

NICE PUBLIC HEALTH GUIDANCE

Strategies to prevent unintentional injuries among children

2nd Meeting of the Programme Development Group

Thursday 30th April 2009

Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health

5-11 Theobalds Road

London

WC1X 8SH

Final Minutes

Attendees:	<p><i>PDG Members</i></p> <p>Amy Aeron-Thomas (AAT), Caroline Bradbury-Jones (CBJ), Andrew Chick (AC), Carolyn Cripps (CC), Davina Hartley (DH), Mike Hayes (MH), Nicky Houghton (NH), Lisa Irving (LI), Shelley Mason (SM), Jenny McWhirter (JMc), Jerry Moore (JMo), Julie Mytton (JM), Amanda Roberts (AR), Ralph Saunders (RS), Tanja Stocks (TS), Rob Taylor (RT), Liz Towner (LT), Julia Verne (JV), Heather Ward (HW) – Chair</p> <p><i>NICE Team</i></p> <p>Hilary Chatterton (HJC), Hugo Crombie (HC), Simon Ellis (SE), James Jagroo (JJ), Patricia Mountain (PM), Louise Millward (LM), Kay Nolan (KN), Lesley Owen (LO), Peter Shearn (PS)</p> <p><i>Review Team – West Midlands/PENTAG and LSE</i></p> <p>Rob Anderson (RA), Mark Pearson (MP), Rod Taylor (RTP)</p>	
Apologies:	<p><i>PDG Members</i></p> <p>Ceri Phillips (CP)</p>	
Authors	Patricia Mountain, Louise Millward, Simon Ellis	
File Ref		
Version	Final – Chair approved 13.07.09	
Audience	PDG members, NICE team, the public (via web publication)	

<p>1</p>	<p>Welcome, Introductions and Aims of the Meeting</p> <p>The Chair welcomed everyone to the second meeting. PDG members, NICE staff and collaborators introduced themselves and the Chair conveyed apologies from members who could not attend.</p> <p>The Chair outlined the objectives of the day:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To discuss the findings of Review 2: A systematic review of risk factors for unintentional injuries among children and young people under 15 • To consider any implications of Review 2 for draft recommendations • To revisit and refine the provisional recommendations from PDG 1 • To understand the parameters of Review 3: Road 	
<p>2</p>	<p>Declarations of Interests</p> <p>The Chair explained that verbal declarations of interest are a standing item on every agenda and a matter of public record. The potential conflicts of interest declared today and previously were:</p> <p><i>PDG members</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Heather Ward: Non personal pecuniary interest – author of two papers in the review presented at PDG 1; Pecuniary interest – consultant in injury field; academic department may in future receive research grants related to this area • Liz Towner: Non personal pecuniary interest – author of three papers in the review presented at PDG 1; academic department may in future receive research grants related to this area • Carolyn Cripps: Personal pecuniary interest – consultant trainer • Jenny McWhirter: Non personal pecuniary interest – RoSPA may undertake future work as a result of any recommendations; Pecuniary interest – freelance consultant. Also grant from DCSF to undertake work on practical safety education for children • Amanda Roberts: Personal pecuniary interest – paid work in accident prevention field • Lisa Irving: Personal pecuniary interest – paid work in accident prevention field • Andrew Chick: Non personal pecuniary interest – works for the fire service • Jerry Moore: Non personal pecuniary interest – work for police service seconded to DfT • Davina Hartley: Non personal pecuniary interest – member of Children Safeguarding board in Bradford • Julia Verne: Non personal pecuniary interest – department may in future receive research grants related to this area • Ceri Phillips*: Non personal pecuniary interest – academic department may in future receive research grants related to this area • Julie Mytton: Personal pecuniary interest – for potential research; also post part funded by NHS. 	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mike Hayes: Non personal pecuniary interest – CAPT may undertake future work as a result of any recommendations • Ralph Saunders: Non personal pecuniary interest – post in NHS • Amy Aeron-Thomas: Non personal pecuniary interest – community member but organisation she is a member of has received government funding • Caroline Bradbury-Jones: Non personal pecuniary interest – academic department may in future receive research grants related to this area • Tanja Stocks: Non personal pecuniary interest – works for NHS • Nicky Houghton: Non personal non pecuniary interest – commissioner in a PCT • Rob Taylor: none to declare • Shelley Mason: none to declare <p>* not present at PDG 2</p> <p><i>Review team</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rob Anderson: Non personal pecuniary interest – academic work in this area may lead to future research contracts • Mark Pearson: none to declare • Rod Taylor: none to declare <p>The Chair ruled that none of these interests prevented any member from taking full part in the meeting.</p>	
3	<p>Minutes of last meeting</p> <p>With minor amendments to the Declarations of Interest section the minutes were approved by the PDG. All actions had been completed.</p>	
4	<p>Review 2: A systematic review of risk factors for unintentional injuries among children and young people aged under 15 – Presentation of key findings</p> <p>Mark Pearson from PENTAG gave a brief presentation on the key findings of the review.</p>	
5	<p>Review 2: Questions of clarification and whole group discussion</p> <p>The Chair thanked the review team and asked for questions of clarification. There was a discussion relating to the definition of terms used to describe the strength of evidence in the review.</p> <p>The PDG requested greater clarity on the inclusion or exclusion of papers in the systematic review. There were concerns raised around the applicability of studies from other countries to a UK setting.</p> <p>The PDG identified some studies (included in a recent review by Mytton et al) that could be included and PenTAG agreed to look at these and consider whether they might influence the findings of the review.</p>	PenTAG
6	<p>Discussion of evidence statements – group-work</p> <p>The committee split into three small groups, each facilitated by a NICE team member, to discuss the evidence statements that had been</p>	

	generated by the review on risk factors.	
7	<p>Review 2: Developing recommendations</p> <p>The committee split into the same three groups to consider how these evidence statements might be used to formulate some provisional recommendations.</p> <p>The Chair asked that each group produce at least one draft recommendation to feed back to the whole group. The PDG was advised to remember that they need to focus on specific actions for particular practitioners or policy makers.</p>	
8	<p>Review 2: Plenary report back from groups</p> <p>A PDG member from each group reported back on their discussion of the evidence and development of any provisional recommendations to the whole PDG. The points for discussion were:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Gaps in evidence, for example in relation to teenage drivers • Making the road environment safer for children, focusing on where they travel and play • How recommendations should be structured <p>The Chair thanked the groups for their work and asked the NICE team should use the feedback to draft some recommendations for PDG comment.</p> <p>The Chair agreed to ask for more information from the Department for Transport (DfT) on teenage drivers and young passengers.</p>	<p>NICE team</p> <p>PDG Chair</p>
9	<p>Draft recommendations from PDG 1: Further refinement</p> <p>The draft recommendations developed by the PDG during their first meeting had been collated into a tabled document. This contained the original ‘work in progress’ submissions from each of the small groups at PDG 1. Also included was the work conducted by the NICE team to synthesise this ‘work in progress’ into three draft recommendations for the PDG to discuss.</p> <p>The Chair asked the PDG to break into the same three groups to revise the draft recommendations from PDG1.</p>	
10	<p>Draft recommendations from PDG 1: Plenary and reaching consensus</p> <p>Each group reported back on the revisions they had made to the recommendations and a plenary discussion followed. The Chair thanked the groups for their work and asked the NICE team to re-draft the recommendations to incorporate the work done by the PDG.</p>	NICE team
11	<p>Review 3: ‘Road’ – what to expect</p> <p>The Chair asked the PDG to read the tabled paper provided by Rob Anderson at PenTAG that provided details of the focus of the 3rd review</p>	

	about the road setting.	
12	<p>Summary of the day, agreed action and next steps</p> <p>The Chair thanked the PDG for their active participation throughout the day. The next PDG is to be held 18th June at the NICE offices, Mid City Place, 71 High Holborn, London WC1V 6NA.</p>	
13	<p>Any Other Business</p> <p>The Chair asked that the PDG send biographies that had not previously been submitted to Melinda Kay at NICE melinda.kay@nice.org.uk</p> <p>The Chair drew the attention of the PDG to the forthcoming 'External environment review protocol' and asked any members with a particular interest or expertise who would like to comment on the draft protocol to contact Louise Millward. It was noted that the draft scope for the 'External environment' intervention guidance will be released for consultation at the end of May 2009. Pete Shearn is the lead analyst for that project at NICE.</p> <p>Members were also requested to email any additions or alternative definitions for the glossary to Louise Millward.</p> <p>It was noted that the children and environmental health action plan has been published (http://www.hpa.org.uk/web/HPAwebFile/HPAweb_C/1237889522947).</p> <p>It was noted that the second UK and Ireland Injury Prevention Conference will take place in Cardiff on 24 and 25 September 2009 (http://www.wales.nhs.uk/sites3/news.cfm?orgid=568&contentid=11875).</p>	
Close	The Chair thanked all attendees and closed the meeting at 4.20pm.	