

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

Review Proposal Project

Review proposal of guidance no. 152; Coronary artery disease – drug eluting stents

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<p><u>Manufacturers/sponsors</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Abbott Vascular Devices (Xience V, Promus) • Biosensors (Axxion, Biomatrix) • B. Braun Medical (Coroflex Please) • Biotronik UK (CoStar) • Boston Scientific (Taxus, Taxus Express, Taxus Liberte) • Cordis Corporation (Cypher, Cypher Select, Cypher Select Plus) • Insitu Technologies (Monarch) • Kiwimed (Yukon) • Medtronic AVE (Endeavour, Endeavour Rolute) • Sahajanand MedicalTechnologies (Infinium) • Sorin Biomedica UK (Janus Flex) • Terumo Europe NV (Nobori) <p><u>Patient/carer groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Action Heart • Afiya Trust • Age Concern England • Black Health Agency • British Cardiac Patients Association • Cardiac Risk in the Young • Chinese National Healthy Living Centre • Confederation of Indian Organisations • Counsel and Care • Equalities National Council • Heart Care Partnership (UK) • HEART UK • Help the Aged 	<p><u>General</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Age Concern Cymru • Association of British Healthcare Industries • Board of Community Health Councils in Wales • British Cardiovascular Industry Association • British National Formulary • Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland • EUCOMED • Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency • National Association of Primary Care • National Public Health Service for Wales • NHS Purchasing and Supply Agency • NHS Alliance • NHS Confederation • NHS Quality Improvement Scotland • Scottish Medicines Consortium <p><u>Comparator manufacturers</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None <p><u>Relevant research groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • British Society for Cardiovascular Research • Cardiovascular Diseases Specialist Library (CVDSL) • Central Cardiac Audit Database • Cochrane Heart Group • CORDA • National Institute for Health Research

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Muslim Council of Great Britain • Muslim Health Network • National Heart Forum • Network of Sikh Organisations UK • South Asian Health Foundation • Specialised Healthcare Alliance <p><u>Professional groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • British Association for Nursing in Cardiac Care • British Association for Services to the Elderly • British Cardiovascular Intervention Society (BCIS) • British Cardiovascular Society • British Geriatrics Society • British Heart Foundation • British Society for Heart Failure • Primary Care Cardiovascular Society • Royal College of General Practitioners • Royal College of Nursing • Royal College of Pathologists • Royal College of Physicians • Royal Pharmaceutical Society • Royal Society of Medicine – Intellectual Disabilities Forum • Society for Cardiological Science and Technology • United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association • Vascular Society <p><u>Others</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Barnet PCT • Derby Central PCT • Department of Health • Welsh Assembly Government 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Policy Research Institute on Ageing and Ethnicity • Research Institute for the Care of Older People • United Kingdom Clinical Research Network <p><u>Assessment Group</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evidence Review Group tbc • National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme <p><u>Associated Guideline Groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • National Collaborating Centre for Acute Care • National Collaborating Centre for Chronic Conditions • National Collaborating Centre for Primary Care <p><u>Associated Public Health Groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None

NICE is committed to promoting equality and eliminating unlawful discrimination.

Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists contained within the matrix and which organisations we should include who have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Assembly Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; NHS Quality Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Information Authority and NHS Purchasing and Supplies Agency, and the *British National Formulary*).

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Assessment team

An independent academic group (commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist in the appraisal) prepares an Assessment Report on the health technology (a

¹ Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.

review of the clinical and cost effectiveness of the technology(ies) based on a systematic review of the literature and a review of manufacturer and sponsor submission to the Institute).