

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Review Proposal Project

NICE Technology Appraisal No.159; Spinal cord stimulation for chronic pain of neuropathic or ischaemic origin

Matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<p><u>Manufacturers/sponsors</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Boston Scientific • Medtronic • St. Jude Medical (UK) <p><u>Patient/carer groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Action on Pain • Afiya Trust • Arachnoiditis Neuropathic Pain Information and Support • Arthritic Association • Arthritis Care • Arthritis and Musculoskeletal Alliance (ARMA) • Back Care • BASIC • Black Health Agency • Blood Pressure UK • Brain and Spine Foundation • Cardiac Risk in the Young • Coalition of Ankylosing Spondylitis Patients • Diabetes UK • Disability Rights UK • Equalities National Council • Fibro Action • Fibromyalgia Association UK • Herpes Viruses Association & Shingles Support Society • Leonard Cheshire Disability • Muslim Council of Britain • Muslim Health Network • National Ankylosing Spondylitis Society • National Osteoporosis Society • National Rheumatoid Arthritis Society 	<p><u>General</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Allied Health Professionals Federation • Association of British Healthcare Industries • Board of Community Health Councils in Wales • Care Quality Commission • Commissioning Support Appraisals Service • Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland • EUCOMED • Healthcare Improvement Scotland • Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency • National Association of Primary Care • National Pharmacy Association • NHS Alliance • NHS Commercial Medicines Unit • NHS Confederation • Scottish Medicines Consortium • Wales Neurological Alliance <p><u>Comparator manufacturer(s)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Boston Scientific • Medtronic • St. Jude Medical (UK) <p><u>Relevant research groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Antithrombotic Trialists' (ATT) Collaboration • Arthritis Research UK • Bone Research Society • British Society for Cardiovascular Research • Cardiac and Cardiology Research Dept, Barts

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Network of Sikh Organisations • Neurological Alliance • Neuropathy Trust • Pain Concern • Pain Relief Foundation • Pain UK • Pelvic Pain Support Network • Somerville Foundation • South Asian Health Foundation • Specialised Healthcare Alliance • Spinal Injuries Association • STEPS Charity Worldwide <p><u>Professional groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Association of Anaesthetists • Association of Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland • Brain and Spine Foundation • British Association for Nursing in Cardiovascular Care • British Atherosclerosis Society • British Cardiac Intervention Society • British Cardiovascular Society • British Geriatrics Society • British Health Professionals in Rheumatology • British Heart Foundation • British Hip Society • British Institute of Musculoskeletal Medicine • British Institute of Radiology • British Nuclear Cardiology Society • British Orthopaedic Association • British Pain Society • British Psychological Society • British Scoliosis Society • British Society for Rheumatology • British Society of Cardiovascular Imaging • British Society of Rehabilitation Medicine • British Society of Skeletal Radiologists • Chartered Society of Physiotherapy • College of Occupational Therapists • Physiotherapy Pain Association • Primary Care Cardiovascular Society 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cochrane Heart Group • Chronic Pain Policy Coalition • Cochrane Musculoskeletal Group • Cochrane Peripheral Vascular Diseases Group • European Council for Cardiovascular Research • Health Research Authority • MRC Clinical Trials Unit • National Heart Research Fund • National Institute for Health Research • Research Institute for the Care of Older People • Society for Back Pain Research • Wellcome Trust <p><u>Assessment Group</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Assessment Group tbc • Evidence Review Group tbc • National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme <p><u>Associated Guideline groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • National Clinical Guideline Centre <p><u>Associated Public Health groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Public Health England • Public Health Wales NHS Trust

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Primary Care Rheumatology Society • Royal College of Anaesthetists • Royal College of General Practitioners • Royal College of Nursing • Royal College of Pathologists • Royal College of Physicians • Royal College of Surgeons • Royal Society of Medicine • Society for Cardiological Science and Technology • Society of Cardiothoracic Surgeons • UK Health Forum • United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association • Vascular Society <p><u>Others</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Department of Health • NHS East and North Hertfordshire CCG • NHS England • NHS Southern Derbyshire CCG • Welsh Government 	

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTees AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Assembly Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; NHS Quality Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary).

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Assessment Group

An independent academic group (commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist in the appraisal) prepares an Assessment Report on the health technology (a review of the clinical and cost effectiveness of the technology(ies) based on a systematic review of the literature and a review of manufacturer and sponsor submission to the Institute).

¹ Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.