

[Walking and cycling: local measures to promote walking and cycling as forms of travel or recreation](#)

**NICE guideline PH41 - Consultation on the Review Proposal**

**Stakeholder Comments Table**

**27 January – 9 February 2016**

Four stakeholders responded.

Two stakeholder organisations responded by email that they had ‘no comments’:

- the Department of Health
- the Royal college of Nurses

Two stakeholders responded with comments (detailed below)

**Question 1: Do you agree that the guideline should not be updated?**

Answer choices	Number of responses
Yes	2
No	0

**Comments:**

Stakeholder organisation	Comments	Response
<b>Department for Transport (DfT)</b>	We do not have any detailed comments to provide via the formal pro-forma, and support the recommendation that the guideline does not need updating.  We thought it worth bringing to your attention a report published by DfT in November 2014 called “Claiming the Health Benefit”	Thank you for your comment and for highlighting this useful report.

*The publication of comments received during the consultation process on the NICE website is made in the interests of openness and transparency. It does not imply they are endorsed by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence or its officers or its advisory committees*

	<p><a href="https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/371096/claiming_the_health_dividend.pdf">https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/371096/claiming_the_health_dividend.pdf</a></p> <p>This report compiles the latest available cost benefit evidence from the UK and abroad from studies that have calculated health benefits alongside other benefits such as savings in travel time, congestion and accidents. The results are compelling. The typical benefit cost ratios are considerably greater than the threshold of 4:1, which is considered by DfT as ‘very high’ value for money. This supports the notion that small-scale transport schemes can really deliver high value for money.</p>	
<b>Living Streets</b>	We do not believe there is any significant new evidence which has emerged or any significant changes in service provision since publication that warrants the recommendations to be reconsidered.	Thank you for your comment.
<b>Living Streets</b>	We are happy with the conclusions of the surveillance programme that none of the new evidence identified was considered to have an effect on current recommendations.	Thank you for your comment.

**Question 2: Do you have any comments on equality issues or areas excluded from the original scope?**

<b>Stakeholder organisation</b>	<b>Comments</b>	<b>Response</b>
<b>Living Streets</b>	We agree with the topic experts that further focus around an ageing population and how to encourage active ageing would be welcome but don’t believe this should impact on existing recommendations.	Thank you for your comment. We have identified some on-going research on walking and cycling interventions for people aged 50 years and older. Publications of this work will be looked at when PH41 has its next surveillance review, if available, and findings will be considered in relation to the recommendations.