

**NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE  
EXCELLENCE**

**HEALTH TECHNOLOGY APPRAISAL PROGRAMME**

**Equality impact assessment – Guidance development**

**MTA**

**Dual-chamber pacemakers for treating symptomatic  
bradycardia due to sick sinus syndrome without  
atrioventricular block, (part review of Technology Appraisal  
88)**

The impact on equality has been assessed during this appraisal according to the principles of the NICE equality scheme.

**Final Appraisal Determination**

(when no ACD was issued)

- |   |
|---|
| 1. Have the potential equality issues identified during the scoping process been addressed by the Committee, and, if so, how? |
| No equalities issues identified.  |

- |  |
|--|
| 2. Have any other potential equality issues been raised in the submissions, expert statements or academic report, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed these? |
| No equalities issues identified.   |

- |  |
|--|
| 3. Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the Committee, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed these? |
| No equalities issues identified.   |

Technology appraisals: Guidance development

Equality impact assessment for the multiple technology appraisal of Dual-chamber pacemakers for treating symptomatic bradycardia due to sick sinus syndrome without atrioventricular block, (part review of Technology Appraisal 88)

Issue date: September 2014

4. Do the recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

None of the recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology compared with other groups.

5. Is there potential for the recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

There is no potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of their disability.

6. Are there any recommendations or explanations that the Committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?

Not applicable

7. Have the Committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the final appraisal determination, and, if so, where?

Not applicable.

**Approved by Programme Director (name): Meindert Boysen .....**

**Date:** 24 September 2014

Technology appraisals: Guidance development

Equality impact assessment for the multiple technology appraisal of Dual-chamber pacemakers for treating symptomatic bradycardia due to sick sinus syndrome without atrioventricular block, (part review of Technology Appraisal 88)

Issue date: September 2014