

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal

Secukinumab for treating moderate to severe plaque psoriasis [ID718]

Matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<p><u>Manufacturers/sponsors</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Novartis Pharmaceuticals (secukinumab) <p><u>Patient/carer groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Afiya Trust • Allergy UK • Black Health Agency • Changing faces • Equalities National Council • Let's Face It • Muslim Council of Britain • Muslim Health Network • Psoriasis Association • Psoriasis and Psoriatic Arthritis Alliance • South Asian Health Foundation • Specialised Healthcare Alliance <p><u>Professional groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • British Association of Dermatologists • British Dermatological Nursing Group • British Geriatrics Society • British Skin Foundation • British Society for Cutaneous Allergy • Primary Care Dermatology Society • Royal College of General Practitioners • Royal College of Nursing • Royal College of Pathologists • Royal College of Physicians • Royal Pharmaceutical Society • Royal Society of Medicine • United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association 	<p><u>General</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Allied Health Professionals Federation • Board of Community Health Councils in Wales • British National Formulary • Care Quality Commission • Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland • Healthcare Improvement Scotland • Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency • National Association of Primary Care • National Pharmacy Association • NHS Alliance • NHS Commercial Medicines Unit • NHS Confederation • Scottish Medicines Consortium <p><u>Comparator manufacturer(s)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • AbbVie (adalimumab) • Janssen (ustekinumab) • Merck Sharp & Dohme (infliximab) • Pfizer (etanercept) <p><u>Relevant research groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • British Epidermo-Epidemiology Society • Centre of Evidence-based Dermatology, University of Nottingham • Cochrane Skin Group • MRC Clinical Trials Unit • National Institute for Health Research • Skin Research Centre, University of Leeds • Skin Treatment & Research Trust <p><u>Evidence Review Group</u></p>

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence
Matrix for the technology appraisal of secukinumab for treating moderate to severe plaque psoriasis

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<p><u>Others</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Department of Health • NHS England • NHS Nottingham West CCG • NHS Tameside and Glossop CCG • Welsh Government 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Southampton Health Technology Assessments Centre • National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme <p><u>Associated Guideline Groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • National Clinical Guidelines Centre <p><u>Associated Public Health Groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Public Health England • Public Health Wales NHS Trust

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are:

manufacturers of comparator technologies;

Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*).

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the manufacturer/sponsor evidence submission to the Institute.

¹ Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.