NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE **EXCELLENCE**

HEALTH TECHNOLOGY APPRAISAL PROGRAMME

Equality impact assessment – Guidance development

STA Futibatinib for previously treated advanced cholangiocarcinoma with FGFR2 fusion or rearrangement [ID6302]

The impact on equality has been assessed during this appraisal according to the

1. Have the potential equality issues identified during the scoping process been addressed by the committee, and, if so, how? No potential equality issues raised during scoping. 2. Have any other potential equality issues been raised in the submissions, expert statements or academic report, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these? No 3. Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the committee, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these? No 4. Do the recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology compared with other groups?	principles of the NICE equality scheme.		
process been addressed by the committee, and, if so, how? No potential equality issues raised during scoping. 2. Have any other potential equality issues been raised in the submissions, expert statements or academic report, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these? No 3. Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the committee, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these? No 4. Do the recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a	Final appraisal determination		
2. Have any other potential equality issues been raised in the submissions, expert statements or academic report, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these? No 3. Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the committee, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these? No 4. Do the recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a	1.		
submissions, expert statements or academic report, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these? No 3. Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the committee, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these? No 4. Do the recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a	No potential equality issues raised during scoping.		
3. Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the committee, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these? No 4. Do the recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a	2.	submissions, expert statements or academic report, and, if so, how	
committee, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these? No 4. Do the recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a	No		
Do the recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a	3.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
'	No		
'			
	4.	•	

Technology appraisals: Guidance development

Issue date: August 2024

	If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?
No	
5.	Is there potential for the recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?
No	
6.	Are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?
No	
7.	Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the final appraisal determination, and, if so, where?
N/A	

Approved by Associate Director (name): Ross Dent

Date: 25/07/2024

Issue date: August 2024