**Appendix C**

**NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE**

**Provisional Single Technology Appraisal (STA)**

**Golimumab for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis**

**Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators**

| **Consultees** | **Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)** |
|---|---|
| Manufacturers/sponsors<br>• Centocor/Schering-Plough (golimumab)<br><br>Patient/carer groups<br>• Action on Pain<br>• Afiya Trust<br>• Age Concern England<br>• Arthritic Association<br>• Arthritis & Musculoskeletal Alliance (ARMA)<br>• Arthritis Care<br>• Arthritis Research Campaign<br>• BackCare<br>• Black Health Agency<br>• Brain and Spine Foundation<br>• British Ethnic Health Awareness Foundation (BEHAF)<br>• Chinese National Healthy Living Centre<br>• Confederation of Indian Organisations<br>• Counsel and Care<br>• Equalities National Council<br>• Help the Aged<br>• Leonard Cheshire Disability<br>• Long Term Medical Conditions Alliance<br>• Muslim Council of Great Britain<br>• Muslim Health Network<br>• National Rheumatoid Arthritis Society<br>• Pain Concern<br>• Pain Relief Foundation<br>• Royal Association for Disability & Rehabilitation (RADAR)<br>• South Asian Health Foundation | General<br>• Age Concern Cymru<br>• Board of Community Health Councils in Wales<br>• British National Formulary<br>• Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland<br>• Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency<br>• National Association of Primary Care<br>• National Public Health Service for Wales<br>• NHS Alliance<br>• NHS Confederation<br>• NHS Purchasing and Supply Agency<br>• NHS Quality Improvement Scotland<br>• Scottish Medicines Consortium<br><br>Possible comparator manufacturer(s)<br>• Abbott Laboratories (adalimumab)<br>• Actavis (azathioprine, sulfasalazine, penicillamine)<br>• Alliance Pharmaceuticals (penicillamine)<br>• Almus Pharmaceuticals (sulfasalazine)<br>• Arrow Generics (azathioprine)<br>• Astellas (auranofin)<br>• AstraZeneca UK (chloroquine)<br>• Focus Pharmaceuticals (azathioprine)<br>• Generics (UK) (azathioprine, sulfasalazine, penicillamine)<br>• GlaxoSmith Kline (azathioprine)<br>• IVAX Pharmaceuticals (azathioprine, sulfasalazine)<br>• Mayne Pharma (methotrexate) |

| **Consultees** | **Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)** |
|---|---|
| • Specialised Healthcare Alliance<br><br>Professional groups<br>• British Association for Services to the Elderly<br>• British Geriatrics Society<br>• British Health Professionals in Rheumatology<br>• British Institute of Musculoskeletal Medicine<br>• British Orthopaedic Association<br>• British Association of Spine Surgeons<br>• British Pain Society<br>• British Society for Rheumatology<br>• British Society of Rehabilitation Medicine<br>• Physiotherapy Pain Association<br>• Primary Care Rheumatology Society<br>• Royal College of General Practitioners<br>• Royal College of Nursing<br>• Royal College of Physicians<br>• Royal Pharmaceutical Society<br>• Royal Society of Medicine – Intellectual Disabilities Forum<br>• United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association<br><br>Others<br>• Department of Health<br>• Northumberland Care Trust PCT<br>• Torbay Care Trust PCT<br>• Welsh Assembly Government | • Medac (methotrexate)<br>• Novartis (ciclosporin)<br>• Pfizer (methotrexate, sulfasalazine)<br>• Roche Products (rituximab)<br>• Sandoz (azathioprine)<br>• Sanofi Aventis (hydroxychloroquine, leflunomide, sodium aurothiomalate)<br>• Schering-Plough (infliximab)<br>• Teva UK (azathioprine, sulfasalazine, penicillamine)<br>• Waymade Healthcare PLC (sulfasalazine)<br>• Wockhardt UK (methotrexate)<br>• Wyeth Pharmaceuticals (etanercept)<br><br>Relevant research groups<br>• MRC Clinical Trials Unit<br>• Policy Research Institute on Ageing and Ethnicity<br>• Research Institute for the Care of Older People<br>• Society for Back Pain Research<br><br>Evidence Review Group<br>• Evidence Review Group tbc<br>• National Coordinating Centre for Health Technology Assessment<br><br>Associated Guideline Groups<br>▪ GDG/NCC tbc<br>▪ Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN)<br><br>Associated Public Health Groups<br>▪ None |

NICE is committed to promoting equality and eliminating unlawful discrimination. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists contained within the matrix and which organisations we should include who have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

***PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS***

## Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Assembly Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to submit a statement[1], respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; NHS Quality Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Information Authority and NHS Purchasing and Supplies Agency, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the NHS Research and Development Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the manufacturer/sponsor evidence submission to the Institute.

[1] Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.