

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Nivolumab for previously treated unresectable advanced oesophageal cancer

Response to consultee and commentator comments on the draft remit and draft scope (pre-referral)

Please note: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by NICE are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that NICE has received, and are not endorsed by NICE, its officers or advisory committees.

Comment 1: the draft remit

Section	Consultee/ Commentator	Comments [sic]	Action
Appropriateness	Bristol–Myers Squibb Pharmaceuticals Ltd	None.	Noted, thank you.
Wording	Bristol–Myers Squibb Pharmaceuticals Ltd	This is an appropriate topic for NICE to consider.	Comment noted, thank you. Taking into account treatment history and current treatment options for this indication, the wording of the appraisal remit has been changed. The remit no longer includes 'when standard chemotherapy has failed' but instead

Section	Consultee/ Commentator	Comments [sic]	Action
			reads 'for previously treated unresectable, advanced oesophageal cancer'. The same change has been made to topic title.
Timing Issues	Bristol–Myers Squibb Pharmaceuticals Ltd	<p>It is important for NICE to provide a recommendation for the use of nivolumab within the NHS as close to marketing authorisation as possible, given the limited effective treatment options currently available to these patients.</p> <p>Patients with advanced or recurrent oesophageal cancer which have received previous treatment with chemotherapy have a very poor prognosis - less than 5% of patients with metastatic oesophageal cancer survive to five years.¹</p> <p>Besides chemotherapy and best supportive care, there are currently no other systemic anti-cancer treatment options for patients in this second line setting, in which only about 25% of patients receive chemotherapy in the UK.</p> <p>¹ National Cancer Institute Cancer Stat Facts: Esophageal Cancer. Survival by Stage. 2013 September 2017. Available from: https://seer.cancer.gov/statfacts/html/esoph.html.</p>	Comment noted, thank you. NICE aims to ensure the timely production of guidance and has scheduled this topic into its work programme.
Additional comments on the draft remit	Bristol–Myers Squibb Pharmaceuticals Ltd	None	Noted, thank you

Comment 2: the draft scope

Section	Consultee/ Commentator	Comments [sic]	Action
Background information	Bristol–Myers Squibb Pharmaceuticals Ltd	No comments.	Noted, thank you.
The technology/ intervention	Bristol–Myers Squibb Pharmaceuticals Ltd	<p>Nivolumab is a human monoclonal antibody</p> <p>Although the description in the draft scope is correct, it does not include or reference the ONO-4538-07 or ONO-4538-24/CA209-473 study.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ONO-4538-07 (JapicCTI-142422) is an open-label, uncontrolled, multi-centre phase II study to investigate efficacy and safety of ONO-4538 in oesophageal cancer patients. • ONO-4538-24/CA209-473 (NCT02569242) is a phase III randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled study clinical trial of nivolumab in unresectable advanced or recurrent oesophageal cancer. 	<p>Comments noted, thank you. The technology section of the scope is intended to give an overview of the main trial evidence (NCT02569242). Additional supporting evidence will be considered by the committee at the time of the appraisal. No action required.</p>
Population	Bristol–Myers Squibb Pharmaceuticals Ltd	No comments.	<p>Noted, thank you. The population section of the scope has been updated to better reflect previous treatment options; it is 'Adults with previously treated advanced or recurrent unresectable oesophageal cancer that is refractory or</p>

Section	Consultee/ Commentator	Comments [sic]	Action
			intolerant to standard therapy’.
Comparators	Bristol–Myers Squibb Pharmaceuticals Ltd	<p>In the UK, patients who receive active treatment in the second line setting receive a taxane. Patients who do not receive active therapy receive best supportive care (BSC).</p> <p>BSC is not an effective anti-cancer therapy, it is mainly focussed on alleviating symptoms and complications from the advanced disease. It usually has very limited impact on survival.</p> <p>Irinotecan is not used in clinical practice and therefore should not be considered a relevant comparator in the UK. This is based on evidence gathered at an advisory board and a questionnaire completed by clinicians.</p>	Comments noted. The comparators included in the scope reflect those that are relevant to the proposed licensed indication. The appraisal committee will further discuss the most relevant comparators during the development of this appraisal. No action required.
Outcomes	Bristol–Myers Squibb Pharmaceuticals Ltd	No comments.	Noted, thank you
Economic analysis	Bristol–Myers Squibb Pharmaceuticals Ltd	No comments.	Noted, thank you.
Equality and Diversity	Bristol–Myers Squibb Pharmaceuticals Ltd	No equality issues have been identified.	Comment noted, thank you.

Section	Consultee/ Commentator	Comments [sic]	Action
Other considerations	Bristol–Myers Squibb Pharmaceuticals Ltd	No comments.	Noted, thank you.

Section	Consultee/ Commentator	Comments [sic]	Action
Questions for consultation	Bristol–Myers Squibb Pharmaceuticals Ltd	No comments.	Noted, thank you.
Additional comments on the draft scope	Bristol–Myers Squibb Pharmaceuticals Ltd	None.	Noted, thank you.

The following consultees/commentators indicated that they had no comments on the draft remit and/or the draft scope

Department of Health and Social Care