

**NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE  
EXCELLENCE**

**HEALTH TECHNOLOGY APPRAISAL PROGRAMME**

**Equality impact assessment – Guidance development**

**STA Atezolizumab for untreated PD-L1-positive advanced  
urothelial cancer when cisplatin is unsuitable**

The impact on equality has been assessed during this appraisal according to the principles of the NICE equality scheme.

**Final appraisal determination**

(when no ACD was issued)

- |   |
|---|
| 1. Have the potential equality issues identified during the scoping process been addressed by the committee, and, if so, how? |
|---|

No potential equality issues were identified during the scoping process.
--

- |  |
|--|
| 2. Have any other potential equality issues been raised in the submissions, expert statements or academic report, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these? |
|--|

A patient expert noted that with women who have urothelial cancer, their disease tends to present later so they are more likely to have advanced disease, experience differences in quality-of-life following treatment and suffer worse cancer specific mortality. However, the committee concluded that its recommendation applies equally, regardless of gender, so this difference is not in itself an equality issue.
--

- |  |
|--|
| 3. Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the committee, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these? |
|--|

No.
-----

4. Do the recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

No.

5. Is there potential for the recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

No.

6. Are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?

Not applicable.

7. Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the final appraisal determination, and, if so, where?

Yes, see section 3.15 of the final appraisal determination.

**Approved by Associate Director (name):** Linda Landells

**Date:** 21/10/21