

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal

Selpercatinib for treating advanced thyroid cancer with RET alterations ID3744

Stakeholder list of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<p><u>Company</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Eli Lilly (selpercatinib) <p><u>Patient/carer groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Association for Multiple Endocrine Neoplasia Disorders Black Health Agency British Thyroid Association British Thyroid Foundation Butterfly Thyroid Cancer Trust Cancer52 Cancer Black Care Cancer Equality Helen Rollason Cancer Charity Independent Cancer Patients Voice Macmillan Cancer Support Maggie's Centres Marie Curie Mouth Cancer Foundation Muslim Council of Britain Oracle Cancer Trust South Asian Health Foundation Specialised Healthcare Alliance Tenovus Cancer Care Thyroid Cancer Support Group Wales Thyroid UK <p><u>Professional groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Association of Cancer Physicians Association of Surgeons of Great Britain & Ireland British Association of Endocrine and Thyroid Surgeons British Association of Surgical Oncology British Geriatrics Society British Institute of Radiology British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Thyroid Association 	<p><u>General</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology Centre Allied Health Professionals Federation Board of Community Health Councils in Wales British National Formulary Care Quality Commission Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Healthcare Improvement Scotland Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency National Association for Primary Care National Pharmacy Association NHS Alliance NHS Confederation Scottish Medicines Consortium Welsh Health Specialised Services Committee <p><u>Possible comparator companies</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bayer (sorafenib) Eisai (lenvatinib) Ipsen (cabozantinib) <p><u>Relevant research groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Genomics England Institute of Cancer Research MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network National Institute for Health Research Pro-Cancer Research Fund

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cancer Research UK • Royal College of General Practitioners • Royal College of Nursing • Royal College of Pathologists • Royal College of Physicians • Royal College of Radiologists • Royal College of Surgeons • Royal Pharmaceutical Society • Royal Society of Medicine • Society and College of Radiographers • Society for Endocrinology • Thyroid Cancer Forum-UK • UK Clinical Pharmacy Association • UK Health Forum • UK Oncology Nursing Society <p><u>Others</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Department of Health and Social Care • NHS England • NHS Bristol CCG • NHS Gloucestershire CCG • Welsh Government 	<p><u>Associated Public Health Groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Public Health England • Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not share it. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTees AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and Social Care and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance, and the British National Formulary).

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

¹Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.