

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

HEALTH TECHNOLOGY APPRAISAL PROGRAMME

Equality impact assessment – Guidance development

STA Inotuzumab ozogamicin for treating relapsed or refractory B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukaemia

The impact on equality has been assessed during this appraisal according to the principles of the NICE equality scheme.

Consultation

- | |
|---|
| 1. Have the potential equality issues identified during the scoping process been addressed by the committee, and, if so, how? |
|---|

No equality issues were identified during the scoping process.
--

- | |
|--|
| 2. Have any other potential equality issues been raised in the submissions, expert statements or academic report, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these? |
|--|

No equality issues were identified in the submissions, expert statements or academic report.
--

- | |
|--|
| 3. Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the committee, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these? |
|--|

No equality issues were identified by the committee.
--

- | |
|--|
| 4. Do the preliminary recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for |
|--|

the specific group?
No.

5. Is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?
No.

6. Are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?
Not applicable.

7. Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the appraisal consultation document, and, if so, where?
Not applicable.

Approved by Associate Director (name): ...Frances Sutcliffe.....

Date: 05/06/2017

Final appraisal determination

(when an ACD issued)

1. Have any additional potential equality issues been raised during the consultation, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?
--

No equality issues were identified during the consultation.

2. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations that make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

Not applicable, the recommendation has not changed.

3. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, is there potential for the recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

Not applicable, the recommendation has not changed.

4. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 2 and 3, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?

Not applicable, the recommendation has not changed.

5. Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the final appraisal determination, and, if so, where?

Other factors section states that no equality issues were identified.

Approved by Associate Director (name): ...Frances Sutcliffe

Date: 10/08/2017

2nd Consultation

6. Have the potential equality issues identified during the scoping process been addressed by the committee, and, if so, how?

No equality issues were identified during the scoping process.

7. Have any other potential equality issues been raised in the submissions, expert statements or academic report, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?

No

8. Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the committee, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?

No.

9. Do the preliminary recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

No.

10. Is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

No.

11. Are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with,

access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?

No

12. Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the appraisal consultation document, and, if so, where?

Not applicable.

Approved by Associate Director (name): ...Frances Sutcliffe.....

Date: 16/05/2018

Final appraisal determination 2

13. Have any additional potential equality issues been raised during the consultation, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?

No equality issues were identified during the second consultation.

14. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations that make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

No

15. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, is there potential for the recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

No

16. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 2 and 3, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?

No

17. Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the final appraisal determination, and, if so, where?

Not applicable. No equality issues identified for this appraisal.

Approved by Associate Director (name): ...Frances Sutcliffe

Date: 02/08/18