Appendix C

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Proposed Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Certolizumab pegol for treating active psoriatic arthritis following inadequate response to disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs [ID579]

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	**Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)**
Manufacturers/sponsors • UCB Pharma (certolizumab) Patient/carer groups • Action Against Allergy • Action on Pain • Afiya Trust • Allergy UK • Arthritis Action • Arthritis & Musculoskeletal Alliance (ARMA) • Arthritis Care • Black Health Agency • Disability Rights UK • Equalities National Council • Leonard Cheshire Disability • Muslim Council of Britain • Muslim Health Network • Pain Concern • Pain Relief Foundation • Pain UK • Psoriasis and Psoriatic Arthritis Alliance • Psoriasis Association • Psoriasis Help Organisation • South Asian Health Foundation • Specialised Healthcare Alliance Professional groups • British Association of Dermatologists • British Dermatological Nursing Group • British Geriatrics Society • British Health Professionals in Rheumatology • British Institute of Musculoskeletal	General • Allied Health Professionals Federation • Board of Community Health Councils in Wales • British National Formulary • Care Quality Commission • Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland • Healthcare Improvement Scotland • Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency • National Association of Primary Care • National Pharmacy Association • NHS Alliance • NHS Commercial Medicines Unit • NHS Confederation • Scottish Medicines Consortium Possible Comparator companies • Abbvie (adalimumab) • Accord Healthcare (methotrexate) • Actavis UK (azathioprine) • Almus (azathioprine) • Amco (methotrexate) • Aspen (azathioprine) • B&S Colorama Pharmaceuticals (ciclosporin) • Co-pharma (azathioprine) • Cubic Pharmaceuticals (ciclosporin) • Dexcel Pharma (ciclosporin) • Hameln pharmaceuticals (methotrexate) • Hospira UK (methotrexate) • Janssen (ustekinumab) • Medac UK (leflunomide, methotrexate) • Merck Sharp & Dohme (golimumab,

Consultees	**Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)**
Medicine • British Orthopaedic Association • British Pain Society • British Skin Foundation • British Society for Paediatric and Adolescent Rheumatology • British Society for Rheumatology • British Society of Rehabilitation Medicine • Physiotherapy Pain Association • Primary Care Dermatology Society • Primary Care Rheumatology Society • Royal College of General Practitioners • Royal College of Nursing • Royal College of Pathologists • Royal College of Physicians • Royal Pharmaceutical Society • Royal Society of Medicine • UK Clinical Pharmacy Association <u>Others</u> • Department of Health • NHS England • NHS Slough CCG • NHS West Lancashire CCG • Welsh Government	infliximab) • Morningside Pharmaceuticals (ciclosporin, methotrexate) • Mylan UK (azathioprine, ciclosporin) • Novartis Pharmaceuticals (ciclosporin) • Orion Pharma UK (methotrexate) • Pfizer (etanercept, methotrexate, sulfasalazine) • Rosemont Pharmaceuticals (sulfasalazine) • Sandoz (azathioprine, methotrexate, leflunomide) • Sanofi (leflunomide) • Teva UK (azathioprine, leflunomide methotrexate) • Waymade Healthcare (azathioprine, methotrexate, sulfasalazine) • Wockhardt UK (methotrexate) • Zentiva UK (leflunomide) <u>Relevant research groups</u> • Arthritis Research UK • Bone Research Society • British Epidermo-Epidemiology Society • Centre of Evidence-based Dermatology, University of Nottingham • Chronic Pain Policy Coalition • Cochrane Musculoskeletal Group • Cochrane Skin Group • MRC Clinical Trials Unit • National Institute for Health Research • Skin Research Centre • Skin Treatment and Research Trust <u>Evidence Review Group</u> • Evidence Review Group tbc • National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme <u>Associated Guideline Groups</u> • National Clinical Guideline Centre <u>Associated Public Health Groups</u>

Consultees	**Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)**
	• Public Health England • Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that manufactures the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that manufactures the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All noncompany consultees are invited to submit a statement[1], respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies;
Healthcare Improvement Scotland ; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the company evidence submission to the Institute.

[1] Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.