

NICE PUBLIC HEALTH GUIDANCE

Strategies to prevent unintentional injuries among children

6th Meeting of the Programme Development Group

Thursday 15th October

**Royal College of Anaesthetists
Churchill House, 25 Red Lion Square
London WC1R 4SG**

Final Minutes

Attendees:	<p><i>PDG Members</i></p> <p>Amy Aeron-Thomas (AAT), Caroline Bradbury-Jones (CBJ), Andrew Chick (AC), Carolyn Cripps (CC), (DH), Mike Hayes (MH), Nicky Houghton (NH), Shelley Mason (SM) am only, Jerry Moore (JMo), Julie Mytton (JM), Ceri Phillips (CP), Amanda Roberts (AR), Ralph Saunders (RS), Tanja Stocks (TS), Rob Taylor (RT), Julia Verne (JV), Heather Ward (HW) – Chair</p> <p><i>NICE Team</i></p> <p>Hilary Chatterton (HJC), Hugo Crombie (HC), Simon Ellis (SE), James Jagroo (JJ), Patricia Mountain (PM), Louise Millward (LM), Peter Shearn (PS)</p> <p><i>Review Team – PentAG</i></p> <p>Rob Anderson (RA)</p>	
Apologies:	<p><i>PDG Members</i></p> <p>Liz Towner (LT), Jenny McWhirter (JMc), Lisa Irving (LI) Davina Hartley (DH)</p>	
Authors	Patricia Mountain, Louise Millward, Simon Ellis	
File Ref	PUIC 7.2 Draft minutes of PDG 6 – Chair approved	
Version	PDG approved Final version 271109	
Audience	PDG members, NICE team, the public (via web publication)	

<p>1</p>	<p>Welcome, Introductions and Aims of the Meeting</p> <p>The Chair welcomed everyone to the sixth meeting. PDG members, NICE staff and collaborators introduced themselves and the Chair conveyed apologies from members who could not attend. The Chair outlined the objectives of the day:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To discuss the findings of Review 5: Strategies, policies and regulatory or legal frameworks and/or mass media campaigns to prevent unintentional injury to children during play and leisure in the external environment • To develop draft recommendations based on Review 5 • To hear back from two PUIC PDG sub-groups convened to review the draft recommendations on the Road and the Home • To reconsider and revise the draft recommendations to date: road, home 	
<p>2</p>	<p>Declarations of Interests</p> <p>The Chair explained that verbal declarations of interest are a standing item on every agenda and a matter of public record and asked the PDG for any additional declarations of interest to their previous written declarations, and any declarations that might be specific to the topics for discussion today.</p> <p>The potential conflicts of interest declared today and previously were:</p> <p><i>PDG members</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Heather Ward: Non personal pecuniary interest – author of two papers in the review presented at PDG 1; Pecuniary interest – consultant in injury field; academic department may in future receive research grants related to this area • Liz Towner*: Non personal pecuniary interest – author of three papers in the review presented at PDG 1; academic department may in future receive research grants related to this area • Carolyn Cripps: Personal pecuniary interest – consultant trainer • Jenny McWhirter*: Non personal pecuniary interest – RoSPA may undertake future work as a result of any recommendations; Pecuniary interest – freelance consultant. Also grant from DCSF to undertake work on practical safety education for children • Amanda Roberts: Personal pecuniary interest – paid work in accident prevention field • Lisa Irving*: Personal pecuniary interest – paid work in accident prevention field • Andrew Chick: Non personal pecuniary interest – works for the fire service • Jerry Moore: Non personal pecuniary interest – works for the police service and is seconded to DfT • Davina Hartley*: Non personal pecuniary interest – member of a Children Safeguarding board in Bradford • Julia Verne: Non personal pecuniary interest – department may in future receive research grants related to this area • Ceri Phillips: Non personal pecuniary interest – academic department may in future receive research grants related to this 	

	<p>area</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Julie Mytton: Non personal pecuniary interest – for potential research; also post part funded by NHS. Mike Hayes: Non personal pecuniary interest – CAPT may undertake future work as a result of any recommendations Ralph Saunders: Non personal pecuniary interest – post in NHS Amy Aeron-Thomas: Non personal pecuniary interest – community member but organisation she is a member of has received government funding. Non personal pecuniary interest – charity applied for grant that related to 20 mph speed limit Caroline Bradbury-Jones: Non personal pecuniary interest – academic department may in future receive research grants related to this area Tanja Stocks: Non personal pecuniary interest – works for NHS Nicky Houghton: Non personal non pecuniary interest – commissioner in a PCT Rob Taylor: none to declare Shelley Mason: none to declare <p>* not present at PDG 6</p> <p><i>Review team</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Rob Anderson: Non personal pecuniary interest – academic work in this area may lead to future research contracts Ruth Garside*: Non personal pecuniary interest – academic work in this area may lead to future research contracts <p><i>NICE Team</i></p> <p>Professor Mike Kelly*: Personal pecuniary interest – in receipt of grant from Medical Research Council.</p> <p>Lesley Owen*: Personal pecuniary interest - co author <i>Legislating for Health</i> (ERSC grant)</p> <p>The Chair ruled that none of these interests prevented anyone from taking full part in the meeting.</p>	
<p>3</p>	<p>Minutes of last meeting</p> <p>The PDG were asked to review the minutes from PDG 5. These were approved with the following amendments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Rob Anderson to create glossary relating to health economics <p>Matters arising:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Chair asked the PDG to send their biography to Melinda if they had not already done so. NICE to look at the new EU regulations related to daytime use of car headlights and update the PDG – to be added to PDG 7 PDG to send any suggestions about health economics to the NICE team to discuss further with PenTAG – ongoing <p>All other actions have been completed.</p>	<p>PenTAG</p> <p>PDG members</p> <p>NICE team</p> <p>PDG members</p>
<p>4</p>	<p>Review 5: Strategies, policies and regulatory or legal frameworks and/or mass media campaigns to prevent unintentional injury to children during play and leisure in the external environment</p> <p>Presentation of key findings</p>	

	<p>Rob Anderson from PenTAG gave a presentation of the key findings from the review under consideration.</p>	
5	<p>Questions of clarification</p> <p>The Chair thanked Rob Anderson and the team at PenTAG and asked PDG members for questions of clarification.</p> <p>The following were discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cycle helmets – <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ NICE clarified that cycle wearing/use on road settings were excluded because this will be covered by other NICE guidance. Applicability of US data as US culture and legislative system is different ○ Whether the use of cycle helmets influences cycling rates and therefore rates of exposure to risk. • Legislation relating to standards for playgrounds • Firework injuries – <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The exposure to risk varied in different countries that the data came from ○ The legislation for the sale and storage of fireworks in the UK 	
6	<p>External environment: Discussing the evidence and developing recommendations</p> <p>The committee split into three small groups, to discuss the evidence statements that had been generated by the review and to formulate some provisional recommendations.</p> <p>The Chair asked that each group produce at least one draft recommendation to feed back to the whole group and outline one or more other ideas for recommendations. The PDG was advised to remember that they needed to focus on specific actions for particular practitioners or policy makers.</p>	
7	<p>External environment: Report back from the small groups</p> <p>A PDG member from each group reported back to the whole PDG: i) details of their discussion of the evidence; and ii) details of their development of provisional recommendations.</p>	
8	<p>External environment: Discussion and consensus on the main areas for recommendations</p> <p>There was a plenary discussion to reach consensus on the key areas for recommendations. The Chair thanked the groups for their work and asked the NICE team to consolidate these draft recommendations for circulation to the PDG for comments by email.</p> <p>The Chair offered to find out about regulations and fitting of child horse riding helmets.</p>	<p>NICE team</p> <p>Heather Ward</p>

<p>9</p>	<p>Report back from PDG sub-group convened to review the draft recommendations to date on the Road; Discussion and consensus</p> <p>Jerry Moore fed back to the PDG on the revised draft Road recommendations arising from the work of the Road sub-group (Heather Ward, Davina Hartley, Amy Aeron- Thomas, Jerry Moore, Louise Millward, Hilary Chatterton).</p> <p>The sub-group had considered: i) whether the recommendation was relevant to the road setting or a wider setting/a universal point; ii) supporting evidence; iii) relationship of the recommendation to the road intervention guidance currently in progress; iv) implementation.</p> <p>There was a plenary discussion to reach consensus on the key areas. The Chair thanked the group for their work and asked the PDG to comment further by email.</p>	<p>PDG members</p>
<p>10</p>	<p>Report back from PDG sub-group convened to review the draft recommendations to date in the Home</p> <p>Discussion and consensus</p> <p>Julie Mytton fed back to the PDG on the work of the Home sub-group (Heather Ward, Mike Hayes, Andy Chick, Julie Mytton, Louise Millward, Hilary Chatterton).</p> <p>The sub-group had considered: i) whether the recommendation was relevant to the home setting or a wider setting/a universal point; ii) supporting evidence; iii) relationship of the recommendation to the Home intervention guidance currently in progress; iv) implementation.</p> <p>There was a plenary discussion to reach consensus on the key areas. The Chair thanked the group for their work and asked the PDG continue to comment further by email.</p>	<p>PDG members</p>
<p>11</p>	<p>Summary of the day, agreed action and next steps</p> <p>The Chair thanked the PDG for their active participation throughout the day and summarised the actions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To draft potential External Environment recommendations • To circulate the NICE guidance on Behaviour Change • To circulate the draft home and road recommendations from the sub-groups for email consultation by the full PDG • To circulate the evidence consultation details once it goes live on the NICE website. • To look at the new EU regulations related to daytime use of car headlights and update the PDG • Road and Home subgroups to re-convene either in person or by phone following email consultation to revise the existing recommendations and bring them back to the full PDG. • Julia Verne agreed to look at what definition of urban/rural was adopted by SWPHO 	<p>NICE team NICE team NICE team</p> <p>NICE team</p> <p>NICE team</p> <p>PDG members</p> <p>Julia Verne</p>

15	Any Other Business <ul style="list-style-type: none">• PDG biographies – Members were requested to send information to Melinda Kay at NICE. melinda.kay@nice.org.uk.• Consultation on the evidence – 26th October - 23rd November• Cost effectiveness – an update will be given at the November meeting and the findings will be presented at the January 2010 meeting.	PDG members NICE team
Close	The Chair thanked all attendees and closed the meeting at 4.05pm.	