

## NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

### Review Proposal Project

#### Review of Technology Appraisal No.119; Fludarabine monotherapy for the first-line treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukaemia

#### Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<p><u>Manufacturers/sponsors</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Actavis UK (fludarabine)</li> <li>• Bayer (fludara)</li> <li>• Hospira UK (fludarabine phosphate)</li> <li>• Teva UK (fludara)</li> </ul> <p><u>Patient/carer groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Afiya Trust</li> <li>• African Caribbean Leukaemia Trust (ACLT)</li> <li>• Anthony Nolan Trust</li> <li>• Black Health Agency</li> <li>• Cancer Black Care</li> <li>• Cancer Equality</li> <li>• CANCERactive</li> <li>• Chinese National Healthy Living Centre</li> <li>• Chronic Lymphocytic Leukaemia Support Association (CLLSA)</li> <li>• Counsel and Care</li> <li>• Equalities National Council</li> <li>• Helen Rollason Heal Cancer Charity</li> <li>• Leukaemia CARE</li> <li>• Leukaemia Society (UK)</li> <li>• Macmillan Cancer Support</li> <li>• Maggie's Centres</li> <li>• Marie Curie Cancer Care</li> <li>• Muslim Council of Great Britain</li> <li>• Muslim Health Network</li> <li>• National Cancer Alliance</li> <li>• National Council for Palliative Care</li> <li>• South Asian Health Foundation</li> <li>• Specialised Healthcare Alliance</li> <li>• Sue Ryder Care</li> </ul>	<p><u>General</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Board of Community Health Councils in Wales</li> <li>• British National Formulary</li> <li>• Commissioning Support Appraisals Service (CSAS)</li> <li>• Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland</li> <li>• Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency</li> <li>• National Association of Primary Care</li> <li>• National Public Health Service for Wales</li> <li>• NHS Alliance</li> <li>• NHS Confederation</li> <li>• NHS Purchasing and Supply Agency</li> <li>• NHS Quality Improvement Scotland</li> <li>• Scottish Medicines Consortium</li> </ul> <p><u>Possible comparator manufacturers</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Alliance Pharmaceuticals (prednisolone)</li> <li>• Baxter Healthcare (cyclophosphamide)</li> <li>• Genzyme Therapeutics (fludarabine, Alemtuzumab)</li> <li>• GlaxoSmithKline (chlorambucil)</li> <li>• Napp Pharmaceuticals (Bendamustine)</li> <li>• Pfizer (cyclophosphamide, prednisolone)</li> <li>• Roche (rituximab)</li> <li>• Sovereign Medical (prednisolone)</li> <li>• Winthrop Pharmaceuticals UK (prednisolone)</li> </ul> <p><u>Relevant research groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Elimination of Leukaemia Fund</li> </ul>

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Tenovus</li> </ul> <p><u>Professional groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Association of Cancer Physicians</li> <li>• British Association for Services to the Elderly</li> <li>• British Committee for Standardisation in Haematology</li> <li>• British Geriatrics Society</li> <li>• British Oncological Association</li> <li>• British Psychosocial Oncology Society</li> <li>• British Society for Haematology</li> <li>• Cancer Networks Pharmacists Forum</li> <li>• Cancer Research UK</li> <li>• Royal College of General Practitioners</li> <li>• Royal College of Nursing</li> <li>• Royal College of Pathologists</li> <li>• Royal College of Physicians, Medical Oncology Joint Special Committee</li> <li>• Royal Pharmaceutical Society</li> <li>• Royal Society of Medicine – Intellectual Disabilities Forum</li> <li>• United Kingdom Chronic Lymphocytic Leukaemia Forum</li> <li>• United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association</li> <li>• United Kingdom Oncology Nursing Society</li> </ul> <p><u>Others</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Department of Health</li> <li>• Kirklees PCT</li> <li>• South Gloucestershire PCT</li> <li>• Welsh Assembly Government</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Institute of Cancer Research</li> <li>• Leukaemia Busters</li> <li>• Leukaemia Research Fund</li> <li>• MRC Clinical Trials Unit</li> <li>• National Cancer Research Institute</li> <li>• National Cancer Research Network</li> <li>• National Institute for Health Research</li> <li>• Policy Research Institute on Ageing and Ethnicity</li> <li>• Research Institute for the Care of Older People</li> </ul> <p><u>Assessment Group</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Assessment Group tbc</li> <li>• National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme</li> </ul> <p><u>Associated Guideline Groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• National Collaborating Centre for Cancer</li> </ul> <p><u>Associated Public Health Groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• tbc</li> </ul>

NICE is committed to promoting equality and eliminating unlawful discrimination. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists contained within the matrix and which organisations we should include who have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

### Definitions:

#### Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Assembly Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to submit a statement<sup>1</sup>, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

#### Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; NHS Quality Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Information Authority and NHS Purchasing and Supplies Agency, and the *British National Formulary*).

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

#### Assessment team

An independent academic group (commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist in the appraisal) prepares an Assessment Report on the health technology (a review of the clinical and cost effectiveness of the technology(ies) based on a systematic review of the literature and a review of manufacturer and sponsor submission to the Institute).

---

<sup>1</sup> Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.