

# NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

## NICE quality standards

### Equality impact assessment

#### Promoting health and preventing premature mortality in black, Asian and other minority ethnic groups.

The impact on equality has been assessed during quality standard development according to the principles of the NICE equality policy.

#### 1. TOPIC ENGAGEMENT STAGE

1.1 Have any potential equality issues been identified during this stage of the development process?
--

This quality standard focuses on people from black, Asian and other minority ethnic groups. Some of these groups experience substantial health inequalities and this quality standard will attempt to address those and promote equality.
---

1.2 Have any population groups, treatments or settings been excluded from coverage by the quality standard at this stage in the process. Are these exclusions justified – that is, are the reasons legitimate and the exclusion proportionate?
--

This quality standard will address promoting health and premature mortality among people from black, Asian and other minority ethnic groups. Promoting health and preventing premature mortality among the white ethnic group will not specifically be addressed in this quality standard. No treatments or settings have been excluded from coverage at this stage.
--

Completed by lead technical analyst \_\_Anna Wasielewska\_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_ 13/06/2017\_\_\_\_\_

Approved by NICE quality assurance lead \_\_\_\_\_ Mark Minchin\_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_ 15/06/2017\_\_\_\_\_

The impact on equality has been assessed during quality standard development according to the principles of the NICE equality policy.

## 1.0.7 DOC EIA

### 2. PRE-CONSULTATION STAGE

2.1 Have any potential equality issues been identified during the development of the quality standard (including those identified during the topic engagement process)? How have they been addressed?

This quality standard focuses on people from black, Asian and other minority ethnic groups. The committee discussed some of the health inequalities people in these groups experience and the need to address those. The committee prioritised areas for quality improvement focusing on reducing barriers and promoting equality.

The committee agreed to focus on:

- The need to tailor the support people receive to change behaviours and improve lifestyle
- The need to engage peers and lay people in promoting health and supporting people to manage their conditions
- Applying lower thresholds that trigger diabetes prevention actions for people from black, Asian and other minority ethnic groups
- Ensuring more flexible and accessible arrangements make it easier for people from black, Asian and other minority ethnic groups to access cardiac rehabilitation and mental health services
- Need to monitor physical health of people with mental illness from black, Asian and other minority ethnic groups

2.2 Have any changes to the scope of the quality standard been made as a result of topic engagement to highlight potential equality issues?

No changes have been made to the scope of the quality standard at this stage.

2.3 Do the draft quality statements make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access services compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

No, the draft quality statements focus on improving access to services for the black, Asian and other minority ethnic groups.

2.4 Is there potential for the draft quality statements to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

No adverse impact has been identified.

2.5 Are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access to services identified in questions 2.1, 2.2 or 2.3, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligation to advance equality?

All statements address some of the barriers that may prevent people from black, Asian and other minority ethnic groups from accessing the support they need.

Completed by lead technical analyst Anna Wasielewska

## 1.0.7 DOC EIA

Date 2/10/2017

### 3. POST CONSULTATION STAGE

3.1 Have any additional potential equality issues been raised during the consultation stage, and, if so, how has the committee addressed them?

The committee discussed heterogeneity of the population and inequalities existing within minority ethnic groups. The committee agreed that an additional paragraph would be added to the quality standard recognising that local commissioners have to gain understanding of local diversity to implement the statements.

3.2 If the quality statements have changed after the consultation stage, are there any that make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access services compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

No, only minor changes have been made to the statements.

3.3 If the quality statements have changed after consultation, is there potential for the recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

No, changes made to the statements have no impact on people with disabilities.

3.4 If the quality statements have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations or explanations that the Committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access to services identified in questions 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to advance equality?

No, only minor changes have been made to the statements.

Completed by lead technical analyst Anna Wasielewska

Date 15/02/2018

Approved by NICE quality assurance lead \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

### 4. After Guidance Executive amendments

4.1 Outline amendments agreed by Guidance Executive below, if applicable:

The Guidance executive group asked to add a paragraph on the first page which would strengthen the message that all the statements should be applied in a way relevant to local population. Following paragraph has been added:

## 1.0.7 DOC EIA

4.1 Outline amendments agreed by Guidance Executive below, if applicable:

Any actions taken to achieve the statements should be tailored to the ethnic profile of the local population, and particular consideration should be given to disadvantaged or excluded groups and ethnic groups with poor outcomes.

Completed by lead technical analyst \_\_\_\_\_Anna Wasielewska\_\_\_\_\_

Date\_\_\_\_\_17/04/2018\_\_\_\_\_

Approved by NICE quality assurance lead \_\_\_\_\_Nick Baillie\_\_\_\_\_

Date\_\_\_\_\_17/04/2018\_\_\_\_\_

© NICE 2018. All rights reserved. Subject to [Notice of rights](#).

## 1.0.7 DOC EIA