

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Proposed Single Technology Appraisal

Luspatercept for treating anaemia caused by myelodysplastic syndromes ID1550

Provisional stakeholder list of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<p><u>Company</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Celgene (luspatercept) <p><u>Patient/carer groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • African Caribbean Leukaemia Trust • Anthony Nolan • Aplastic Anaemia Trust • Black Health Agency • Bloodwise • Chronic Myeloid Leukaemia Support Group • DKMS • Genetic Alliance UK • Leukaemia Cancer Society • Leukaemia Care • MDS UK Patient Support Group • Muslim Council of Britain • South Asian Health Foundation • Specialised Healthcare Alliance <p><u>Professional groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Association of Cancer Physicians • British Blood Transfusion Society • British Committee for Standards in Haematology • British Geriatrics Society • British Psychosocial Oncology Society • British Society for Haematology • British Society for Human Genetics • Cancer Research UK • Royal College of General Practitioners • Royal College of Nursing • Royal College of Pathologists • Royal College of Physicians • Royal Pharmaceutical Society • Royal Society of Medicine 	<p><u>General</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All Wales Therapeutic and Toxicology Centre • Allied Health Professionals Federation • Board of Community Health Councils in Wales • British National Formulary • Care Quality Commission • Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland • Healthcare Improvement Scotland • Hospital Information Services – Jehovah’s Witnesses • Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency • National Association of Primary Care • National Pharmacy Association • NHS Alliance • NHS Confederation • Scottish Medicines Consortium • Welsh Health Specialised Services Committee <p><u>Possible comparator companies</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amgen (darbepoetin) • Hospira UK (epoetin) • Janssen (epoetin) • Roche (epoetin) <p><u>Relevant research groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cochrane Haematological Malignancies Group • Genomics England • Institute of Cancer Research • Leuka • Leukaemia Busters

Appendix C

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • UK Clinical Pharmacy Association • UK Forum on Haemoglobin Disorders • UK National Screening Committee • UK Oncology Nursing Society <p><u>Others</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Department of Health and Social Care • NHS Barnsley CCG • NHS England • NHS Windsor, Ascot and Maidenhead CCG • Welsh Government 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MRC Clinical Trials Unit • National Cancer Research Institute • National Cancer Research Network • National Institute for Health Research <p><u>Associated Public Health groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Public Health England • Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the stakeholder list, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and Social Care and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance, and the British National Formulary).

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

¹ Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.