NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Health Technology Evaluation

Baricitinib for treating severe alopecia areata [ID3979]

Stakeholder List

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company	General
Eli Lilly and Company (baricitinib)	 All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology Centre
Patient/carer groups	Allied Health Professionals Federation
Alopecia UKChanging Faces	 Board of Community Health Councils in Wales
 South Asian Health Foundation 	British National Formulary
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	Care Quality Commission
Professional groups	Department of Health, Social Services Department of Health, Social Services
<u>Professional groups</u>British Association of Dermatologists	and Public Safety for Northern IrelandHealthcare Improvement Scotland
British Dermatological Nursing Group	Medicines and Healthcare Products
British Geriatrics Society	Regulatory Agency
British Hair and Nail Society	National Association of Primary Care
British Skin FoundationPrimary Care Dermatology Society	National Pharmacy AssociationNHS Alliance
 Royal College of General Practitioners 	NHS Confederation
Royal College of Nursing	Scottish Medicines Consortium
Royal College of Pathologists	Welsh Health Specialised Services
Royal College of PhysiciansRoyal Pharmaceutical Society	Committee
Royal Pharmaceutical SocietyRoyal Society of Medicine	Possible comparator companies
UK Clinical Pharmacy Association	• None
<u>Others</u>	Relevant research groups
Department of Health and Social Care	British Society of Immunology
NHS Bexley CCG	Cochrane Skin Group Cochrane LIK
NHS EnglandNHS Leeds West CCG	Cochrane UK MRC Clinical Trials Unit
Welsh Government	National Institute for Health Research
	 Associated Public Health Groups Public Health Wales UK Health Security Agency

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the stakeholder list, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and Social Care and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical experts and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance, and the British National Formulary).

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical or patient experts.

¹ Non company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.