly R, Diez Roux, Ana V, and Brines Shannon J. (2009). Land use, residential density, and walking. The multi-  
1148 ethnic study of atherosclerosis. *American journal of preventive medicine*, 37(5), pp.397-404.
- 1149 Roemmich J N, Epstein L H, Raja S, and Yin L. (2007). The neighborhood and home environments: Disparate relationships with physical  
1150 activity and sedentary behaviors in youth. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*, 33(1), pp.29-38.
- 1151 Roemmich J N, Epstein L H, Raja S, Yin L, Robinson J, and Winiewicz D. (2006). Association of access to parks and recreational facilities with  
1152 the physical activity of young children. *Preventive Medicine*, 43(6), pp.437-441.
- 1153 Rosenberg Dori E, Huang Deborah L, Simonovich Shannon D, and Belza Basia. (2013). Outdoor built environment barriers and facilitators to  
1154 activity among midlife and older adults with mobility disabilities. *The Gerontologist*, 53(2), pp.268-79.
- 1155 Rossen Lauren M, Pollack Keshia M, Curriero Frank C, Shields Timothy M, Smart Mieka J, Furr-Holden C, Debra M, and Cooley-Strickland  
1156 Michele. (2011). Neighborhood incivilities, perceived neighborhood safety, and walking to school among urban-dwelling children. *Journal of*  
1157 *physical activity & health*, 8(2), pp.262-71.
- 1158 Rosso A L, Auchincloss A H, and Michael Y L. (2011). The urban built environment and mobility in older adults: A comprehensive review.  
1159 *Journal of Aging Research*, 2011, pp.no pagination.
- 1160 Rothman Linda, To Teresa, Buliung Ron, Macarthur Colin, and Howard Andrew. (2014). Influence of social and built environment features on  
1161 children walking to school: An observational study. *Preventive Medicine*, 60, pp.10-15.
- 1162 Rundle A G, Sheehan D M, Quinn J W, Bartley K, Eisenhower D, Bader M M. D, Lovasi G S, and Neckerman K M. (2016). Using GPS Data to  
1163 Study Neighborhood Walkability and Physical Activity. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 50(3), pp.e65-e72.
- 1164 Rung Ariane L, Mowen Andrew J, Broyles Stephanie T, and Gustat Jeanette. (2011). The role of park conditions and features on park visitation  
1165 and physical activity. *Journal of physical activity & health*, 8 Suppl 2, pp.S178-87.
- 1166 Ryan Sherry, Frank Lawrence, and F . (2009). Pedestrian Environments and Transit Ridership. *Journal of Public Transportation*, 12, pp.39-57.

PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 1167 Saelens Brian E, Sallis James F, Frank Lawrence D, Cain Kelli L, Conway Terry L, Chapman James E, Slymen Donald J, and Kerr Jacqueline.  
1168 (2012). Neighborhood environment and psychosocial correlates of adults' physical activity. *Medicine and science in sports and exercise*, 44(4),  
1169 pp.637-46.
- 1170 Saelens Brian E, Sallis James F, Frank Lawrence D, Couch Sarah C, Zhou Chuan, Colburn Trina, Cain Kelli L, Chapman James, and Glanz  
1171 Karen. (2012). Obesogenic Neighborhood Environments, Child and Parent Obesity: The Neighborhood Impact on Kids Study. *American Journal*  
1172 *of Preventive Medicine*, 42(5), pp.e57-e64.
- 1173 Saito Yoshinobu, Oguma Yuko, Inoue Shigeru, Tanaka Ayumi, and Kobori Yoshitaka. (2013). Environmental and individual correlates of  
1174 various types of physical activity among community-dwelling middle-aged and elderly Japanese. *International journal of environmental*  
1175 *research and public health*, 10(5), pp.2028-42.
- 1176 Sallis J F, Cerin E, Conway T L, Adams M A, Frank L D, Pratt M, Salvo D, Schipperijn J, Smith G, Cain K L, Davey R, Kerr J, Lai P C, Mitas  
1177 J, Reis R, Sarmiento O L, Schofield G, Troelsen J, Van Dyck , D , De Bourdeaudhuij , I , and Owen N. (2016). Physical activity in relation to  
1178 urban environments in 14 cities worldwide: A cross-sectional study. *The Lancet*, 387(10034), pp.2207-2217.
- 1179 Sallis J F, Conway T L, Dillon L I, Frank L D, Adams M A, Cain K L, and Saelens B E. (2013). Environmental and demographic correlates of  
1180 bicycling. *Preventive Medicine*, 57(5), pp.456-460.
- 1181 Sallis James F, Bowles Heather R, Bauman Adrian, Ainsworth Barbara E, Bull Fiona C, Craig Cora L, Sjostrom Michael, De Bourdeaudhuij ,  
1182 Ilse , Lefevre Johan, Matsudo Victor, Matsudo Sandra, Macfarlane Duncan J, Gomez Luis Fernando, Inoue Shigeru, Murase Norio, Volbekiene  
1183 Vida, McLean Grant, Carr Harriette, Heggebo Lena Klasson, Tomten Heidi, and Bergman Patrick. (2009). Neighborhood Environments and  
1184 Physical Activity Among Adults in 11 Countries. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 36(6), pp.484-490.
- 1185 Sallis James F, Saelens Brian E, Frank Lawrence D, Conway Terry L, Slymen Donald J, Cain Kelli L, Chapman James E, and Kerr Jacqueline.  
1186 (2009). Neighborhood built environment and income: examining multiple health outcomes. *Social science & medicine (1982)*, 68(7), pp.1285-  
1187 93.

### PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 1188 Salvo Deborah, Reis Rodrigo S, Stein Areyh D, Rivera Juan, Martorell Reynaldo, and Pratt Michael. (2014). Characteristics of the built  
1189 environment in relation to objectively measured physical activity among Mexican adults, 2011. *Preventing chronic disease*, 11, pp.E147.
- 1190 Sanders T, Feng X, Fahey P P, Lonsdale C, and Astell-Burt T. (2015). The influence of neighbourhood green space on children's physical  
1191 activity and screen time: Findings from the longitudinal study of Australian children. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical*  
1192 *Activity*, 12(1), pp.no pagination.
- 1193 Schipperijn Jasper, Bentsen Peter, Troelsen Jens, Toftager Mette, and Stigsdotter Ulrika K. (2013). Associations between physical activity and  
1194 characteristics of urban green space. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, 12(1), pp.109-116.
- 1195 Schulz Amy, Mentz Graciela, Johnson-Lawrence Vicki, Israel Barbara A, Max Paul, Zenk Shannon N, Wineman Jean, and Marans Robert W.  
1196 (2013). Independent and joint associations between multiple measures of the built and social environment and physical activity in a multi-ethnic  
1197 urban community. *Journal of urban health : bulletin of the New York Academy of Medicine*, 90(5), pp.872-87.
- 1198 Serrano-Sanchez J A, Lera-Navarro A, Dorado-Garcia C, Gonzalez-Henriquez J J, and Sanchis-Moysi J. (2012). Contribution of individual and  
1199 environmental factors to physical activity level among Spanish adults. *PLoS ONE*, 7(6), pp.no pagination.
- 1200 Shigematsu R, Sallis J F, Conway T L, Saelens B E, Frank L D, Cain K L, Chapman J E, and King A C. (2009). Age differences in the relation  
1201 of perceived neighborhood environment to walking. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 41(2), pp.314-321.
- 1202 Shimura Hiroko, Sugiyama Takemi, Winkler Elisabeth, and Owen Neville. (2012). High neighborhood walkability mitigates declines in middle-  
1203 to-older aged adults' walking for transport. *Journal of physical activity & health*, 9(7), pp.1004-8.
- 1204 Shimura Hiroko, Winkler Elisabeth, and Owen Neville. (2014). Individual, Psychosocial, and environmental correlates of 4-year declines in  
1205 walking among middle-to-older aged adults. *Journal of physical activity & health*, 11(6), pp.1078-84.
- 1206 Shores K A, and West S T. (2008). The relationship between built park environments and physical activity in four park locations. *Journal of*  
1207 *Public Health Management and Practice*, 14(3), pp.E9-E16.



PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 1208 Shores Kindal A, and West Stephanie T. (2010). Rural and urban park visits and park-based physical activity. *Preventive Medicine*, 50, pp.S13-  
1209 S17.
- 1210 Siceloff E R, Coulon S M, and Wilson D K. (2014). Physical activity as a mediator linking neighborhood environmental supports and obesity in  
1211 African Americans in the path trial. *Health psychology : official journal of the Division of Health Psychology, and American Psychological*  
1212 *Association*, 33(5), pp.481-9.
- 1213 Sisson Susan B, Lee Sarah M, Burns Elizabeth K, and Tudor-Locke Catrine. (2006). Suitability of Commuting by Bicycle to Arizona  
1214 Elementary Schools. *American Journal of Health Promotion*, 20(3), pp.210-213.
- 1215 Sisson Susan B, McClain James J, and Tudor-Locke Catrine. (2008). Campus Walkability, Pedometer-Determined Steps, and Moderate-to-  
1216 Vigorous Physical Activity: A Comparison of 2 University Campuses. *Journal of American College Health*, 56(5), pp.585-592.
- 1217 Slater S J, Ewing R, Powell L M, Chaloupka F J, Johnston L D, and O'Malley P M. (2010). The association between community physical  
1218 activity settings and youth physical activity, obesity, and body mass index. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 47(5), pp.496-503.
- 1219 Slater Sandy J, Nicholson Lisa, Chriqui Jamie, Barker Dianne C, Chaloupka Frank J, and Johnston Lloyd D. (2013). Walkable Communities and  
1220 Adolescent Weight. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 44(2), pp.164-168.
- 1221 Solomon E, Rees T, Ukoumunne O C, Metcalf B, and Hillsdon M. (2013). Personal, social, and environmental correlates of physical activity in  
1222 adults living in rural south-west England: a cross-sectional analysis. *The international journal of behavioral nutrition and physical activity*, 10,  
1223 pp.129.
- 1224 Spivock M, Gauvin L, and Brodeur J M. (2007). Neighborhood-Level Active Living Buoy for Individuals with Physical Disabilities. *American*  
1225 *Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 32(3), pp.224-230.
- 1226 Spivock M, Gauvin L, Riva M, and Brodeur J M. (2008). Promoting Active Living Among People with Physical Disabilities. Evidence for  
1227 Neighborhood-Level Buoy. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 34(4), pp.291-298.

PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 1228 Steinbach R, Green J, and Edwards P. (2012). Look who's walking: Social and environmental correlates of children's walking in London. *Health*  
1229 *and Place*, 18(4), pp.917-927.
- 1230 Stone Michelle R, Faulkner Guy E, Mitra Raktim, and Buliung Ron. (2012). Physical activity patterns of children in Toronto: the relative role of  
1231 neighbourhood type and socio-economic status. *Canadian journal of public health = Revue canadienne de sante publique*, 103(9 Suppl 3),  
1232 pp.eS9-14.
- 1233 Strath S J, Greenwald M J, Isaacs R, Hart T L, Lenz E K, Dondzila C J, and Swartz A M. (2012). Measured and perceived environmental  
1234 characteristics are related to accelerometer defined physical activity in older adults. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical*  
1235 *Activity*, 9, pp.no pagination.
- 1236 Strongegger Willibald J, Titze Sylvia, and Oja Pekka. (2010). Perceived characteristics of the neighborhood and its association with physical  
1237 activity behavior and self-rated health. *Health & Place*, 16(4), pp.736-743.
- 1238 Su J G, Jerrett M, McConnell R, Berhane K, Dunton G, Shankardass K, Reynolds K, Chang R, and Wolch J. (2013). Factors influencing whether  
1239 children walk to school. *Health and Place*, 22, pp.153-161.
- 1240 Sugiyama Takemi, Cerin Ester, Owen Neville, Oyeyemi Adewale L, Conway Terry L, Van Dyck , Delfien , Schipperijn Jasper, Macfarlane  
1241 Duncan J, Salvo Deborah, Reis Rodrigo S, Mitas Josef, Sarmiento Olga L, Davey Rachel, Schofield Grant, Orzanco-Garralda Rosario, and Sallis  
1242 James F. (2014). Perceived neighbourhood environmental attributes associated with adults' recreational walking: IPEN Adult study in 12  
1243 countries. *Health & Place*, 28, pp.22-30.
- 1244 Sugiyama Takemi, Giles-Corti Billie, Summers Jacqui, Toit Lorinne du, Leslie Eva, and Owen Neville. (2013). Initiating and maintaining  
1245 recreational walking: A longitudinal study on the influence of neighborhood green space. *Preventive Medicine*, 57(3), pp.178-182.
- 1246 Sugiyama Takemi, Gunn Lucy D, Christian Hayley, Francis Jacinta, Foster Sarah, Hooper Paula, Owen Neville, and Giles-Corti Billie. (2015).  
1247 Quality of Public Open Spaces and Recreational Walking. *American journal of public health*, 105(12), pp.2490-5.

### PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 1248 Sugiyama Takemi, Leslie Eva, Giles-Corti Billie, and Owen Neville. (2009). Physical activity for recreation or exercise on neighbourhood  
1249 streets: Associations with perceived environmental attributes. *Health & Place*, 15(4), pp.1058-1063.
- 1250 Sugiyama Takemi, Paquet Catherine, Howard Natasha J, Coffee Neil T, Taylor Anne W, Adams Robert J, and Daniel Mark. (2014). Public open  
1251 spaces and walking for recreation: Moderation by attributes of pedestrian environments. *Preventive Medicine*, 62, pp.25-29.
- 1252 Sugiyama Takemi, Shibata Ai, Koohsari Mohammad J, Tanamas Stephanie K, Oka Koichiro, Salmon Jo, Dunstan David W, and Owen Neville.  
1253 (2015). Neighborhood environmental attributes and adults' maintenance of regular walking. *Medicine and science in sports and exercise*, 47(6),  
1254 pp.1204-10.
- 1255 Sugiyama Takemi, Ward Thompson, and Catharine . (2008). Associations between characteristics of neighbourhood open space and older  
1256 people's walking. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, 7(1), pp.41-51.
- 1257 Suminski Richard R, Heinrich Katie M, Poston Walker S. C, Hyder Melissa, and Pyle Sara. (2008). Characteristics of urban sidewalks/streets  
1258 and objectively measured physical activity. *Journal of urban health : bulletin of the New York Academy of Medicine*, 85(2), pp.178-90.
- 1259 Sundquist K, Eriksson U, Kawakami N, Skog L, Ohlsson H, and Arvidsson D. (2011). Neighborhood walkability, physical activity, and walking  
1260 behavior: The Swedish Neighborhood and Physical Activity (SNAP) study. *Social Science and Medicine*, 72(8), pp.1266-1273.
- 1261 Sundquist Kristina, Eriksson Ulf, Mezuk Briana, and Ohlsson Henrik. (2015). Neighborhood walkability, deprivation and incidence of type 2  
1262 diabetes: A population-based study on 512,061 Swedish adults. *Health & Place*, 31, pp.24-30.
- 1263 Takahashi P Y, Baker M A, Cha S, and Targonski P V. (2012). A cross-sectional survey of the relationship between walking, biking, and the  
1264 built environment for adults aged over 70 years. *Risk Management and Healthcare Policy*, 5, pp.35-41.
- 1265 Taylor Lorian M, Leslie Eva, Plotnikoff Ronald C, Owen Neville, and Spence John C. (2008). Associations of perceived community  
1266 environmental attributes with walking in a population-based sample of adults with type 2 diabetes. *Annals of behavioral medicine : a publication  
1267 of the Society of Behavioral Medicine*, 35(2), pp.170-8.

PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 1268 Taylor Victoria M, Liu Qi, Yasui Yutaka, Talbot Jocelyn, Sos Channdara, Ornelas India, Coronado Gloria, and Bastani Roshan. (2012). Physical  
1269 activity among Cambodian Americans: an exploratory study. *Journal of community health*, 37(5), pp.1040-8.
- 1270 Teschke Kay, Harris M Anne, Reynolds Conor C. O, Winters Meghan, Babul Shelina, Chipman Mary, Cusimano Michael D, Brubacher Jeff R,  
1271 Hunte Garth, Friedman Steven M, Monro Melody, Shen Hui, Vernich Lee, and Cripton Peter A. (2012). Route infrastructure and the risk of  
1272 injuries to bicyclists: a case-crossover study. *American journal of public health*, 102(12), pp.2336-43.
- 1273 Thielman Justin, Rosella Laura, Copes Ray, Lebenbaum Michael, and Manson Heather. (2015). Neighborhood walkability: Differential  
1274 associations with self-reported transport walking and leisure-time physical activity in Canadian towns and cities of all sizes. *Preventive  
1275 medicine*, 77, pp.174-80.
- 1276 Timperio A, Ball K, Salmon J, Roberts R, Giles-Corti B, Simmons D, Baur L A, and Crawford D. (2006). Personal, family, social, and  
1277 environmental correlates of active commuting to school. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 30(1), pp.45-51.
- 1278 Timperio A, Veitch J, and Carver A. (2015). Safety in numbers: Does perceived safety mediate associations between the neighborhood social  
1279 environment and physical activity among women living in disadvantaged neighborhoods?. *Preventive Medicine*, 74, pp.49-54.
- 1280 Timperio Anna, Giles-Corti Billie, Crawford David, Andrianopoulos Nick, Ball Kylie, Salmon Jo, and Hume Clare. (2008). Features of public  
1281 open spaces and physical activity among children: Findings from the CLAN study. *Preventive Medicine*, 47(5), pp.514-518.
- 1282 Tin Sandar Tin, Woodward Alistair, Thornley Simon, Langley John, Rodgers Anthony, and Ameratunga Shanthi. (2010). Cyclists' attitudes  
1283 toward policies encouraging bicycle travel: findings from the Taupo Bicycle Study in New Zealand. *Health Promotion International*, 25(1),  
1284 pp.54-62.
- 1285 Titze Sylvia, Giles-Corti Billie, Knuiaman Matthew W, Pikora Terri J, Timperio Anna, Bull Fiona C, van Niel , and Kimberly . (2010).  
1286 Associations between intrapersonal and neighborhood environmental characteristics and cycling for transport and recreation in adults: baseline  
1287 results from the RESIDE study. *Journal of physical activity & health*, 7(4), pp.423-31.

PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 1288 Titze Sylvia, Stronegger Willibald J, Janschitz Susanne, and Oja Pekka. (2008). Association of built-environment, social-environment and  
1289 personal factors with bicycling as a mode of transportation among Austrian city dwellers. *Preventive Medicine*, 47(3), pp.252-259.
- 1290 Trapp G S. A, Giles-Corti B, Christian H E, Bulsara M, Timperio A F, McCormack G R, and Villaneuva K P. (2011). On your bike! a cross-  
1291 sectional study of the individual, social and environmental correlates of cycling to school. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and*  
1292 *Physical Activity*, 8, pp.no pagination.
- 1293 Trapp Georgina S. A, Giles-Corti Billie, Christian Hayley E, Bulsara Max, Timperio Anna F, McCormack Gavin R, and Villaneuva Karen P.  
1294 (2012). Increasing children's physical activity: individual, social, and environmental factors associated with walking to and from school. *Health*  
1295 *education & behavior : the official publication of the Society for Public Health Education*, 39(2), pp.172-82.
- 1296 Troped Philip J, Wilson Jeffrey S, Matthews Charles E, Cromley Ellen K, and Melly Steven J. (2010). The Built Environment and Location-  
1297 Based Physical Activity. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 38(4), pp.429-438.
- 1298 Tsunoda K, Tsuji T, Kitano N, Mitsuishi Y, Yoon J Y, Yoon J, and Okura T. (2012). Associations of physical activity with neighborhood  
1299 environments and transportation modes in older Japanese adults. *Preventive Medicine*, 55(2), pp.113-118.
- 1300 Turrell Gavin, Haynes Michele, Wilson Lee-Ann, and Giles-Corti Billie. (2013). Can the built environment reduce health inequalities? A study  
1301 of neighbourhood socioeconomic disadvantage and walking for transport. *Health & Place*, 19, pp.89-98.
- 1302 Ulmer Jared M, Chapman James E, Kershaw Suzanne E, Campbell Monica, and Frank Lawrence D. (2015). Application of an evidence-based  
1303 tool to evaluate health impacts of changes to the built environment. *Canadian journal of public health = Revue canadienne de sante publique*,  
1304 106(1 Suppl 1), pp.eS26-34.
- 1305 Van Cauwenberg , J , Cerin E, Timperio A, Salmon J, Deforche B, and Veitch J. (2015). Park proximity, quality and recreational physical  
1306 activity among mid-older aged adults: Moderating effects of individual factors and area of residence. *International Journal of Behavioral*  
1307 *Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 12(1), pp.no pagination.

### PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 1308 Van Cauwenberg , J , De Bourdeaudhuij , I , De Meester , F , Van Dyck , D , Salmon J, Clarys P, and Deforche B. (2011). Relationship between  
1309 the physical environment and physical activity in older adults: A systematic review. *Health and Place*, 17(2), pp.458-469.
- 1310 Van Cauwenberg , J , Van Holle , V , De Bourdeaudhuij , I , Van Dyck , D , and Deforche B. (2016). Neighborhood walkability and health  
1311 outcomes among older adults: The mediating role of physical activity. *Health and Place*, 37, pp.16-25.
- 1312 Van Cauwenberg , Jelle , Clarys Peter, De Bourdeaudhuij , Ilse , Van Holle , Veerle , Verte Dominique, De Witte , Nico , De Donder , Liesbeth ,  
1313 Buffel Tine, Dury Sarah, and Deforche Benedicte. (2012). Physical environmental factors related to walking and cycling in older adults: the  
1314 Belgian aging studies. *BMC public health*, 12, pp.142.
- 1315 Van Dyck , D , Cerin E, Conway T L, De Bourdeaudhuij , I , Owen N, Kerr J, Cardon G, Frank L D, Saelens B E, and Sallis J F. (2012).  
1316 Perceived neighborhood environmental attributes associated with adults' transport-related walking and cycling: Findings from the USA,  
1317 Australia and Belgium. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 9, pp.no pagination.
- 1318 Van Dyck , D , Cerin E, Conway T L, De Bourdeaudhuij , I , Owen N, Kerr J, Cardon G, Frank L D, Saelens B E, and Sallis J F. (2012).  
1319 Associations between perceived neighborhood environmental attributes and adults' sedentary behavior: Findings from the USA, Australia and  
1320 Belgium. *Social Science and Medicine*, 74(9), pp.1375-1384.
- 1321 Van Dyck , D , Cerin E, Conway T L, De Bourdeaudhuij , I , Owen N, Kerr J, Cardon G, Frank L D, Saelens B E, and Sallis J F. (2013).  
1322 Perceived neighborhood environmental attributes associated with adults' leisure-time physical activity: Findings from Belgium, Australia and the  
1323 USA. *Health and Place*, 19(1), pp.59-68.
- 1324 Van Dyck , D , Veitch J, De Bourdeaudhuij , I , Thornton L, and Ball K. (2013). Environmental perceptions as mediators of the relationship  
1325 between the objective built environment and walking among socio-economically disadvantaged women. *International Journal of Behavioral  
1326 Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 10, pp.no pagination.
- 1327 Van Dyck , Delfien , Cardon Greet, Deforche Benedicte, De Bourdeaudhuij , and Ilse . (2009). Lower neighbourhood walkability and longer  
1328 distance to school are related to physical activity in Belgian adolescents. *Preventive Medicine*, 48(6), pp.516-518.

PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 1329 Van Dyck , Delfien , Cardon Greet, Deforche Benedicte, Giles-Corti Billie, Sallis James F, Owen Neville, De Bourdeaudhuij , and Ilse . (2011).  
1330 Environmental and psychosocial correlates of accelerometer-assessed and self-reported physical activity in Belgian adults. *International journal*  
1331 *of behavioral medicine*, 18(3), pp.235-45.
- 1332 Van Dyck , Delfien , Cardon Greet, Deforche Benedicte, Owen Neville, Sallis James F, De Bourdeaudhuij , and Ilse . (2010). Neighborhood  
1333 Walkability and Sedentary Time in Belgian Adults. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 39(1), pp.25-32.
- 1334 Van Dyck , Delfien , Cardon Greet, Deforche Benedicte, Owen Neville, De Bourdeaudhuij , and Ilse . (2011). Relationships between  
1335 neighborhood walkability and adults' physical activity: How important is residential self-selection. *Health & Place*, 17(4), pp.1011-1014.
- 1336 Van Dyck , Delfien , Cardon Greet, Deforche Benedicte, Sallis James F, Owen Neville, De Bourdeaudhuij , and Ilse . (2010). Neighborhood  
1337 SES and walkability are related to physical activity behavior in Belgian adults. *Preventive Medicine*, 50, pp.S74-S79.
- 1338 Van Dyck , Delfien , De Meester , Femke , Cardon Greet, Deforche Benedicte, De Bourdeaudhuij , and Ilse . (2013). Physical environmental  
1339 attributes and active transportation in Belgium: what about adults and adolescents living in the same neighborhoods?. *American journal of health*  
1340 *promotion : AJHP*, 27(5), pp.330-8.
- 1341 Van Dyck , Delfien , Deforche Benedicte, Cardon Greet, De Bourdeaudhuij , and Ilse . (2009). Neighbourhood walkability and its particular  
1342 importance for adults with a preference for passive transport. *Health & Place*, 15(2), pp.496-504.
- 1343 Van Holle , V , Van Cauwenberg , J , Van Dyck , D , Deforche B, Van de Weghe , N , De Bourdeaudhuij , and I . (2014). Relationship between  
1344 neighborhood walkability and older adults' physical activity: Results from the Belgian Environmental Physical Activity Study in Seniors  
1345 (BEPAS Seniors). *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 11(1), pp.no pagination.
- 1346 Van Holle , Veerle , Deforche Benedicte, Van Cauwenberg , Jelle , Goubert Liesbet, Maes Lea, Van de Weghe , Nico , De Bourdeaudhuij , and  
1347 Ilse . (2012). Relationship between the physical environment and different domains of physical activity in European adults: a systematic review.  
1348 *BMC public health*, 12, pp.807.

PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 1349 Van Kann , D H H, Kremers S P. J, Gubbels J S, Bartelink N H. M, de Vries , S I, de Vries , N K, and Jansen M W. J. (2015). The Association  
1350 Between the Physical Environment of Primary Schools and Active School Transport. *Environment & Behavior*, 47(4), pp.418-435.
- 1351 van Sluijs , Esther M F, Jones Natalia R, Jones Andrew P, Sharp Stephen J, Harrison Flo, and Griffin Simon J. (2011). School-level correlates of  
1352 physical activity intensity in 10-year-old children. *International journal of pediatric obesity : IJPO : an official journal of the International*  
1353 *Association for the Study of Obesity*, 6(2-2), pp.e574-81.
- 1354 Vanhelst Jeremy, Beghin Laurent, Salleron Julia, Ruiz Jonatan R, Ortega Francisco B, De Bourdeauhuij , Ilse , Molnar Denes, Manios Yannis,  
1355 Widhalm Kurt, Vicente-Rodriguez German, Mauro Beatrice, Moreno Luis A, Sjostrom Michael, Castillo Manuel J, Gottrand Frederic, and  
1356 Group Helena Study. (2013). A favorable built environment is associated with better physical fitness in European adolescents. *Preventive*  
1357 *Medicine*, 57(6), pp.844-849.
- 1358 VanZerr Mariah. (2008). Resident Perceptions of Bicycle Boulevards: A SE Salmon Street Case Study. , , pp.40.
- 1359 Vaughn Amber E, Ball Sarah C, Linnan Laura A, Marchetti Lauren M, Hall William L, and Ward Dianne S. (2009). Promotion of walking for  
1360 transportation: a report from the Walk to School day registry. *Journal of physical activity & health*, 6(3), pp.281-8.
- 1361 Veitch J, Salmon J, and Ball K. (2010). Individual, social and physical environmental correlates of children's active free-play: A cross-sectional  
1362 study. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 7, pp.no pagination.
- 1363 Veitch Jenny, Timperio Anna, Crawford David, Abbott Gavin, Giles-Corti Billie, and Salmon Jo. (2011). Is the neighbourhood environment  
1364 associated with sedentary behaviour outside of school hours among children?. *Annals of behavioral medicine : a publication of the Society of*  
1365 *Behavioral Medicine*, 41(3), pp.333-41.
- 1366 Veugelers P, Sithole F, Zhang S, and Muhajarine N. (2008). Neighborhood characteristics in relation to diet, physical activity and overweight of  
1367 Canadian children. *International Journal of Pediatric Obesity*, 3(3), pp.152-159.



PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 1368 Villanueva Karen, Knuiiman Matthew, Nathan Andrea, Giles-Corti Billie, Christian Hayley, Foster Sarah, and Bull Fiona. (2014). The impact of  
1369 neighborhood walkability on walking: Does it differ across adult life stage and does neighborhood buffer size matter?. *Health & Place*, 25,  
1370 pp.43-46.
- 1371 Voorhees Carolyn C, Yan Alice F, Clifton Kelly J, and Wang Min Qi. (2011). Neighborhood environment, self-efficacy, and physical activity in  
1372 urban adolescents. *American journal of health behavior*, 35(6), pp.674-88.
- 1373 Wahlgren L, and Schantz P. (2014). Exploring bikeability in a suburban metropolitan area using the active commuting route environment scale  
1374 (ACRES). *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 11(8), pp.8276-8300.
- 1375 Wang Zhe, and Lee Chanam. (2010). Site and neighborhood environments for walking among older adults. *Health & Place*, 16(6), pp.1268-  
1376 1279.
- 1377 Ward Thompson, C , Aspinall P, Roe J, Robertson L, and Miller D. (2016). Mitigating stress and supporting health in deprived urban  
1378 communities: The importance of green space and the social environment. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*,  
1379 13(4), pp.no pagination.
- 1380 Weimann Hanna, Bjork Jonas, Rylander Lars, Bergman Patrick, and Eiben Gabriele. (2015). Neighborhood environment and physical activity  
1381 among young children: a cross-sectional study from Sweden. *Scandinavian journal of public health*, 43(3), pp.283-93.
- 1382 Wendel-Vos G C. W, Van Hooijdonk , C , Uitenbroek D, Agyemang C, Lindeman E M, and Droomers M. (2008). Environmental attributes  
1383 related to walking and bicycling at the individual and contextual level. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*, 62(8), pp.689-694.
- 1384 Wendel-Vos W, Droomers M, Kremers S, Brug J, Van Lenthe , and F . (2007). Potential environmental determinants of physical activity in  
1385 adults: A systematic review. *Obesity Reviews*, 8(5), pp.425-440.
- 1386 West Stephanie T, Shores Kindal A, and Mudd Lanay M. (2012). Association of available parkland, physical activity, and overweight in  
1387 America's largest cities. *Journal of public health management and practice : JPHMP*, 18(5), pp.423-30.

PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 1388 Wilson Lee-Ann M, Giles-Corti Billie, Burton Nicola W, Giskes Katrina, Haynes Michele, and Turrell Gavin. (2011). The association between  
1389 objectively measured neighborhood features and walking in middle-aged adults. *American journal of health promotion : AJHP*, 25(4), pp.e12-  
1390 21.
- 1391 Winters M, Teschke K, Brauer M, and Fuller D. (2016). Bike Score: Associations between urban bikeability and cycling behavior in 24 cities.  
1392 *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 13(1), pp.no pagination.
- 1393 Winters Meghan, and Teschke Kay. (2010). Route preferences among adults in the near market for bicycling: findings of the cycling in cities  
1394 study. *American journal of health promotion : AJHP*, 25(1), pp.40-7.
- 1395 Winters Meghan, Brauer Michael, Setton Eleanor M, and Teschke Kay. (2010). Built environment influences on healthy transportation choices:  
1396 bicycling versus driving. *Journal of urban health : bulletin of the New York Academy of Medicine*, 87(6), pp.969-93.
- 1397 Witten K, Blakely T, Bagheri N, Badland H, Ivory V, Pearce J, Mavoa S, Hinckson E, and Schofield G. (2012). Neighborhood built environment  
1398 and transport and leisure physical activity: Findings using objective exposure and outcome measures in New Zealand. *Environmental Health*  
1399 *Perspectives*, 120(7), pp.971-977.
- 1400 Witten Karen, Hiscock Rosemary, Pearce Jamie, and Blakely Tony. (2008). Neighbourhood access to open spaces and the physical activity of  
1401 residents: A national study. *Preventive Medicine*, 47(3), pp.299-303.
- 1402 Woldeamanuel Mintesnot, and Kent Andrew. (2015). Measuring Walk Access to Transit in Terms of Sidewalk Availability, Quality, and  
1403 Connectivity. *Journal of Urban Planning and Development*, , pp.Content-04015019.
- 1404 Wood L, Frank L D, and Giles-Corti B. (2010). Sense of community and its relationship with walking and neighborhood design. *Social Science*  
1405 *and Medicine*, 70(9), pp.1381-1390.
- 1406 Xing Yan, Handy Susan L, and Mokhtarian Patricia L. (2010). Factors Associated with Proportions and Miles of Bicycling for Transportation  
1407 and Recreation in Six Small US Cities. *Transportation Research: Part D: Transport and Environment*, 15(2), pp.73-81.

PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 1408 Yang Lin, Hipp J Aaron, Adlakha Deepti, Marx Christine M, Tabak Rachel G, and Brownson Ross C. (2015). Choice of commuting mode  
1409 among employees: Do home neighborhood environment, worksite neighborhood environment, and worksite policy and supports matter?.  
1410 *Journal of transport & health*, 2(2), pp.212-218.
- 1411 Yang Yizhao, Schlossberg Marc, Johnson Bethany, and Parker Robert. (2010). Understanding School Travel: How Location Choice and the  
1412 Built Environment Affect Trips to School. *This research was sponsored by the U.S. Department of Transportation*, , pp..
- 1413 Yildirim G, Ince M L, and Muftuler M. (2012). Physical activity and perceptions of neighborhood walkability among Turkish women in low and  
1414 high socio-economic environments: an exploratory study. *Perceptual and motor skills*, 115(2), pp.661-75.
- 1415 Yu Chia-Yuan, and Zhu Xuemei. (2015). Impacts of Residential Self-Selection and Built Environments on Children's Walking-to-School  
1416 Behaviors. *Environment & Behavior*, 47(3), pp.268-287.
- 1417 Yuji Matsuda, and Kazuhiko Nishide. (2012). A STUDY ON IMPEDIMENTS OF INDEPENDENT WALKING OF ADVENTITIOUSLY  
1418 BLINDED PERSON ( ). *Journal of Architecture and Planning (Transactions of AIJ)*, 77, pp.1837-1846.
- 1419 Zapata-Diomedes Belen, and Veerman J Lennert. (2016). The association between built environment features and physical activity in the  
1420 Australian context: a synthesis of the literature. *BMC public health*, 16(1), pp.484.
- 1421 Zhou Huaguo, Yang Jidong, Hsu Peter, and Chen Shaoqiang. (2010). Factors Affecting Students' Walking/Biking Rates: Initial Findings from a  
1422 Safe Route to School Survey in Florida. *Journal of Transportation Safety & Security*, 2, pp.27.
- 1423 Zhu X, Arch B, and Lee C. (2008). Personal, social, and environmental correlates of walking to school behaviors: Case study in Austin, Texas.  
1424 *TheScientificWorldJournal*, 8, pp.859-872.
- 1425 Zhu X, Yu C Y, Lee C, Lu Z, and Mann G. (2014). A retrospective study on changes in residents' physical activities, social interactions, and  
1426 neighborhood cohesion after moving to a walkable community. *Preventive Medicine*, 69(S), pp.S93-S97.

PHAC C 27.X Evidence review 1 – appendix 3

- 1427 Zhu Xuemei, and Lee Chanam. (2009). Correlates of walking to school and implications for public policies: survey results from parents of  
1428 elementary school children in Austin, Texas. *Journal of public health policy*, 30 Suppl 1, pp.S177-202.
- 1429 Zieff Susan G, Kim Mi-Sook, Wilson Jackson, and Tierney Patrick. (2014). A "Ciclovia" in San Francisco: Characteristics and physical activity  
1430 behavior of Sunday Streets participants. *Journal of physical activity & health*, 11(2), pp.249-55.
- 1431 Zwald Marissa L, Hipp James A, Corseuil Marui W, and Dodson Elizabeth A. (2014). Correlates of walking for transportation and use of public  
1432 transportation among adults in St Louis, Missouri, 2012. *Preventing chronic disease*, 11, pp.E112.
- 1433