

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Multiple Technology Appraisal (MTA)

Dual-chamber pacemakers for treating symptomatic bradycardia due to sick sinus syndrome or atrioventricular block, part review of Technology Appraisal

88

Final matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<p><u>Manufacturers/sponsors</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Biotronik UK • Boston Scientific • Medtronic • Sorin Group UK • St Jude Medical UK <p><u>Patient/carer groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Action Heart • Afiya Trust • Arrhythmia Alliance • Black Health Agency • British Cardiac Patients Association • Cardiac Risk in the Young (CRY) • Equalities National Council • Heart Care Partnership (UK) • Muslim Council of Britain • Muslim Health Network • Network of Sikh Organisations • SADS UK • Somerville Foundation • South Asian Health Foundation • Specialised Healthcare Alliance • STARS UK • Stroke Association <p><u>Professional groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Association of Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland • British Association for Nursing in Cardiovascular Care • British Atherosclerosis Society • British Cardiac Intervention Society • British Cardiovascular Society • British Geriatrics Society • British Heart Foundation 	<p><u>General</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Allied Health Professionals Association • Association of British Healthcare Industries • Board of Community Health Councils in Wales • British National Formulary • Care Quality Commission • Commissioning Support Appraisals Service • Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland • EUCOMED • Healthcare Improvement Scotland • Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency • National Association of Primary Care • National Pharmacy Association • NHS Alliance • NHS Commercial Medicines Unit • NHS Confederation • Scottish Medicines Consortium <p><u>Comparator manufacturers</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Biotronik UK • Boston Scientific • Medtronic • Sorin Group UK • St Jude Medical UK <p><u>Relevant research groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • British Society for Cardiovascular Research • Cardiac and Cardiology Research Dept, Barts • Cochrane Heart Group

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Final matrix for the appraisal of dual-chamber pacemakers for treating symptomatic bradycardia due to sick sinus syndrome or atrioventricular block, part review of Technology Appraisal 88

Issue date: November 2013

Page 1 of 4

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • British Society for Cardiovascular Imaging • College of Emergency Medicine • Heart Rhythm UK • Primary Care Cardiovascular Society • Royal College of Anaesthetists • Royal College of General Practitioners • Royal College of Nursing • Royal College of Pathologists • Royal College of Physicians • Royal College of Surgeons • Royal Society of Medicine • Society for Cardiological Science and Technology • Society of Cardiothoracic Surgeons • UK Health Forum (formerly National Heart Forum) • United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association • Vascular Society <p><u>Others</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Department of Health • NHS Darlington CCG • NHS England • NHS Harrogate and Rural District CCG • Welsh Government 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cochrane Stroke Group • European Council for Cardiovascular Research • Health Research Authority • MRC Clinical Trials Unit • National Institute for Health Research • Research Institute for the Care of Older People • Wellcome Trust <p><u>Assessment Group</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • BMJ Group • National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme <p><u>Associated Guideline Groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • National Clinical Guidelines Centre <p><u>Associated Public Health Groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Public Health England • Public Health Wales NHS Trust

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

MTA Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology are invited to prepare a submission dossier, can respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to prepare a submission dossier respond to consultations on the draft scope, the Assessment Report and the Appraisal Consultation Document. They can nominate clinical specialists and/or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but are not asked to prepare a submission dossier. Commentators are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary).

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentator organisations can nominate clinical specialists and patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee.

Assessment group

An independent academic group (commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist in the appraisal) prepares an Assessment Report on the health technology (a review of the clinical and cost effectiveness of the technology(ies)) based on a systematic review of the manufacturer/sponsor and non-manufacturer/sponsor submission dossier to the Institute.

¹ Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.