

## The effectiveness of public health interventions to improve the vitamin D status of pre-conceptual, pregnant and postpartum women and children in low income households

### Evidence tables

#### Stand alone vitamin D supplementation

Authors Year Country Study Design Quality	Study Population	Research Question	Intervention	Main Results	Applicability to UK populations and settings	Confounders & Comments
(Brooke et al. 1980) London (51.5° N), UK RCT Evidence level: 1+ Associated references (Brooke 1981, Maxwell 1981)	Asian pregnant women (Indian, Pakistan, Bangladesh, Sri Lanka, Mauritius an east Africa) living in Tooting, south London (51.5° N)  No significant differences between the two groups in age, parity, height, vegetarian status, country of origin, serum 25 OHD levels (range from undetectable to 92 nmol/l; 71% of vegetarians and 12% of non-vegetarians had undetectable levels, p<0.01)  126 Asian women Calciferol group: 59 Placebo group: 67  Randomisation by hospital pharmacy on the basis of	Study Question: What are the effects of vitamin D on maternal and infant calcium homeostasis and fetal growth?  Statistical Analysis: Comparative analysis between a treatment group and a placebo group	Vitamin D supplements (calciferol 1000 IU/day[25 ug/day]) given during the third trimester vs. placebo	Intention-to-treat analysis performed  Mothers: Mean plasma 25 OHD concentration (nmol/l) Calciferol group: 168 ± 96.0 Placebo group: 16.2 ± 22.1 (WMD 151.80, 95% CI 126.74 to 176.86)  Results 'pooled' from samples taken in summer months and winter months separately, no significant difference between the two lots of samples, though mean levels were higher in summer months in mothers at 28 weeks, at term and also in cord blood  Significant correlation in 25 OHD levels between maternal and cord blood (r=0.93, p<0.01)  Mean daily weight gain (g):	Though likely to be applicable to pregnant Asian women in regions of similar latitude and sunlight hours. This is the only RCT assessing the effect of vit D in Asian women	This RCT was conducted in 1977 during autumn-winter, throughout 1978 and Spring-summer 1979  Allowance made for seasonal variation  No allocation details

Vitamin D Rapid Review: Evidence Tables

Authors Year Country Study Design Quality	Study Population	Research Question	Intervention	Main Results	Applicability to UK populations and settings	Confounders & Comments
	women's hospital number			<p>Calciferol group: 63.3 ± 20.70                      Placebo group: 46.4 ± 29.50                      (WMD 16.9, 95% CI 8.08 to 25.72)</p> <p>Infants:                      Mean birth weight (g)                      Calciferol group: 3157 ± 468.5                      Placebo group: 3034 ± 523.9                      (WMD 123.0, 95% CI -50.29 to 296.39)</p> <p>No harmful/adverse effects documented                      No long-term follow-up data</p>		

## Vitamin D Rapid Review: Evidence Tables

Authors Year Country Study Design Quality	Study Population	Research Question	Intervention	Main Results	Applicability to UK populations and settings	Confounders & Comments
<p>(Brooke, Butters, &amp; Wood 1981)</p> <p>London (51.5° N), UK</p> <p>RCT Evidence level: 1+</p> <p>Associated references (Brooke 1980, Maxwell 1981)</p>	<p>Asian infants 0 to 12 months, born to mothers (living in London) who received antenatal vit D supp or no antenatal vit D supp</p> <p>117 infants</p>	<p>Study Question: What are the effects of antenatal vit D supp on infant weight at 1 year?</p> <p>Statistical Analysis: Comparative analysis between a treatment group and a placebo group</p>	<p>Antenatal vit D supp to mothers during pregnancy vs. no antenatal vit D supp to mothers during pregnancy</p>	<p>Infant weight Birth: Antenatal vit D: 3.13 ± 0.49Kg Control: 3.05 ± 0.51kg (WMD 0.08kg; 95% CI -0.06 to 0.22)</p> <p>At 3 months: Antenatal vit D: 5.65 ± 0.58Kg Control: 5.40 ± 0.54kg (WMD 0.25kg; 95% CI 0.05 to 0.45)</p> <p>At 6 months: Antenatal vit D: 7.56 ± 0.60Kg Control: 7.09 ± 0.59kg (WMD 0.47 kg; 95% CI 0.25 to 0.69)</p> <p>At 9 months: Antenatal vit D: 8.54 ± 0.59Kg Control: 8.29 ± 0.57kg (WMD 0.25kg; 95% CI 0.04 to 0.46)</p> <p>At 12 months: Antenatal vit D: 9.39 ± 0.66Kg Control: 8.98 ± 0.62kg (WMD 0.41kg; 95% CI 0.18 to 0.64)</p> <p>Increments over 0-12 months: Antenatal vit D: 6.39 ±</p>	<p>Though likely to be applicable to pregnant Asian infants in the UK, this is the only RCT assessing the effect of antenatal vit D in Asian infants, and was conducted in 1980.</p>	<p>Postnatal vit D supplements were not routinely given. Mean length of breastfeeding 3.2 months in supplemented group vs. 3.5 months in the control group (NS).</p> <p>It is possible that the treated group may have been given better postnatal nutrition than the control group.</p>

Vitamin D Rapid Review: Evidence Tables

Authors Year Country Study Design Quality	Study Population	Research Question	Intervention	Main Results	Applicability to UK populations and settings	Confounders & Comments
				0.78kg Control: 5.92 ± 0.92 kg (WMD 0.47kg, 95% CI 0.16 to 0.78).		

## Vitamin D Rapid Review: Evidence Tables

Authors Year Country Study Design Quality	Study Population	Research Question	Intervention	Main Results	Applicability to UK populations and settings	Confounders & Comments
(Stephens et al. 1981)  Rochdale (53.6° N), UK  RCT Evidence level: 1-	Asians living in Rochdale with vitamin D deficiency  24 Asian men and women  Oral vit D (n=12) IM vit D (n=12)  Oral group: 2 men and 10 women, aged 15–46 years, mean 32 years  IM group: 5 men and 7 women, aged 16–46 years, mean 33 years  No sig diff between the two groups in pre-treatment serum 25 OHD levels  Subjects were given strict instructions to avoid vit D preparations for the next 6 months	Study Question: What are the effects of a single dose of ergocalciferol orally or intramuscularly during the autumn on serum 25 OHD?  Statistical Analysis: comparison analysis of the two treatment groups	A single dose of oral ergocalciferol 2.5 mg (100,000 IU) vs. a single dose of intramuscular calciferol given in the Spring for 5 months	Serum 25 OHD before and after vit D treatment (nmol/l)  Pre-treatment (Spring 1980) Oral vit D: 8.3 ± 2.8 IM vit D: 7.3 ± 3.5 (NS)  Pre-treatment (Autumn 1980) Oral vit D: 16.5 ± 8.5 IM vit D: 14.0 ± 7.3 (NS)  At 1 month: Oral vit D: 52.5 ± 12.0 IM vit D: 32.5 ± 13.8 (WMD 20.0, 95% CI 9.65 to 30.35)  At 3 months: Oral vit D: 29.5 ± 7.0 IM vit D: 25.8 ± 8.8 (NS)  At 5 months (Spring 1981): Oral vit D: 24.5 ± 5.3 IM vit D: 23.5 ± 11.6 (NS)  Pre-treatment vs. 5 months after treatment Oral: WMD 8.00, 95% CI 2.33 to 13.67 IM: WMD 9.50, 95% CI 1.75 to 17.25  The range produced by oral vitamin D was much less than the range produced by IM vitamin D (mean 24.5,	Likely to be applicable to the UK population in regions of similar latitude and sunlight hours	This study was conducted in 1981.  Method of randomisation and allocation concealment not reported  Small sample size  No intention-to-treat analysis

Vitamin D Rapid Review: Evidence Tables

Authors Year Country Study Design Quality	Study Population	Research Question	Intervention	Main Results	Applicability to UK populations and settings	Confounders & Comments
				<p>range 19.3–34.3 nmol vs. mean 23.5, range 12.8–52.3).</p> <p>At one year after the study, serum 25 OHD concentrations, every patients had a level above 12.5 nmol/l</p> <p>No adverse effects documented</p>		

## Vitamin D Rapid Review: Evidence Tables

Authors Year Country Study Design Quality	Study Population	Research Question	Intervention	Main Results	Applicability to UK populations and settings	Confounders & Comments
(Cockburn, Belton, & Purvis 1980)  Edinburgh (55.6° N), UK  Non-RCT Evidence level: 2+	Pregnant women living in Edinburgh  Both groups comparable for social class, parity and age (no information on ethnicity status) No information on whether 25 OHD levels were similar in the 2 groups  1139 women and their infants Vit D supp (n=506) Placebo (n=633)	Study Question: Is vitamin D supplementation during pregnancy beneficial to mothers and their infants?  Statistical Analysis: Comparative analysis between a treatment group and a placebo group	Daily vitamin D 400 IU (10ug) from 12th week of pregnancy (given during the months of September to May) till delivery vs. placebo	Maternal plasma 25 OHD (nmol/l; values cubed of mean cube root) At 24 weeks: Vit D group: 39 (n=82) Placebo group; 32.5 (n=82) (p<0.01)  At 34 weeks: Vit D group: 44.5 (n=80) Placebo group; 38.5(n=80) (p<0.05)  At delivery: Vit D group: 42.8 (n=80) Placebo group; 32.5 (n=84) (p<0.001)  Infant plasma 25 OHD (nmol/l; values cubed of mean cube root) At day 6 Vit D group: 34.5 (n=54) Placebo group; 20.3 (n=86) (p<0.001)  At day 6 Vit D group: Breast fed: 25.2 (n=12) Artificial milk fed: 34.4 (n=41) (p<0.01)  Placebo group: Breast fed: 15.4 (n=22) Artificial milk fed: 20.1 (n=57) (p<0.01)  Estimated dietary	Maybe applicable to UK populations and setting depending on latitude of regions	This study was conducted in 1980.  A non-RCT - subject to sampling bias and confounders (e.g., ethnicity status of the groups was not reported, self-report of dietary vit D intake, compliance)

Vitamin D Rapid Review: Evidence Tables

Authors Year Country Study Design Quality	Study Population	Research Question	Intervention	Main Results	Applicability to UK populations and settings	Confounders & Comments
				<p>vitamin D intake based on recall at 34 weeks (n=84): 91 IU (2.3 ug) /day</p> <p>Significant correlation between maternal and infant plasma 25 OHD (r=0.71)</p> <p>In both groups, values of plasma 25 OHD 'peaked' during July and August</p> <p>No adverse effects documented</p>		

## Vitamin D Rapid Review: Evidence Tables

Authors Year Country Study Design Quality	Study Population	Research Question	Intervention	Main Results	Applicability to UK populations and settings	Confounders & Comments
<p>(Pietrek et al. 1976)</p> <p>Glasgow (55.9° N), UK</p> <p>Non-RCT Evidence level: 2-</p>	<p>Asian families living in Glasgow</p> <p>66 members (20 adults and 46 children) of 14 Asian family in 3 groups</p> <p>Group 1: 16 members (4 families)</p> <p>Group 2: 18 members (4 families)</p> <p>Group 3: 32 members (6 families)</p> <p>No sig difference in baseline serum 25 OHD concentration between the 3 groups</p>	<p>Study Question: What are the effects of vitamin D fortified chupatty flour and weekly vitamin D in reducing the incidence of rickets and osteomalacia in the Asian community?</p> <p>Statistical Analysis: Comparative analysis between treatment groups and the control group</p>	<p>Group 1: no vit D supp, no vit D fortified chupatty flour (control)</p> <p>vs.</p> <p>Group 2: weekly vit D supp 3000 units (administered by health visitor to 3 families, 1 family self-administered)</p> <p>vs.</p> <p>Group 3: vit D fortified chupatty flour (6000 units /kg flour) for 6 months</p>	<p>Serum 25 OHD concentrations:</p> <p>At baseline (Dec)</p> <p>Group 1 (control): 4.7 ± 0.5 ng/ml</p> <p>Group 2 (weekly vit D supp): 6.8 ± 1.1 ng/ml</p> <p>Group 3 (vit D fortified flour): 4.0 ± 0.5 ng/ml (Group 2 vs. group 1: WMD 2.10, 95% CI 1.54 to 2.66)(Group 3 vs. group 1: WMD -0.70, 95% CI -1.00 to -0.40)</p> <p>At 3 months (March)</p> <p>Group 1 (control): 3.8 ± 0.5 ng/ml</p> <p>Group 2 (weekly vit D supp): 15.5 ± 2.3 ng/ml</p> <p>Group 3 (vit D fortified flour): 18.0 ± 1.2 ng/ml (Group 2 vs. group 1: WMD 11.70, 95% CI 10.61 to 12.79)(Group 3 vs. group 1: WMD 14.20, 95% CI 13.72 to 14.68)</p> <p>At 6 months (June)</p> <p>Group 1 (control): 5.1 ± 0.8 ng/ml</p> <p>Group 2 (weekly vit D supp): 18.1 ± 2.9 ng/ml</p> <p>Group 3 (vit D fortified flour): 19.5 ± 1.2 ng/ml (Group 2 vs. group 1: WMD 13.00, 95% CI 11.60 to 14.40)(Group 3 vs. group 1: WMD 14.40, 95% CI 13.83 to</p>	<p>Likely to be applicable in regions of similar latitude in the UK. However, in 1978, the Working Party at COMA concluded that mandatory fortification of any flour, milk or butter with vitamin D was not a practical solution</p>	<p>A non-RCT conducted in 1973</p> <p>Small sample size</p> <p>Confounders: sampling bias</p> <p>unequal serum 25 OHD concentrations between the 3 groups at baseline</p> <p>attrition</p> <p>compliance</p>

Vitamin D Rapid Review: Evidence Tables

Authors Year Country Study Design Quality	Study Population	Research Question	Intervention	Main Results	Applicability to UK populations and settings	Confounders & Comments
				<p>14.97)</p> <p>Biochemical abnormalities suggestive of rickets: At 6 months Group 1: 2 members Group 2: 1 member Group 3: 0</p> <p>2 individuals were followed up for 2 years, the serum 25 OHD levels had fallen to pre-study levels (data not available)</p> <p>No adverse events documented</p>		

## Vitamin D Rapid Review: Evidence Tables

Authors Year Country Study Design Quality	Study Population	Research Question	Intervention	Main Results	Applicability to UK populations and settings	Confounders & Comments
(Congdon, Horsman, & Kirby 1983)  Leeds (53.5° N), UK  Cohort Evidence level: 2–	Asian mothers and babies living in Leeds  76 babies Group 1: Asian babies born to women who received no vit D supp (n=45) Group 2: Asian babies born to Asian women who received vit D supp in the 3rd trimester (n=19) group 3: Asian babies born to white women (n=12)  There was no significant difference between the 3 groups of babies in maternal age, gestational age, ratio of boys to girls, or the proportion of craniotables	Study Question: What are the effects of vit D deficiency on biochemical measurements and skeletal mineralisation of the fetus?  Statistical Analysis: Comparative analysis of the 3 groups	Antenatal vit D supplementation given during the 3rd trimester vs. no antenatal vit D supp in Asian mothers vs. white mothers with normal vit D conc	Cord blood 25 OHD concentrations (nmol/l) Group 1: 5.90 ± 0.94 Group 2: 15.20 ± 3.15 Group 3: 33.40 ± 3.60 (p<0.001) (Group 1 vs. Group 2 - NS)  % of 25 OHD concentrations below 10nmol/l: Group 1: 91% Group 2: 58% Group 3: 0%  Bone mineral content (by scanning, arbitrary units proportional to mineral mass per unit length of the radius and ulna combined) within 5 days after birth Group 1: 3.10 ± 0.10 Group 2: 3.19 ± 3.15 Group 3: 2.94 ± 0.19 (NS)  No sig association between bone mineral content and cord blood 25 OHD levels  No report of adverse events with supplementation	Likely to be applicable to UK populations and settings, depending on regional latitudes and sunlight hours.	The study was conducted in 1983  Possible confounders: no maternal serum 25 OHD levels sampling bias seasonal variation non-compliance  accuracy of BMC scanning

## Vitamin D Rapid Review: Evidence Tables

Authors Year Country Study Design Quality	Study Population	Research Question	Intervention	Main Results	Applicability to UK populations and settings	Confounders & Comments
(Datta et al. 2002)  Cardiff (51.5° N), UK  Before and after study. Evidence level: 2+	Asian women living in Wales (African, Afro- Caribbean, Asian, Far Eastern, Middle-Eastern) in the 3rd trimester of pregnancy  160 women	Study Question: Is prenatal vitamin D supplementation effective in treating vit D deficiency in Asian women?  Statistical Analysis: Before and after treatment comparison	Vit D supplement 800 IU/day or 1600 IU/day depending on serum 25 OHD levels at first antenatal clinic  A vit D level of < 8ng/mL(<20 nmol/l) taken as the cutoff value for commencing calciferol 800 IU/day	80/120 (50%) women had vit D levels < 8ng/ml and were given vit D supplementation  Subnormal vit D levels found in: 50% of women who had been in the UK for > 3 years 25% of women who have lived in the UK for 3 years 25% of women who were born in the UK  Maternal serum 25 OHD concentrations (ug/mL):  At booking (n= 58): 5.79 ± 0.91 Post-delivery (n=58): 11.24 ± 6.34  Vitamin D not affected by religion fluency in English or dressing habit	Likely to be applicable in the UK populations and settings	Possible seasonal variation in sunlight exposure but vitamin D status.

## Vitamin D Rapid Review: Evidence Tables

Authors Year Country Study Design Quality	Study Population	Research Question	Intervention	Main Results	Applicability to UK populations and settings	Confounders & Comments
(Mallet et al. 1986)  Rouen (49.4° N), France  RCT Evidence level: 1+	White pregnant women living in Rouen  77 women Vit D supp 1000 IU/day (n=21) Single dose of 5mg (n=27) control (n=29)  No significant difference between the 3 groups in age, parity, frequency of outings, calcium intake	Study Question: What are the effects of daily vitamin D supp compared with one single dose of vit D or no vit D on serum 25 OHD in pregnant women?  Statistical Analysis: Comparative analysis between treatment group and placebo group	Vit D supp 1000 IU/day (25 Ug/day) given during the third trimester vs. Single dose of vit D 5mg (200,000 IU) given at 7th month vs. No vit D supp	Women at delivery Mean serum 25 OHD levels Daily vit D: 25.3 ± 7.7nmol/l Control: 9.4 ± 4.9 nmol/l (WMD 15.90, 95% CI 12.15 to 19.65)  Single does vit D: 26.0 ± 6.4 nmol/l Control: 9.4 ± 4.9 nmol/l (WMD 16.60, 95% CI 13.60 to 19.60)  Infants (born in February-March): Mean birth weight Daily vit D:3370 ± 376g Control: 3460 ± 377g (WMD -90.00g, 95% CI -298.48 to 118.48)  Single doe of vit D: 3210 ± 468g Control:3460 ± 377g (WMD -250.00g, 95% CI -492.68 to -732)  No report of adverse events	May not be applicable to Caucasian women living in UK which has a different latitude than the study region (NW France), also different food fortification policy of the 2 countries	This RCT was conducted in winter (Feb -March) 1986  Small sample size  Randomisation generated by using a table of random numbers - no further details  Method of allocation concealment not reported  Dairy products not fortified in France.  No power calculation.

## Vitamin D Rapid Review: Evidence Tables

Authors Year Country Study Design Quality	Study Population	Research Question	Intervention	Main Results	Applicability to UK populations and settings	Confounders & Comments
(Delvin et al. 1986)  Lyon (45.7° N), France  RCT Evidence level: 1+	Pregnant women (ethnicity unknown) in the 3rd trimester  40 women Data available for: Vit D group (n=20) no vit D group (n=20)  All selections in December and all deliveries in June  Both groups comparable in maternal and gestational age, parity and infant birth weight (no data given)  Biochemical parameters similar in both groups of women before initiation  All infants singletons, breast-fed from 6th hour after birth	Study Question: What are the effects of maternal vitamin D nutritional status during the last trimester of pregnancy on maternal and neonatal perinatal vit D levels?  Statistical Analysis: Comparative analysis between treatment group and control group	Vit D supplementation 1000 IU/day (25 ug/day) initiated at the 3rd trimester vs. no vit D supplementation  Compliance verified by weekly visit by a midwife	Serum 25 OHD concentrations (ng/ml) Maternal at delivery (June) Vit D: 26 ± 7SD (n=40) Control: 13 ± 8 SD (n=40) (WMD 13.70, 95% CI 10.41 to 16.99) (unclear if SD or SEM used)  Cord blood Vit D: 18 ± 2 sem (n=14 pairs) Control: 7 ± 1sem (n=13 pairs) (WMD 11.0, 95% CI 6.78 to 15.22)  Infants (at day 4): Vit D: 13 ± 1 sem (n=14 pairs) Control: 5 ± 1 sem (n=12 pairs) (WMD 8.0, 95% CI 5.23 to 10.77)  Significant correlation between maternal and venous cord blood calcium and 25 OHD in both groups (0<0.005)	Unlikely to be applicable to UK populations: milk and dairy products enriched with vit D in UK, also latitudinal variation in sunlight availability	Neither milk nor dairy products are enriched with vit D in France  Drop outs: Vit D group: 5 Control group: 1  Degree of exposure to sunlight and ethnicity of mothers not known

## Vitamin D Rapid Review: Evidence Tables

Authors Year Country Study Design Quality	Study Population	Research Question	Intervention	Main Results	Applicability to UK populations and settings	Confounders & Comments
(Ala-Houhala 1985)  Tempere, Finland (61° N)  RCT Evidence level: 1-	Breastfeeding mother-infant pairs Healthy term infants  92 mother-infant pairs (breast-fed) Studied in winter (n=47) Studied in summer (n=45)  Group 1 Maternal vit D (1000 IU/day [25 Ug/day]after delivery)/no infant vit D (n=17 in winter, n=15 in summer)  Group 2 No maternal vit D/infant vit D 400 IU/day (n=15 in winter, n=16 in summer)  Group 3 No maternal vit D/infant vit D 1000iu/day (n=15 in winter, n=14 in summer)  Half of mothers received no vit D supp during pregnancy One-fourth received vit D supp 500 IU/day during middle	Study Question: What are the effects of vit D supp (with or without in mothers and infants) on serum 25 OHD levels during winter and summer?  Statistical Analysis: Comparative analysis between treatment groups	Maternal vit D/no infant vit D vs. No maternal vit D/infant vit D 400 vs. No maternal vit D/infant vit D 1000	Serum 25 OHD concentrations Maternal data (absolute data presented graphically) At delivery Sig higher 25 OHD in summer than in winter in all 3 groups of mothers (p<0.001 in groups 1 and 2; p<0.01 in group3)  At 8 weeks (in winter) Sig higher in group 1 than in group 2 and 3 (p< 0.001)  In summer No sig diff between group 1 and 3  In winter groups (n=47) 12/47(26%) of groups 1, 2 and 3 at delivery or at 8 weeks had levels < 5ng/ml and 16/36(44%) of groups 2 and 3 at 8 weeks had levels < 5ng/ml but not at 20 weeks after delivery when it was spring  No signs of clinical or biochemical rickets seen in the infants with 25 OHD levels  In summer group (n=45) Groups 2 and 3 No women of groups 1,	May not be applicable to UK population due to different regional latitudes, amount of sunlight and food fortification policy	Study conducted in 1985  Different maternal vit D supp during pregnancy  Small sample size.  No power calculation.  Applicability uncertain.

Vitamin D Rapid Review: Evidence Tables

Authors Year Country Study Design Quality	Study Population	Research Question	Intervention	Main Results	Applicability to UK populations and settings	Confounders & Comments
	<p>pregnancy One-fourth received vit D 500 IU/day during entire pregnancy</p> <p>25 OHD levels comparable in all infants at beginning of study</p>			<p>2 and 3 at delivery had levels &lt; 5ng/ml at delivery or at 8 weeks 2/29(7%) of groups 2 and 3 had levels &lt; 5ng/ml at 20 weeks when winter beginning (December)</p> <p>Infant data (absolute data presented graphically)</p> <p>25 OHD levels sig higher in all summer groups than in all winter groups (p&lt;0.001)</p> <p>In winter no sig diff in levels between the 3 groups in winter (at delivery)</p> <p>In summer, sig lower levels in group 3 than in group 1 (p&lt;0.05)</p> <p>In winter At 8 weeks: levels sig lower in group 1 than group 2 and group 3 (p&lt;0.001) 10/18(56%) in group 1 had levels below risk limit for rickets At 20 weeks (Spring) 2/17 (12%) of group 1 had levels below risk limit for rickets</p> <p>In summer No sig diff in levels</p>		

Vitamin D Rapid Review: Evidence Tables

Authors Year Country Study Design Quality	Study Population	Research Question	Intervention	Main Results	Applicability to UK populations and settings	Confounders & Comments
				<p>between group 1 and group 2 Levels sig lower in group 1 than in group 3 (p&lt;0.001)</p> <p>1 infants in group 1 had levels below risk limit for rickets at 20 weeks when it was December</p> <p>No signs of clinical or biochemical rickets seen in the infant with 25 OHD levels below risk limit for rickets</p> <p>No adverse events documented</p>		

## Vitamin D Rapid Review: Evidence Tables

Authors Year Country Study Design Quality	Study Population	Research Question	Intervention	Main Results	Applicability to UK populations and settings	Confounders & Comments
(Hollis & Wagner 2004)  South Carolina (32.8° N), USA  RCT Evidence level: 1-	Fully lactating women within 1 month after birth and who planned to continue full breast feeding for the next 3 months  18 breast-feeding women and their infants  The 2 groups were similar in age, ethnicity profile, insurance status, parity, pregnancy interval, sex of infants, birth weight or gestational age and no difference in baseline vit D indices for mothers and infants  Exclusion criteria: preexisting type 1 diabetes, hypertension, parathyroid disease	Study Question: What are the effects of vit D supp of 1600 IU/day vs. 3600 IU/day in preventing hypovitaminosis D for mother and nursing infants?  Statistical Analysis: Comparative analysis between 2 treatment groups with each subject acting as her own control	Group 1 (n=9) received vit D2 1600 + D3 400 IU/day (2000 IU) vs. Group 2 (n=9) received vit D2 3600 + D3 400 IU/day (4000 IU)  (Both groups also received additional multivitamin capsules containing vit D3 400 IU /day)  Subjects served as own control	Mothers Total circulating serum 25 OHD concentrations (ng/mL) Group 1 (vit D2 1600 IU/day + Vit D3 400 IU /day) At baseline: 27.6 ± 3.3 At 3 months: 36.1 ± 2.3 (WMD 8.5, 95% CI 5.87 to 11.83)  Group 2 (vit D2 3600 IU/day + Vit D3 400 IU /day) At baseline: 32.9 ± 2.4 At 3 months: 44.5 ± 3.9 (WMD 11.60, 95% CI 8.61 to 14.59)  Group 1 vs. Group 2 At 3 months: WMD 8.40, 95% CI 5.44 to 11.36)  Infants Total circulating serum 25 OHD concentrations Group 1 (vit D2 1600 IU/day + Vit D3 400 IU /day) At baseline: 7.9 ± 1.1 At 3 months: 27.8 ± 3.9 (WMD 19.9, 95% CI 17.25 to 22.55)  Group 2 (vit D2 3600 IU/day + Vit D3 400 IU /day) At baseline: 13.4 ± 3.3 At 3 months: 30.8 ± 5.0 (WMD 17.40, 95% CI 13.49 to 21.31)	May not be applicable to UK populations and settings due to difference in regional latitude and food fortification policy	Insufficient information provided – difficulty grading study.  A study of vitamin D dose finding, conducted in the US  Women acting as own control ie no placebo group.  Small sample size

Vitamin D Rapid Review: Evidence Tables

Authors Year Country Study Design Quality	Study Population	Research Question	Intervention	Main Results	Applicability to UK populations and settings	Confounders & Comments
				<p>Group 1 vs. Group 2 At 3 months: WMD 3.00, 95% CI(-1.14 to 7.14)</p> <p>No adverse events documented</p>		

## Vitamin D Rapid Review: Evidence Tables

Authors Year Country Study Design Quality	Study Population	Research Question	Intervention	Main Results	Applicability to UK populations and settings	Confounders & Comments
(Greer & Marshall 1989)  Massachusetts (42.4° N), USA  RCT Evidence level: 1+	White infants born at term and exclusively breast-fed for 6 months (Mothers received supplemental vit D during pregnancy -dose unknown)  58 infants Vit D sup (n=22) Group 1 Placebo (n=24) Group 2 Control group (n=12) Group 3  13 infants born in summer 33 infants born in winter  Additional 12 full-term healthy and exclusively formula-fed infants used as a comparison group (no randomisation process)  No difference between the groups in sex, gestational age, birth weight and serum 25 OHD levels at start of study. Free from major congenital abnormalities, neurologic	Study Question: What are the effects of vit D supp in breast-fed white infants on bone mineral content, serum vit D metabolite levels and association of sunlight exposure?  Statistical Analysis: Comparative analysis of treatment group and placebo group and an additional convenience control group not subjected to randomisation	Vit D supplements 400 IU/day (10 ug/day) within the first week of birth vs. daily placebo (vs. a convenience sample of formula-fed infants)  Vit D free formula given to mothers for emergency situations	Total serum 25 OHD concentrations (ng/ml) At 6 weeks Group 1: 30.25± 9.54 Group 2: 15.76± 9.81 Group 3: 30.21± 6.08  At 3 months Group 1: 38.89± 10.34 Group 2: 15.72± 11.25 Group 3: 37.24± 6.08  At 6 months Group 1: 39.96± 11.86 Group 2: 23.53± 9.94 Group 3: 37.57± 8.54  Winter-born infants vs. summer-born infants At 6 months Group 1: Winter-born: 36.7± 12.9 (n=12) Summer-born: 37.4±10.9 (n=7) (NS)  Group 2: Winter-born: 25.1± 10.2 (n=13) Summer-born: 20.2± 9.3 (n=6)(NS)  Group 2 winter-born infants 13± 7.1 at 6 weeks vs. 25.1± 10.2 (p<0.01)  Summer-born infants No sig changes in Group 1 and 2 between 6 weeks and 6 months	May not be applicable to UK population due to difference in regional latitudes: Britain (50-61° north) Massachusetts (42° north)	83% infants completed the study  There were more babies born in winter (33) than in summer (13)  Small no of babies were given vit D-free formula during the study.  Two fathers of infants were non-Caucasian

Vitamin D Rapid Review: Evidence Tables

Authors Year Country Study Design Quality	Study Population	Research Question	Intervention	Main Results	Applicability to UK populations and settings	Confounders & Comments
	disorders or gastrointestinal disease			<p>Bone mineral content (measured by photon absorptiometry of the distal radius)(mg/cm) All 3 groups had an increase in BMC during the study</p> <p>At 6 months Group 1: 89.5±12.5 Group 2: 101.0±17.9 (p&lt;0.05)</p> <p>Group 2: 101.0±17.9 Group 3: 107.4±10.6 (p&lt;0.02) (Change in BMC for group 3 sig higher than the combined change in BMC for both groups 1 and 2 [p&lt;0.02])</p> <p>Mean body weight (g) Group 1: 7570 ± 858 Group 2: 7752 ± 1182 Group 3: 7633 ± 1002 (NS)</p> <p>Maternal mean vit D intake (IU/day) of groups 1 and 2: 701±242 at 6 weeks 652±181 at 6 months</p> <p>Mean weekly UVB exposure: no sig diff between the 3 groups</p> <p>No adverse events documented</p>		

## Vitamin D Rapid Review: Evidence Tables

Authors Year Country Study Design Quality	Study Population	Research Question	Intervention	Main Results	Applicability to UK populations and settings	Confounders & Comments
<p>(Greer, Searcy &amp; Levin 1981)</p> <p>Ohio (40.1°N), USA</p> <p>RCT</p> <p>Evidence level 1+</p> <p>Associated reference (Greer 1982)</p>	<p>Breast-fed infants born at term, healthy and of appropriate gestational age (38-40 weeks)</p> <p>18 infants vit D supp (n=9) placebo (n=9)</p> <p>Additional comparison with a formula-fed control group (n=12)</p> <p>No sig difference in their gestational age, birth weight or sunlight exposure between the 2 groups</p> <p>16 infants born in summer (2 in November) 17 Caucasian (1 Asian-Indian)</p> <p>For bone mineral content: Additional control group: term, healthy, exclusively formula-fed infants</p>	<p>Study Question: What are the effects of supplemental vit D on bone mineralisation and serum 25 OHD levels?</p> <p>Statistical Analysis: Comparative analysis of treatment and control groups</p>	<p>Vit D supp 400 IU/day vs. placebo (vs. a convenience sample of formula-fed infants)</p> <p>Compliance estimated by regular enquiries of intake, record of refills, maintained by physicians who dispensed the supp and the placebo</p>	<p>Bone mineral content (absolute results reported graphically)</p> <p>At 6 weeks Vit D supp vs. placebo (NS) Vit D supp vs. formula-fed (sig higher, p&lt;0.03)</p> <p>At 12 weeks Vit D supp vs. placebo (sig higher, p&lt;0.003) Vit D supp vs. formula-fed (NS)</p> <p>Serum 25 OHD (ng/ml) At 12 weeks Vit D supp: 38 placebo: 20 (p&lt;0.003) No signs of clinical rickets</p> <p>Mean maternal vitamin D intakes by dietary recall were similar in the two groups during the study (561 IU/day).</p> <p>Maternal sunshine exposure did not differ in the two groups.</p> <p>Estimated compliance: 85%</p>	<p>May not be applicable to UK populations and settings due to difference in regional latitude and food fortification policy</p>	<p>published in 1982</p> <p>Double blind (mothers and investigators) RCT</p> <p>small sample size</p> <p>data details reported graphically</p> <p>accuracy of BMC scanning</p>

## Vitamin D Rapid Review: Evidence Tables

Authors Year Country Study Design Quality	Study Population	Research Question	Intervention	Main Results	Applicability to UK populations and settings	Confounders & Comments
<p>(Greer et al. 1982)</p> <p>Ohio (40.1° N), USA</p> <p>RCT</p> <p>Evidence level: 1+</p> <p>Associated reference (Greer 1981)</p>	<p>Breast-fed infants born at term, healthy and of appropriate gestational age (38-40 weeks)</p> <p>13 infants vit D supp (n=6) placebo (n=7)</p> <p>No sig difference in their gestational age, birth weight or sunlight exposure between the 2 groups</p> <p>At 6 months, the study was unblinded to the mothers of the infants and all infants were allowed solid foods and the placebo group was given daily vitamin D supplement of 400 IU</p>	<p>Study Question: What are the effects of supplemental vit D on bone mineralisation and serum 25 OHD levels? A follow-up study at 1 year</p> <p>Statistical Analysis: Comparative analysis between treatment and control groups</p>	<p>Vit D supp 400 IU/day vs. placebo</p>	<p>Bone mineral content At 26 weeks Vit D supp group (n=6) vs. placebo group (n=7) (NS)</p> <p>Serum 25 OHD concentrations (ng/ml) At 26 weeks Vit D supp: 32.7 placebo: 12.9 At 52 weeks Vit D supp vs. placebo (NS)</p> <p>None of the infants had clinical signs of rickets</p> <p>No adverse events documented</p>	<p>May not be applicable to UK populations and settings (latitude variation) and food fortification policy</p>	<p>Published in 1982</p> <p>small sample size</p> <p>data details reported graphically</p>

**Evidence tables: Interventions to promote uptake of vitamin D supplements**

Authors Year Country Study Design Study Quality	Study Population	Research Question	Intervention	Main Results	Applicability to UK populations and settings	Confounders & Comments
(Henderson 1989)  Glasgow (55.9° N), Scotland  Uncontrolled before and after study Evidence level: 2—	Asian women from Sikh and Hindu household, aged 19-60 years (median 33 years)  Target population: Asian communities in Glasgow (estimated 3000 families, population 14-16,000 [see Dunnigan 1985])  Blood samples of the pre-campaign survey of osteomalacia was taken from women (n=203; aged 19 to 60 years) selected randomly from 3 general practices; post-campaign blood samples from women (n=139, aged 23 to 60 years; 59 of which from pre-campaign group, remaining 80 [aged 17-47 years] from 3 clinics.  500 health professionals	Study Question: What are the effects of health education to Asian women on prevention of vitamin D deficiency supported by provision of vitamin D supplements at cost price?  Statistical Analysis: Before and after campaign comparison of outcomes	Health education campaign (1984-86) to promote vitamin D supplementation to prevent osteomalacia.  Components of intervention 1. Health education to promote vit D self-supplementation 2. Provision of vit D supp (10 ug tablets) at cost price (£2.56 per annum at 1984 process)  Organisation of campaign Personnel 1. Supervision of campaign by the Rickets Working group (a community medicine specialist, physician, paediatrician, nursing officer, pharmacist, health education officer and administrator) 2. Co-ordination by an Asian (Muslim) supervisor and an co-ordinator with experience of the Glasgow rickets campaign for children (1979-1983) 3. Four link workers (Asian women from the Glasgow Asian community, one Muslim, two Sikhs and one Hindu) visited families at home to explain nature and purpose of campaign and to offer sale of vit D at cost price. They also visited Sikh and Hindu temples to explain purpose of campaign  Preparation of literature	A. No of home visits: 1883 (924 to Sikh families, 959 to Muslim families) B. No. of visits to clinics:184 C. No of visits to temples:35 D. Attitudes of Asian women to supplement purchase and self-supplementation 1-3 months after campaign (n=252)  D1. Currently taking vit D supp: 59% D2. Taking one 10-ug tablet daily: 68% D3. Reasons for taking vit D supp: 'prevention of rheumatic aches and pains, 'to give energy', 'to improve digestion'  7-10 months after campaign (n=188)(home sale of supp already withdrawn): D4. Heard about Asian osteomalacia: 93% D5. Purchased vit D supp: 71% D6. Not interested in further purchases: 5% D7. Currently taking vit D supp:19% D8. No attempt made to purchase further supplies: 81%	Likely to be applicable to UK populations and settings if properly adapted	This campaign for Asian women was an extension of the Glasgow rickets campaign for children (1979-1983).  The age ranged from 19 to 60 years, can be construed to include women who are pregnant, postnatal, breastfeeding or planning a pregnancy.  Possible confounders: No control group Sampling bias No report of response rates from Asian women Age Place of birth (country of origin) Dress habit Time spent out of door (sunlight exposure) Seasonal variation Dietary habit Extent of prior knowledge Spontaneous recovery Lack of objective measurement that vit D supp was consumed as advised

Vitamin D Rapid Review: Evidence Tables

Authors Year Country Study Design Quality	Study Population	Research Question	Intervention	Main Results	Applicability to UK populations and settings	Confounders & Comments
			<p>4. Explanatory leaflets (in English, Gujarati, Hindi, Urdu and Punjabi) on: the symptoms of osteomalacia prevention of osteomalacia need for supplementation, preparations available in clinics costs of proprietary vit D preparation and clinics opening times.</p> <p>5. More detailed explanatory leaflets and progress letter prepared for Health professionals concerned with the campaign (Clinic staff and general practitioners)</p> <p>Execution of campaign</p> <p>6. Link workers visited Asian families at home, antenatal clinics and Health centres attended by Asian women and sold vit D supp for self-administration.</p> <p>7. They also visited Sikh and Hindu temples to explain the purpose of the campaign in Hindi or Punjabi</p> <p>8. Regular liaison visits by campaign co-ordinators and health education officers to clinics to monitor supplement uptake</p>	<p>D9. Reasons for not purchasing vit D supp: 'not interested', 'feel no different', 'weight gain'</p> <p>E. Attitudes of health professionals to the campaign (n=500) At 2 years E1: Response rate: 38% E2. Heard of campaign: 74% E3. Heard of its extension to Asian women: 36% E4. Received literature about campaigns: 25% E5. Indicated desire for more information: 27% E6. Prescribed vit D supp for Asian children: 11% E7. Prescribed vit D supp for Asian women: 3% E8. Asked for vit D supp by Asian women: 6%</p> <p>(No comparative information obtained from Health Visitors concerning the campaign because the nursing management discouraged the distribution of a questionnaire)</p> <p>F. Mean serum 25 OHD (nmol/l) Precampaign (n=203): 26 (95% CI 7.5 to 80.0)</p>		

Vitamin D Rapid Review: Evidence Tables

Authors Year Country Study Design Quality	Study Population	Research Question	Intervention	Main Results	Applicability to UK populations and settings	Confounders & Comments
				<p>Post-campaign (n=139): 23 (95% CI 8.0 to 63.0)(p&lt;0.05)</p> <p>Paired sample (n=59) Precampaign: 28 (95% CI 10.0 to 79.0) Post-campaign:21 (95% CI 8.0 to 55.0)(p&lt;0.003)</p> <p>'Present' vit D takers (n=29): 29 (95% CI 10 to 83) 'Past' vit D takers (n=74): 21 (95% CI 8.0 to 55.0) 'Never' vit D takers (n=33): 20 (95% CI 8.0 to 52.0)</p> <p>G. Prevalence of osteomalacia (indicated by 25-OHD &lt; 12.5 nmol/l) Precampaign (n=203): 27 (13%) Post-campaign (n=139): 10 (7%)(NS)</p> <p>Paired sample (n=59) Precampaign: 6 (10%) Post-campaign: 7 (12%)(NS)</p> <p>'Present' vit D takers (n=29): 1 (3%) 'Past' vit D takers (n=74): 8 (11%) 'Never' vit D takers (n=33): 2 (6%)</p> <p>H. Vit D supp uptake H1. 1-5 months (during</p>		

Vitamin D Rapid Review: Evidence Tables

Authors Year Country Study Design Quality	Study Population	Research Question	Intervention	Main Results	Applicability to UK populations and settings	Confounders & Comments
				<p>home visiting period): 849 bottles (170 bottles/month) H2. At 6-12 months (when home visits stopped): 75 bottles/month H3. 1 year after campaign stopped: 55 bottles/month H4. 2 years after campaign stopped: 66 bottles/month</p> <p>J. Discharge with Asian rickets and osteomalacia from Glasgow hospitals: no data on women</p> <p>No. of patients (0-16+ years) Pre-campaign: 8 Post-campaign: 2</p>		

## Vitamin D Rapid Review: Evidence Tables

Authors Year Country Study Design Quality	Study Population	Research Question	Intervention	Main Results	Applicability to UK populations and settings	Confounders & Comments
<p>(Dunnigan et al. 1985)</p> <p>Glasgow (55.9° N), Scotland</p> <p>Uncontrolled before and after campaign survey Evidence level: 2–</p> <p>Associated reference (Dunnigan 1981)</p>	<p>Asian children 0 to 18 years of age (children of all ethnic groups up to age 5 and Asian children up to age 18)</p> <p>Target population: Asian communities in Glasgow (estimated population 16,000, including 3230 school children)</p>	<p>Study Question: What are the effects of health education to Asians on prevention of vitamin D deficiency in children, supported by provision of free vitamin D supplements on demand?</p> <p>Statistical Analysis: Analysis of before and after study outcomes</p>	<p>The Glasgow rickets campaign (1979-1983)</p> <p>Components of interventions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Free vit D supplements (drops to young children up to aged 3 years, tablets and syrup to children aged 3 to 18 years) on demand. Dose recommended: 10 ug/day</li> <li>A 12-month health education programme by the health education unit directed at the Asian community and at schools with a high proportion of Asian pupils</li> </ol> <p>Organisation of campaign:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A programme of in-service training provided for community nurses, midwives, health visitors, dietitians, clinical medical officers and general practitioners</li> <li>Distribution of professional fact sheets explaining the policy and clinical features of Asian rickets prepared by the health education unit, via Press Articles for Asian Newspapers, community newsletters, the sound cartoon 'In Place of the Sun' and stills, and posters displayed at Asian Meeting places.</li> <li>Distribution of a simple fact sheet to the Asian community</li> <li>A series of meetings on Asian rickets with leaders of the Asian Community and Asian social and religious groups. The Glasgow Community Relations Council</li> </ol>	<p>A. Mean serum 25-OHD levels (nmol/l) log transformed</p> <p>A1. Pre-campaign (n=181 Asian children aged 5-17 years) (January/May)</p> <p>Regular vit D supplement group (n=54)</p> <p>21.5 (95% CI 4.3 to 105.0)</p> <p>Intermittent vit D supp group (n=50)</p> <p>18.3 (95% CI 4.3 to 77.5)</p> <p>No vit D supp group (n=77)</p> <p>17.0 (95% CI 4.3 to 72.5)</p> <p>A2. Post campaign (n=255 Asian children aged 8-16 years) (December and May)</p> <p>Regular vit D supplement group (n=149)</p> <p>19.0 (95% CI 6.0 to 62.5)</p> <p>Intermittent vit D supp group (n=30)</p> <p>20.5 (95% CI 5.5 to 77.5)</p> <p>No vit D supp group (n=76)</p> <p>19.5 (95% CI 6.0 to 65.0)</p> <p>A3. Paired comparison (n= 59 children sampled in pre- and post-campaign surveys)</p>	<p>Maybe applicable to UK populations. However, insufficient corroborative details on the intervention</p>	<p>The Glasgow Rickets Campaign was carried out in 1979-1983.</p> <p>Possible confounders:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No control group</li> <li>Sampling bias</li> <li>Age</li> <li>Place of birth (country of origin)</li> <li>Dress habit</li> <li>Time spent out of door (sunlight exposure)</li> <li>Extent of previous knowledge</li> <li>Language barriers</li> <li>Seasonal variation</li> <li>Dietary change</li> <li>Spontaneous recovery</li> </ul>

Vitamin D Rapid Review: Evidence Tables

Authors Year Country Study Design Quality	Study Population	Research Question	Intervention	Main Results	Applicability to UK populations and settings	Confounders & Comments
			<p>participated</p> <p>No report of details of interventions relating to</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-structure and content of health education</li> <li>-characteristics of health professionals who delivered the programme</li> <li>- site/setting of intervention</li> <li>- duration/intensity of intervention</li> <li>- harmful effects</li> <li>- views of participants</li> <li>- specific issues when working with minority ethnic groups</li> </ul>	<p>All groups</p> <p>Pre-campaign: 15.5 (95% CI 4.5 to 55.0)</p> <p>Post-campaign: 21.0 (95% CI 6.8 to 67.5) (P&lt;0.007)</p> <p>B. % of abnormal X ray appearances</p> <p>Precampaign: 22/181 (12%)</p> <p>Postcampaign: 19/255 (7.5%)(NS)</p> <p>C. % of severe rickets</p> <p>Precampaign: 11/181 (6%)</p> <p>Postcampaign: 5/255 (7.5%)(p&lt;0.05)</p> <p>D. No. of discharge from hospital with nutritional rickets</p> <p>D1. Age 0-4 years</p> <p>Precampaign (1978-79): 3</p> <p>Postcampaign (1980-83): 2</p> <p>D2. Age 5-16 years</p> <p>Precampaign (1978-79): 13</p> <p>Postcampaign (1980-83): 9</p> <p>E. Mean daily doses of vit D supp (10 ug [400 IU]) dispensed to Asian children through clinics, health centres and schools</p> <p>Precampaign (1978-79): 2200</p> <p>Postcampaign (1980-84): 3300</p>		

## Vitamin D Rapid Review: Evidence Tables

Authors Year Country Study Design Quality	Study Population	Research Question	Intervention	Main Results	Applicability to UK populations and settings	Confounders & Comments
(Save the Children Fund 1983)  UK  Controlled before-and-after study Evidence level: 2–	Asian communities with a prevalence of vitamin D deficiency  A nationwide intervention involving Asian communities in 25 Area Health Authorities: Barnet Bedfordshire Berkshire Birmingham Bradford Brent and Harrow City and East London Dartford and Gravesend Dudley Ealing Hammersmith and Hounslow Enfield and Haringey Hertfordshire Hillingdon Kirklees Liverpool Leicestershire Manchester Nottinghamshire Sheffield Staffordshire Walsall Wolverhampton  (Estimated Asian population: 680,000)	Study Question: What are the effects of health education to Asians on prevention of vitamin D deficiency?  Statistical Analysis: Before and after comparisons of outcomes	A health education programme to explain the nature of rickets and the role of vitamin D in its prevention. Included in the message was that Vitamin D can be obtained from sunlight, diet and supplementation.  Campaign organisation: Stop Rickets Campaign 1. Setting up of a Working Group on Rickets comprising representatives of Asian organisations, Commission for Racial Equality, Health Education Council, members from NHS and the Save the Children Fund (SCF).  2. DHSS provided the secretariat and the Chairman  3. Campaign managed by SCF  3. Appointment of a campaign Director, an Area Health Education Officer (who speaks Hindi, Urdu, Gujarati and Punjabi), supported by a secretary  4. Use of a leaflet supplied by the Health Education Council – 'Your Child Needs Vitamin D'.  5. Development of training material and literature by SCF for NHS staff and Asian leaders. A special campaign logo incorporating the 'sun and a spoon'.	Average knowledge scores/person of rickets and osteomalacia: ExI: 18.0 ExII: 14.36 C: 6.85  Total group score: ExI: 3970/5425 (73%) ExII: 1837/3175 (57.8%)(Ex I vs. Ex II, p<0.05) C: 646/2175 (29.3%) (Ex I and II vs. C, p<0.01)(ExII vs. C, p<0.01)  Frequency of mean scores: Above mean ExI: 176 ExII: 89 C: 28  Below mean ExI: 41 ExII: 38 C: 59  Total scores for each component of questionnaire: 1. Symptoms ExI: 72.4% ExII: 59.3% C: 28.5%  2. Cause ExI: 76.5% ExII: 68.8% C: 32%  3. Prevention	Maybe applicable across a broad range of populations and settings, assuming it is appropriately adapted to focus on pregnant, postpartum, breastfeeding women and women planning a pregnancy, and children aged from 0 to 5 years, also considering regional difference in sunlight exposure.	A non-peer reviewed report published by the SCF  Detailed description of the organisation and process of the campaign  Target population: Asian communities, no specific criteria on age and sex)  Insufficient methodological details lack of contemporaneous data collection (No pre-campaign data for experimental groups, no pos-campaign data for control group)  Confounders: Sampling bias No report of response rate Language barriers Likelihood of 'contamination' translation competency  The evaluation analysis was conducted without funding or researchers with a specific brief.

Vitamin D Rapid Review: Evidence Tables

Authors Year Country Study Design Quality	Study Population	Research Question	Intervention	Main Results	Applicability to UK populations and settings	Confounders & Comments
			<p>6. Identification of and preliminary approach made to local Asian communities through networking and using Census data. An updated list of Asian organizations was compiled including a register of Asian places of worship in launch areas. Letters from the Campaign Director sent with copies of NHS pack for health professionals (health education officers, health visitor training centres and midwifery schools) and copies of 'Asian Leaders' Pack' were sent to various national and local community organizations, community relations officers, English as a Second Language classes and Industrial Language Training Units, explaining the aims and scope of the campaign.</p> <p>7. Making of the campaign film by a commercial film company, involving the District Dietitian and Asian families. Media involvement with expertise provided by the SCF. – meeting with National and Asian press editors (36 ethnic newspapers), radio and TV to promote the campaign.</p> <p>8. Address by the campaign Director to various groups in launch areas</p> <p>9. Consultation with the community relations councils</p>	<p>ExI: 67.6% ExII: 40.7% C: 13.4%</p> <p>4. Treatment: ExI: 92.8% ExII: 88.9% C: 86.4%</p> <p>5. Information source TV ExI: 52% ExII: 64% C: 87% Radio ExI: 11% ExII: 38% C: 15% Newspaper ExI: 7% ExII: 17% C: 6% Doctor ExI: 7% ExII: 30% C: 6% Health Centre ExI: 8% ExII: 31% C: 10% Hospital ExI: no data ExII: no data C: 2% Leaflets ExI: 8% ExII: 23% C: 4% Poster ExI: 3% ExII: 20% C: 4% Friend ExI: 6%</p>		

Vitamin D Rapid Review: Evidence Tables

Authors Year Country Study Design Quality	Study Population	Research Question	Intervention	Main Results	Applicability to UK populations and settings	Confounders & Comments
			<p>on estimates of size of local Asian communities and no of languages spoken; also consultation with area medical officers about campaign tactics</p> <p>10. After 3 pilot launches - a guide on campaign planning offered to authorities contained a checklist of groups to be involved, e.g., playgroup leaders and child minders, secondary school, local radio, TV and press</p> <p>11. Ministerial launch</p> <p>12. Campaign arrangements agreed between the authority and Asian community leaders, AHA to decide on own vit D supplementation policy (i.e., free issue)</p> <p>13. Visit by the campaign Director to areas agreed to have the campaign, to meet with Area Administrator, Area Medical Officer/Specialist in Community Medicine.</p> <p>14. Visits to Community Relations Officer to identify leading figures and religious leaders in Asian community. Further approaches by letter, telephone and visit.</p> <p>15. After local launches (with public meeting and a press conference), campaign staff remained in the area to give briefing sessions to</p>	<p>ExII: 17% C: 6% Talk ExI: 24% ExII: 2% C: no data School ExI: 2% ExII: no data C: 4%</p> <p>Reports of rise in vit D supplement uptake in the first quarter: 16% in Liverpool 11.3% in Birmingham 17% in Bradford dropped to pre-campaign level in the following quarter</p>		

Vitamin D Rapid Review: Evidence Tables

Authors Year Country Study Design Quality	Study Population	Research Question	Intervention	Main Results	Applicability to UK populations and settings	Confounders & Comments
			<p>community health doctors, health visitors, school and district nurses, teachers and social workers. Separate briefing for GPs.</p> <p>16. Post launch visits to women's organisations, supported by the Asian Leaders' Pack to explain the nature and prevention of rickets and osteomalacia. Permission sought to speak to congregations at temples, mosques and gurdwaras to reach large audiences.</p> <p>17. Informal meetings with Asian women to identify unofficial 'opinion leaders' for their influence to pass on what they learnt to other women.</p> <p>18. Where there is objection to a woman speaking in a mosque made by senior members of the religious community, male doctors would give the talks and hold discussions.</p> <p>19. Campaign meetings/visits also to English as a Second Language groups, Asian employers and factories which had substantial numbers of Asian women working.</p> <p>20. Further visits by the Director to antenatal and maternal and Child clinics</p>			

Vitamin D Rapid Review: Evidence Tables

Authors Year Country Study Design Quality	Study Population	Research Question	Intervention	Main Results	Applicability to UK populations and settings	Confounders & Comments
			<p>21. Use of unemployed Asians, under the manpower Service Commission's Community Enterprise Programme, to act as liaison workers between health professionals and the community at home visits and talks in places of worship.</p> <p>22. Two radio jingles were introduced based on Hindi/Urdu folk rhymes: 'Give your child vitamin D' and 'Using the National Health Service', and a new video commercial on 'Give your child vitamin D'.</p> <p>23. Regular showing of the film 'Growing Day by Day', posters in Asian shops and campaign leaflets in clinics, GP surgeries, temples and gurdwaras.</p> <p>24. Care taken to avoid criticism of traditional Asian diets.</p> <p>25. Based on feedback from Asian mothers, a new leaflet 'Why You Need Vitamin D' was produced to replace the old one 'Your Child Needs Vitamin D'</p>			

## Vitamin D Rapid Review: Evidence Tables

Authors Year Country Study Design Quality	Study Population	Research Question	Intervention	Main Results	Applicability to UK populations and settings	Confounders & Comments
(Stephens et al. 1982) Rochdale (53.6° N), UK  A survey (??Before and after study) Evidence level: 2—  Associated reference (Stephens 1981)	Asian children aged 5 - 18 years and Asian adults aged 19 -70+ years  'Before' study: 178 Asians (112 adults 66 children ) 'After' study: 262 Asians (165 adults , 103 children )	Study Question: 'What are the effects of health education to Asians on prevention of vitamin D deficiency?  Statistical Analysis: Before and after study	A Health education campaign to alert aiming to A Health education campaign to alert the community and its medical advisers to the problem of rickets and osteomalacia associated A health education campaign instigated aimed at alerting the community and its medical advisers to the problems of rickets, osteomalacia associated with vitamin D deficiency.  With the help of posters, leaflets and personal contact via health visitors and community leaders an explanation of vitamin D was given and the effects of deficiency were described. Great emphasis was placed on the beneficial effects of adequate exposure to sunshine.  Increased consumption of foods containing vitamin D, both natural and fortified, was encouraged. Advice to take supplemental vitamin D preparations on a regular basis was not included in the campaign and no selective food fortification was introduced.  (Limited information available on the details of this campaign and no published studies was identified which described this campaign)	A. Asian children: A1. Heights 1970: 60% below the 50th centile 1980: 45% below the 50th centile (p<0.005)  A2. Mean daily dietary vit D intake: 1970: 1.93 ± 1.05ug (n=58) 1980: 2.67 ± 1.68ug (n=103)(p<0.01)  A3. Proportion of children taking regular vit D supp: 1970: 9% 1980: 13%  A4. No of hospital admission with diagnoses of rickets, osteomalacia or vit D deficiency (absolute results tabulated): 1970:30 1980: 2  B. Asian adults B1. Mean daily dietary vit D intake: 1970: 2.7 ± 2.55ug 1980: 2.65 ± 1.80ug B2. Proportion of adults taking regular vit D supp: 1970:0% 1980: 3% B3. No. of hospital admission with diagnoses of rickets, osteomalacia or vit D deficiency (absolute	limited applicability to UK populations and settings due to insufficient data available about the intervention.	This study is primarily a survey of the prevalence of vitamin D deficiency in 1980. The author compared the 1980 data with data obtained 10 years earlier (1970) from self-referred participants. In the interim period of 10 years, an intervention in the form of a health education campaign was instigated by the author but no details about the methodology of this campaign were available.  The comparisons were between two different groups of Asians: a group in 1970 and another group in 1980. There was no control group. The two groups were satisfactorily matched in age and sex. However, the 1970 group was self-referred and the 1980 group was partly self-referred and partly selected randomly.  The author went on to analyse/compare biochemical parameters between Asians and Caucasians in Rochdale in 1980.  It is not possible to ascertain whether the findings were due to the campaign.  Possible confounders:

Vitamin D Rapid Review: Evidence Tables

Authors Year Country Study Design Quality	Study Population	Research Question	Intervention	Main Results	Applicability to UK populations and settings	Confounders & Comments
				<p>results tabulated): 1970:10 1980: 8</p> <p>C. Between Asians and Caucasian children in 1980 C1. Mean serum 25-OHD levels: Asian boys: 25.4±17.3 nmol/l Asian girls: 18.6 ± 10.4 nmol/l Caucasians: 77.3 ± 17.7 nmol/l (p&lt;0.001) C2. proportion of children with mean serum 25-OHD levels below 12.5 nmol/l: Asian girls: 33% Asian boys: 22% Caucasian children: lowest 25 OHD levels was 38.5 nmol/l</p> <p>D. Between Asians and Caucasian adults in 1980 D1. Mean serum 25-OHD levels: Asians: 20.3 ± 14.5 nmol/l (No difference between Asian men and women) Caucasians: 52.2 ± 27.4 nmol/l (p&lt;0.001) D2. proportion of adults with mean serum 25-OHD levels below 12.5 nmol/l: Asian men: 35% Asian women: 33% Caucasians: 0</p>		<p>Lack of sufficient data on the intervention Unequal groups, sampling bias No control group Sunlight exposure (time spent outdoors) Extent of prior knowledge Spontaneous recovery Dietary changes Dress habit changes Place of birth Length of stay in the UK</p>

## Vitamin D Rapid Review: Evidence Tables

Authors Year Country Study Design Quality	Study Population	Research Question	Intervention	Main Results	Applicability to UK populations and settings	Confounders & Comments
(Box 1983)  London (51.5° N), UK  Quasi-RCT: women allocated by alternation  Evidence level 1—	20 pregnant Asian women (Hindu, Muslim and Sikh)  No significant difference in vitamin D intake (2.3 to 2.5 mg/day) and serum 25 OHD (2.5 to 4.0 ng/ml, taken in January- February) between the two groups before intervention  Counselled by Health visitor (n=11 [4 vegetarians]) Non-counselled (n=9 [8 vegetarians])	What are the effects of health education and counselling on dietary vitamin D intake and serum 25 OHD levels?  Statistical Analysis Comparative analysis between a treatment and a control group	Counselled by Health visitor vs. Non-counselled  Counselling by Health Visitor at 6/8 weeks and at 2/4 months of pregnancy Components of the intervention: 1. Setting: antenatal clinic 2. Advice given: At 1st visit (6/8 weeks) Food sources rich in vitamin D Reinforce message with samples of food and proprietary brands Maximise exposure to sunlight Serum 25 OHD levels measured  At 2nd /3rd visit (2/4 months) Women questioned about understanding of advice previously given Advice reinforced Serum 25 OHD levels measured at 3rd visit  3. Strategy Women encouraged to bring their mothers-in-law to clinic, as the latter usually make decision about the family's diet Use of Hindu, Muslim and Sikh-speaking receptionists as interpreters	Mean reported dietary vitamin D intake (mg/day) At 2 months Counselled: 2.8 ± 1.2 Non-counselled: 2.0 ± 0.8 (NS)  At 4 months Counselled: 4.1 ± 1.5 Non-counselled: 2.7 ± 0.8 (p<0.05)  Mean serum 25 OHD levels (ng/ml) At 4 months (May- June) Counselled: 5.1 ± 1.5 Non-counselled: 4.9 ± 0.8 (NS)  Difference between 1st and 2nd sample Counselled: 0.07 ± 0.06 Non-counselled: 0.15 ± 0.07 (p~0.02)	Likely to be applicable and generalisable to UK populations depending on regional latitudes and exposure to sunlight	Quasi-RCT: women allocated by alternation at clinic  Published in 1983  Small sample  Amount of sunlight exposure and body coverage as confounders

### References

- Ala-Houhala, M. 1985, "25-Hydroxyvitamin D levels during breast-feeding with or without maternal or infantile supplementation of vitamin D", *Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 220-226.
- Box, V. 1983, "Rickets: What should the health education message be?", *Health Visitors*, vol. 56, no. 4, pp 131-134.
- Brooke, O. G., Brown, I. R., Bone, C. D., Carter, N. D., Cleeve, H. J., Maxwell, J. D., Robinson, V. P., & Winder, S. M. 1980, "Vitamin D supplements in pregnant Asian women: effects on calcium status and fetal growth", *British Medical Journal*, vol. 280, no. 6216, pp. 751-754.
- Brooke, O. G., Butters, F., & Wood, C. 1981, "Intrauterine vitamin D nutrition and postnatal growth in Asian infants", *British Medical Journal*, vol. 283, p. 1024.
- Cockburn, F., Belton, N. R., & Purvis, R. J. 1980, "Maternal vitamin D intake and mineral metabolism in mothers and their newborn infants", *British Medical Journal*, vol. 281, no. 6232, pp. 11-14.
- Congdon, P., Horsman, A., & Kirby, P. A. 1983, "Mineral content of the forearms of babies born to Asian and white mothers", *British Medical Journal*, vol. 286, no. 6373, pp. 1233-1235.
- Datta, S., Alfaham, M., Davies, D. P., Dunstan, F., Woodhead, S., Evans, J., & Richards, B. 2002, "Vitamin D deficiency in pregnant women from a non-European ethnic minority population--an interventional study", *BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology*, vol. 109, no. 8, pp. 905-908.
- Delvin, E. E., Salle, B. L., Glorieux, F. H., Adeleine, P., & David, L. S. 1986, "Vitamin D supplementation during pregnancy: effect on neonatal calcium homeostasis", *The Journal of pediatrics*, vol. 109, no. 2, pp. 328-334.
- Dunnigan, M. G., Glekin, B. M., Henderson, J. B., McIntosh, W. B., Sumner, D., & Sutherland, G. R. 1985, "Prevention of rickets in Asian children: assessment of the Glasgow campaign", *British Medical Journal Clinical Research Ed.*, vol. 291, no. 6490, pp. 239-242.
- Greer, F. R. & Marshall, S. 1989, "Bone mineral content, serum vitamin D metabolite concentrations, and ultraviolet B light exposure in infants fed human milk with and without vitamin D2 supplements", *The Journal of pediatrics*, vol. 114, no. 2, pp. 204-212.
- Greer, F. R., Searcy, J. E., Levin, R. S., Steichen, J. J., Steichen-Asche, P. S., & Tsang, R. C. 1982, "Bone mineral content and serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations in breast-fed infants with and without supplemental vitamin D: one-year follow-up", *Journal of Pediatrics*, vol. 100, no. 6, pp. 919-922.

## Vitamin D Rapid Review: Evidence Tables

Henderson, J. B. 1989, "A health education campaign to prevent osteomalacia in Asian women in Glasgow; 1984-86", *Journal of Human Nutrition and Dietetics*, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 237-249.

Hollis, B. W. & Wagner, C. L. 2004, "Vitamin D requirements during lactation: high-dose maternal supplementation as therapy to prevent hypovitaminosis D for both the mother and the nursing infant", *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, vol. 80, no. 6 Suppl, pp. 1752S-1758S.

Mallet, E., Gugi, B., Brunelle, P., Henocq, A., Basuyau, J. P., & Lemeur, H. 1986, "Vitamin D supplementation in pregnancy: a controlled trial of two methods", *Obstetrics and Gynecology*, vol. 68, no. 3, pp. 300-304.

Pietrek, J., Preece, M. A., Windo, J., O'Riordan, J. L., Dunnigan, M. G., McIntosh, W. B., & Ford, J. A. 1976, "Prevention of vitamin-D deficiency in Asians", *Lancet*, vol. 1, no. 7970, pp. 1145-1148.

Save the Children Fund 1983, *Stop rickets campaign; report of a health education campaign published by the Save the Children Fund on behalf of the DHSS Asian Working Group*, Save the Children Fund on behalf of the DHSS Asian Working Group, London.

Stephens, W. P., Klimiuk, P. S., Berry, J. L., & Mawer, E. B. 1981, "Annual high-dose vitamin D prophylaxis in Asian immigrants", *Lancet*, vol. 2, no. 8257, pp. 1199-1202.

Stephens, W. P., Klimiuk, P. S., Warrington, S., Taylor, J. L., Berry, J. L., & Mawer, E. B. 1982, "Observations on the natural history of vitamin D deficiency amongst Asian immigrants", *Quarterly Journal of Medicine*, vol. 51, no. 202, pp. 171-188.