

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Proposed Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Olaparib for maintenance treatment of BRCA mutation-positive metastatic pancreatic cancer after initial platinum-based chemotherapy ID1518

Provisional stakeholder list of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<p><u>Company</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • AstraZeneca (olaparib) <p><u>Patient/carer groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Black Health Agency • Cancer Black Care • Cancer Equality • Cancer52 • HAWC • Helen Rollason Cancer Charity • Independent Cancer Patients Voice • Macmillan Cancer Support • Maggie's Centres • Marie Curie • Muslim Council of Britain • Pancreatic Cancer Action • Pancreatic Cancer UK • South Asian Health Foundation • Specialised Healthcare Alliance • Tenovus Cancer Care <p><u>Professional groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Association of Cancer Physicians • Association of Upper Gastrointestinal Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland • British Geriatrics Society • British Institute of Radiology • British Psychosocial Oncology Society • British Society of Gastroenterology • British Society of Interventional Radiology • Cancer Research UK • Pancreatic Society of Great Britain and Ireland • Royal College of General Practitioners 	<p><u>General</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology Centre • Allied Health Professionals Federation • Board of Community Health Councils in Wales • British National Formulary • Care Quality Commission • Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland • Healthcare Improvement Scotland • Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency • National Association of Primary Care • National Pharmacy Association • NHS Alliance • NHS Confederation • Scottish Medicines Consortium • Scottish Society of Gastroenterology • Welsh Health and Specialised Services Committee <p><u>Possible comparator companies</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None <p><u>Relevant research groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cochrane Upper Gastrointestinal and Pancreatic Diseases Group • Genomics England • Guts UK • Institute of Cancer Research • MRC Clinical Trials Unit • National Cancer Research Institute • National Cancer Research Network • National Institute of Health Research

Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of olaparib for maintenance treatment of BRCA mutation-positive metastatic pancreatic cancer after initial platinum-based chemotherapy ID1518

Issue date: May 2019

Page 1 of 3

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Royal College of Nursing • Royal College of Pathologists • Royal College of Physicians • Royal College of Radiologists • Royal Pharmaceutical Society • Royal Society of Medicine • Society and College of Radiographers • UK Clinical Pharmacy Association • UK Health Forum • UK Oncology Nursing Society <p><u>Others</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Department of Health and Social Care • NHS Blackpool CCG • NHS England • NHS Harrogate and Rural District CCG • Welsh Government 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pancreatic Cancer Research Fund <p><u>Associated Public Health Groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Public Health England • Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the stakeholder list, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTees AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and Social Care and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical experts and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance, and the British National Formulary).

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical or patient experts.

¹ Non company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.