

**NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND
CARE EXCELLENCE**

HEALTH AND SOCIAL CARE DIRECTORATE

QUALITY STANDARDS

Quality standard topic: Infection control

Output: Equality analysis form – Meeting 1

Introduction

As outlined in the [Quality Standards process guide](http://www.nice.org.uk) (available from www.nice.org.uk), NICE has a duty to have due regard to the need to eliminate unlawful discrimination, advance equality of opportunity, and foster good relations between people from different groups. The purpose of this form is to document the consideration of equality issues in each stage of the development process before reaching the final output that will be approved by the NICE Guidance Executive. This equality analysis is designed to support compliance with NICE's obligations under the Equality Act 2010 and Human Rights Act 1998.

Table 1 lists the equality characteristics and other equality factors NICE needs to consider, i.e. not just population groups sharing the 'protected characteristics' defined in the Equality Act but also those affected by health inequalities associated with socioeconomic factors or other forms of disadvantage. The table does not attempt to provide further interpretation of the protected characteristics. This is because it is likely to be simpler, and more efficient, to use the evidence underpinning the quality standard to define population groups within the broad protected characteristic categories rather than to start with possibly unsuitable checklists created for other purposes, such as social surveys or HR monitoring tools.

The form should be used to:

- confirm that equality issues have been considered and identify any relevant to the topic
- ensure that the quality standards outputs do not discriminate against any of the equality groups
- highlight planned action relevant to equality
- highlight areas where quality standards may advance equality of opportunity.

This form is completed by the NICE quality standards internal team at each stage within the development process:

- Topic overview (to elicit additional comments as part of active stakeholder engagement)
- Quality Standards Advisory Committee – meeting 1
- Quality Standards Advisory Committee – meeting 2

Table 1

| |
|---|
| Protected characteristics |
| Age |
| Disability |
| Gender reassignment |
| Pregnancy and maternity |
| Race |
| Religion or belief |
| Sex |
| Sexual orientation |
| Other characteristics |
| Socio-economic status Depending on policy or other context, this may cover factors such as social exclusion and deprivation associated with geographical areas or inequalities or variations associated with other geographical distinctions (e.g. the North/South divide, urban versus rural). |
| Marital status (including civil partnership) |

Other categories

Other groups in the population experience poor health because of circumstances often affected by, but going beyond, sharing a protected characteristic or socioeconomic status. Whether such groups are identifiable depends on the guidance topic and the evidence. The following are examples of groups covered in NICE guidance:

- Refugees and asylum seekers
- Migrant workers
- Looked after children
- Homeless people.

Quality standards equality analysis

Stage: Topic overview

Topic: Infection control

1. Have any equality issues impacting upon equality groups been identified during this stage of the development process?

- Please state briefly any relevant equality issues identified and the plans to tackle them during development.

It has been identified that people with a lack of mobility or cognitive impairment may require additional support to undertake hand decontamination and other techniques to prevent infection when they are managing their own long-term catheters, vascular access devices or enteral feeds. The draft quality standard highlights that this should be considered when advice is given to patients about safely managing their own devices or equipment, including techniques to prevent infection. The draft quality standard emphasizes that language barriers should not be a reason for non-provision of advice.

It has also been identified that religious beliefs may be a source of concern in relation to the use of alcohol handrubs for hand decontamination. The draft quality standard notes that where this is the case patients could be made aware of the official stand of religious bodies about the product, and where information is available they should be directed to these sources.

2. Have relevant bodies and stakeholders been consulted, including those with a specific interest in equalities?

- Have comments highlighting potential for discrimination or advancing equality been considered?

Quality Standards Advisory Committees (QSACs) have been recruited by open advert with relevant bodies and stakeholders given the opportunity to apply. In addition to these standing committee members, representation was sought from a variety of specialist committee members including communicable disease and infection control specialists, and academic and lay representation.

3. Have any population groups, treatments or settings been excluded from coverage by the quality standard at this stage in the process? Are these exclusions legal and justified?

- Are the reasons for justifying any exclusion legitimate?

There are no exclusions to the draft quality standard.

4. If applicable, do any of the quality statements make it impossible or unreasonably difficult in practice for a specific group to access a service or element of a service?

- Does access to a service or element of a service depend on membership of a specific group?
- Does a service or element of the service discriminate unlawfully against a group?
- Do people with disabilities find it impossible or unreasonably difficult to receive a service or element of a service?

The draft statements do not prevent any specific groups from accessing services (including tests and other interventions which are part of services).

For draft statement 6 it is noted that people with a lack of mobility or cognitive impairment may require additional support to undertake hand decontamination and other techniques to prevent infection when they are managing their own long-term catheters, vascular access devices or enteral feeds. The draft quality standard highlights that this should be considered when advice is given to patients about safely managing their own devices or equipment, including techniques to prevent infection. The draft quality standard emphasizes that language barriers should not be a reason for non-provision of advice. It is also noted in this draft statement that where religious beliefs may be a source of concern in relation to the use of alcohol handrubs for hand decontamination, patients could be made aware of the official stand of religious bodies about the product, and should be directed to sources of information where these are available.

5. If applicable, does the quality standard advance equality?

- Please state if the quality standard, including statements, measures and indicators, as described will advance equality of opportunity, for example by making access more likely for certain groups, by tailoring the service to certain groups, or by making reasonable adjustments for people with disabilities?

A positive impact is expected. We believe these draft statements promote equality.

For draft statement 6 it is noted that people with a lack of mobility or cognitive impairment may require additional support to undertake hand decontamination and other techniques to prevent infection when they are managing their own long-term catheters, vascular access devices or enteral feeds. The draft quality standard highlights that this should be considered when advice is given to patients about safely managing their own devices or equipment, including techniques to prevent infection. The draft quality standard emphasizes that language barriers should not be a reason for non-provision of advice. It is also noted in this draft statement that where religious beliefs may be a source of concern in relation to the use of alcohol handrubs for hand decontamination, patients could be made aware of the official stand of religious bodies about the product, and should be directed to sources of information where these are available.