

## NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

### Single Technology Appraisal

#### Axicabtagene ciloleucel for treating diffuse large B-cell lymphoma and primary mediastinal large B-cell lymphoma after 2 or more systemic therapies (CDF review of TA559) [ID3980]

#### Final Stakeholder list of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<p><u>Company</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Kite, a Gilead company (axicabtagene ciloleucel)</li> </ul> <p><u>Patient/carer groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• African Caribbean Leukaemia Trust (ACLT)</li> <li>• Anthony Nolan</li> <li>• Black Health Agency for Equality</li> <li>• Blood Cancer UK</li> <li>• Cancer Black Care</li> <li>• Cancer Equality</li> <li>• Cancer52</li> <li>• DKMS</li> <li>• Helen Rollason Cancer Charity</li> <li>• Independent Cancer Patients Voice</li> <li>• Leukaemia Cancer Society</li> <li>• Leukaemia Care</li> <li>• Lymphoma Action</li> <li>• Macmillan Cancer Support</li> <li>• Maggie's Centres</li> <li>• Marie Curie</li> <li>• South Asian Health Foundation</li> <li>• Specialised Healthcare Alliance</li> <li>• Tenovus Cancer Care</li> <li>• WMUK</li> </ul> <p><u>Professional groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Association of Cancer Physicians</li> <li>• British Committee for Standards in Haematology</li> <li>• British Geriatrics Society</li> <li>• British Institute of Radiology</li> </ul>	<p><u>General</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology Centre</li> <li>• Allied Health Professionals Federation</li> <li>• Board of Community Health Councils in Wales</li> <li>• British National Formulary</li> <li>• Care Quality Commission</li> <li>• Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland</li> <li>• Healthcare Improvement Scotland</li> <li>• Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency</li> <li>• National Association for Primary Care</li> <li>• National Pharmacy Association</li> <li>• NHS Alliance</li> <li>• NHS Confederation</li> <li>• Scottish Medicines Consortium</li> <li>• Welsh Health Specialised Services Committee</li> </ul> <p><u>Comparator companies</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Accord healthcare (bendamustine, carboplatin, cisplatin, cytarabine, epirubicin, etoposide, gemcitabine,)</li> <li>• Baxter healthcare (ifosfamide)</li> <li>• Dr. Reddy's Laboratories (bendamustine)</li> <li>• Hospiria UK (carboplatin, cisplatin, cytarabine, gemcitabine)</li> <li>• Jazz Pharmaceuticals (cytarabine)</li> <li>• Medac GmbH (epirubicin, etoposide)</li> <li>• Napp pharmaceuticals (rituximab)</li> </ul>

Final stakeholder list for the single technology appraisal of axicabtagene ciloleucel for treating diffuse large B-cell lymphoma and primary mediastinal large B-cell lymphoma after 2 or more systemic therapies (CDF review of TA559) [ID3980]

Issue date: March 2022

© National Institute for Health and Care Excellence 2022. All rights reserved.

Page 1 of 3

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• British Psychosocial Oncology Society</li> <li>• British Society for Haematology</li> <li>• British Society of Interventional Radiology</li> <li>• Cancer Research UK</li> <li>• Royal College of General Practitioners</li> <li>• Royal College of Nursing</li> <li>• Royal College of Pathologists</li> <li>• Royal College of Physicians</li> <li>• Royal College of Radiologists</li> <li>• Royal Pharmaceutical Society</li> <li>• Royal Society of Medicine</li> <li>• Society and College of Radiographers</li> <li>• UK Clinical Pharmacy Association</li> <li>• UK Cutaneous Lymphoma Group</li> <li>• UK Oncology Nursing Society</li> </ul> <p><u>Others</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Department of Health and Social Care</li> <li>• NHS England</li> <li>• NHS Nottingham &amp; Nottinghamshire CCG</li> <li>• NHS Salford CCG</li> <li>• Welsh Government</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Neon Healthcare (etoposide)</li> <li>• Pfizer (cytarabine, epirubicin, rituximab)</li> <li>• Roche (polatuzumab vedotin, rituximab)</li> <li>• Sandoz (cisplatin, rituximab)</li> <li>• Seacross pharmaceuticals (bendamustine, epirubicin)</li> <li>• Servier Laboratories (pixantrone dimaleate)</li> <li>• Sun Pharmaceuticals (gemcitabine)</li> <li>• Zentiva (bendamustine)</li> </ul> <p><u>Relevant research groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cochrane Haematological Malignancies Group</li> <li>• Cochrane UK</li> <li>• Genomics England</li> <li>• Institute of Cancer Research</li> <li>• Leukaemia Busters</li> <li>• Leukaemia UK</li> <li>• MRC Clinical Trials Unit</li> <li>• National Cancer Research Network</li> <li>• National Institute for Health Research</li> </ul> <p><u>Associated Public Health Groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Public Health Wales</li> <li>• UK Health Security Agency</li> </ul>

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

***PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTees AND COMMENTATORS***

### Definitions:

#### Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement<sup>1</sup>, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

#### Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary).

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

---

<sup>1</sup> Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.