

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

HEALTH TECHNOLOGY APPRAISAL PROGRAMME

Equality impact assessment – Guidance development

STA ravulizumab for atypical haemolytic uraemia

The impact on equality has been assessed during this appraisal according to the principles of the NICE equality scheme.

Final appraisal determination

(when no ACD was issued)

- | |
|---|
| 1. Have the potential equality issues identified during the scoping process been addressed by the committee, and, if so, how? |
|---|

During scoping it was noted that pregnant women would not be prescribed ravulizumab and eculizumab would be the preference. At the committee meeting the ravulizumab summary of product characteristics was discussed. It states 'In pregnant women the use of ravulizumab may be considered following an assessment of the risks and benefits.' The committee heard from the clinical experts that there is a need to take the patient's circumstances into account when deciding between ravulizumab and eculizumab. The committee agreed that both products would continue to be used in practice.

- | |
|--|
| 2. Have any other potential equality issues been raised in the submissions, expert statements or academic report, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these? |
|--|

No

- | |
|--|
| 3. Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the committee, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these? |
|--|

No

4. Do the recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

No

5. Is there potential for the recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

No

6. Are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?

No

7. Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the final appraisal determination, and, if so, where?

Yes, Section 3.18

Approved by Associate Director (name): Jasdeep Hayre

Date: 29 April 2021