

**NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE  
EXCELLENCE**

**HEALTH TECHNOLOGY APPRAISAL PROGRAMME**

**Equality impact assessment – Guidance development**

**STA Ezetimibe for the treatment of primary (heterozygous-familial and non-familial) hypercholesterolaemia (review of TA132)**

The impact on equality has been assessed during this appraisal according to the principles of the NICE equality scheme.

**Consultation**

- |   |
|---|
| 1. Have the potential equality issues identified during the scoping process been addressed by the Committee, and, if so, how? |
|---|

No potential equality issues were identified during the scoping process.
--

- |  |
|--|
| 2. Have any other potential equality issues been raised in the submissions, expert statements or academic report, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed these? |
|--|

No potential equality issues were raised in the submissions, expert statements or ERG report.
---

- |  |
|--|
| 3. Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the Committee, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed these? |
|--|

No potential equality issues were identified by the Committee.
--

- |  |
|--|
| 4. Do the preliminary recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for |
|--|

the specific group?
No

5. Is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?
No

6. Are there any recommendations or explanations that the Committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?
Not applicable

7. Have the Committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the appraisal consultation document, and, if so, where?
The Committee's considerations of equality issues are included in the summary table at the end of the appraisal consultation document.

**Approved by Associate Director (name):** .....Frances Sutcliffe.....

**Date:** 12 October 2015

## **Final appraisal determination**

(when an ACD issued)

1. Have any additional potential equality issues been raised during the consultation, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed these?
--

No potential equality issues were identified during the consultation process.

2. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations that make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

No

3. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, is there potential for the recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

No

4. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations or explanations that the Committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 2 and 3, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?

No.

5. Have the Committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the final appraisal determination, and, if so, where?

The Committee's considerations of equality issues are included in the summary table at the end of the final appraisal determination document.

**Approved by Centre or Programme Director (name):** Meindert Boysen.....

Technology appraisals: Guidance development

Equality impact assessment for the single technology appraisal of Ezetimibe for the treatment of primary (heterozygous-familial and non-familial) hypercholesterolaemia (review of TA132)3 of 4

Issue date: December 2015

**Date:** 11/12/2015