NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal

Nivolumab with cabozantinib for untreated advanced or metastatic renal cell carcinoma ID1625

Stakeholder list of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)				
Company	General				
Bristol-Myers Squibb Pharmaceuticals	All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology				
(Nivolumab)	Centre				
,	 Allied Health Professionals Federation 				
Patient/carer groups	Association of Renal Industries				
Black Health Agency	Board of Community Health Councils in				
Cancer Black Care	Wales				
Cancer Equality	British National Formulary				
Cancer 52	Care Quality Commission				
 Helen Rollason Cancer Charity 	Department of Health, Social Services and				
 Independent Cancer Patients Voice 	Public Safety for Northern Ireland				
 Kidney Cancer Support Network 	Healthcare Improvement Scotland				
Kidney Cancer UK	Medicines and Healthcare products				
 Kidney Care UK 	Regulatory Agency				
 Kidney Research UK 	National Association of Primary Care				
 Macmillan Cancer Support 	National Pharmacy Association				
 Maggie's Centres 	NHS Alliance				
Marie Curie	NHS Confederation				
 Muslim Council of Britain 	Scottish Medicines Consortium				
 National Kidney Federation 	Welsh Health Specialised Services				
 South Asian Health Foundation 	Committee				
 Specialised Healthcare Alliance 	Welsh Kidney Patients Association				
Tenovus Cancer Care	Describle community of				
	Possible comparator companies				
Professional groups	Eusa Pharma UK (tivozanib) Langar (sala arantinib)				
Association of Cancer Physicians	Ispen (cabozantinib)				
Association of Renal Technologists	Novartis (pazopanib) Dirag (augitinib)				
British Association of Urological Nurses	Pfizer (sunitinib)				
British Association of Urological Surgeons	Polovant research groups				
British Geriatrics Society	Relevant research groupsCochrane Kidney and Transplant Group				
British Institute of Radiology	Cochrane Kidney and Transplant Group Cochrane Urology				
British Psychosocial Oncology Society					
British Renal Society	Genomics England Institute of Cancer Research				
British Society of Urogenital Radiology	MRC Clinical Trials Unit				
British Uro-oncology Group	National Cancer Research Institute				
Cancer Research UK	National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network				
Renal Association	National Cancer Research Network National Institute for Health Research				
Royal College of General Practitioners	• Ivational institute for nealth Research				
Royal College of Nursing	Associated Public Health Groups				
Royal College of Pathologists	Public Health England				
 Royal College of Physicians 	Public Health Wales				
	F UDIIC I ICAILII VVAICS				

Stakeholder list for the technology appraisal of nivolumab with cabozantinib for untreated advanced or metastatic renal cell carcinoma ID1625 Issue date: April 2020 © National Institute for Health and Care Excellence 2020. All rights reserved.

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Royal College of Radiologists Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers Society for DGH Nephrologists UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Oncology Nursing Society UK Renal Pharmacy Group Urology Foundation 	
Others Department of Health and Social Care NHS England NHS South Eastern Hampshire CCG NHS South Kent Coast CCG Welsh Government	

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

	•						
1)	efi	n	ıt	ın	n	c	
\boldsymbol{L}	CII		IL	ıv		0	

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and Social Care and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

¹ Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.