

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Proposed Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Abatacept for treating active psoriatic arthritis following an inadequate response to disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs ID993

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<p><u>Company</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bristol-Myers Squibb (abatacept) <p><u>Patient/carer groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Action on Pain • Arthritis Action • Arthritis & Musculoskeletal Alliance • Arthritis Care • Disability Rights UK • Leonard Cheshire Disability • Muslim Council of Britain • Pain Concern • Pain Relief Foundation • Pain UK • Psoriasis and Psoriatic Arthritis Alliance • Psoriasis Association • Psoriasis Help Organisation • South Asian Health Foundation • Specialised Healthcare Alliance <p><u>Professional groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • British Association of Dermatologists • British Dermatological Nursing Group • British Geriatrics Society • British Institute of Musculoskeletal Medicine • British Orthopaedic Association • British Pain Society • British Skin Foundation • British Society for Rheumatology • British Society of Rehabilitation Medicine • Physiotherapy Pain Association • Primary Care Dermatology Society 	<p><u>General</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Allied Health Professionals Federation • Board of Community Health Councils in Wales • British National Formulary • Care Quality Commission • Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland • Healthcare Improvement Scotland • Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency • National Association of Primary Care • National Pharmacy Association • NHS Alliance • NHS Commercial Medicines Unit • NHS Confederation • Scottish Medicines Consortium <p><u>Possible Comparator companies</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Abbvie (adalimumab) • Aspire Pharma (leflunomide) • Biogen Idec Ltd (etanercept, infliximab) • Hameln pharmaceuticals (methotrexate) • Hospira UK (infliximab, methotrexate) • Janssen (ustekinumab) • Medac UK (leflunomide, methotrexate) • Merck Sharp & Dohme (golimumab, infliximab) • Napp (infliximab) • Nordic Pharma (methotrexate) • Novartis (secukinumab) • Orion Pharma (methotrexate) • Pfizer (etanercept, sulfasalazine) • Rosemont pharmaceuticals (methotrexate)

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of abatacept for treating active psoriatic arthritis following an inadequate response to disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs ID993

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Primary Care Rheumatology Society • Royal College of General Practitioners • Royal College of Nursing • Royal College of Pathologists • Royal College of Physicians • Royal Pharmaceutical Society • Royal Society of Medicine • UK Clinical Pharmacy Association <p><u>Others</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Department of Health • NHS Blackpool CCG • NHS England • NHS North Tyneside CCG • Welsh Government 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sandoz (leflunomide, methotrexate) • Sanofi (leflunomide) • Teva UK (leflunomide methotrexate) • UCB Pharma (certolizumab pegol) • Zentiva UK (leflunomide) <p><u>Relevant research groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Arthritis Research UK • Bone Research Society • British Epidermo-Epidemiology Society • Centre of Evidence-based Dermatology, University of Nottingham • Chronic Pain Policy Coalition • Cochrane Musculoskeletal Group • Cochrane Skin Group • MRC Clinical Trials Unit • National Institute for Health Research • Skin Research Centre • Skin Treatment and Research Trust <p><u>Associated Public Health Groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Public Health England • Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary).

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

¹ Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.