ODEP Comments

The comments from ODEP are summarised below, I believe a technical response has been received by the British hip society hence comments have been restricted to those relating to ODEP only.

1) Uncertainty regarding the reported figures on numbers of hips with ODEP rating that NICE have provided in section 1.7 of evaluation report. I have included a file detailing the numbers of hip products used according the latest NJR figures.

2) We would like to state that the NICE guidance is for Primary hips and hence ODEP ratings are for Primary hip stems and cups (or those where the main function is for primary hips)

3) ODEP would like more understanding regarding the 5% revision rate at 10 years as this appears to have been based upon extrapolated data from the NJR of England and Wales.

4) Understanding how re-evaluation is anticipated for all current 10A rated products (those meeting the current NICE standard) and how the committee would envisage ensuring end user confusion was minimised. ODEP are happy to discuss options for the introduction of a reduced revision rate benchmark and scoring requirements for achieving this rating.

5) The benchmarks for the current guidance as provided by ODEP relates to a benchmark for the femoral stem and acetabular cup as individual items, i.e. each gains a separate benchmark. It's uncertain if the committee's recommendations are requesting benchmarks for full systems or if the benchmark remains for individual items. Section 1.1.