

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Health Technology Evaluation

Response to stakeholder organisation comments on the draft remit and draft scope

Please note: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by NICE are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that NICE has received, and are not endorsed by NICE, its officers or advisory committees.

Comment 1: the draft remit and proposed process

Section	Stakeholder	Comments [sic]	Action
Appropriateness of an evaluation and proposed evaluation route	AMMF – the Cholangiocarcinoma Charity	No comments	No action required
	Servier Laboratories	<p>Servier believe that Ivosidenib fulfils all criteria for the HST route: The condition is very rare defined by 1:50,000 in England</p> <p>The overall incidence of cholangiocarcinoma is increasing with currently around 2800 people diagnosed each year in the UK, although it is not always clear which subtype the cancer is¹. Coding issues within the UK make differentiation between subtypes difficult but each subtype has distinct risk factors, molecular pathogenesis, therapeutic options, and prognosis. Literature states that iCCAs represent approximately 34% of CCA cases², giving an incidence of 952 in the UK.</p> <p>IDH1 mutations are found in approximately 12.5% of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma³</p>	Thank you for your comments. This issue was discussed by the topic selection oversight panel which decided that this appraisal would be routed as a technology appraisal. Please see HST checklist for more details.

Section	Stakeholder	Comments [sic]	Action
		<p>Therefore, using 2020 mid-year England population estimate, 56,550,000 this gives an incidence of 0.11:50,000</p> <p>Normally no more than 300 people in England are eligible for the technology in its licensed indication and no more than 500 across all its indications.</p> <p>Ivosidenib is an isocitrate dehydrogenase-1 (IDH1) inhibitor indicated as targeted therapy for the treatment of adult patients with a susceptible IDH1 mutation with locally advanced or metastatic intrahepatic (icca) cholangiocarcinoma who have been previously treated.</p> <p>The patient numbers eligible for Ivosidenib are expected to be around 107 (IDH1 mutation present in 12.5% of iCCA cases and 90% receive a 1st line treatment⁴) in this indication.</p> <p>Other indications are in relapsed and refractory acute myeloid leukaemia (AML) and as first line monotherapy in AML, although this is only approved in the U.S. There is no intent to pursue a license in these U.S approved indications outside of the U.S.</p> <p>Servier has two ph3 positive studies in CCA 2/3L monotherapy and AML 1L who are ineligible for intensive chemotherapy, for which registration is under review in Europe and will also be sought in the UK.</p> <p>Therefore the patient numbers eligible for Ivosidenib are 135 in this indication.</p> <p>247 with IDH1 mutation</p> <p>55% of these ineligible for intensive chemotherapy⁵⁻⁷</p> <p>The very rare condition significantly shortens life or severely impairs its quality</p> <p>No specific screening methods are available to reliably detect CCA early enough, and most CCA cases are found only after the cancer has</p>	

Section	Stakeholder	Comments [sic]	Action
		<p>advanced to an incurable stage⁸. Most patients (~70%) are diagnosed at late stages of disease progression due to lack of specific symptoms⁹</p> <p>Advanced stage diagnosis results in 30% of CCA patients being eligible for tumor resection¹⁰ and poor survival outcomes among patients with CCA have been reported across multiple analyses covering various patient subgroups and clinical settings¹¹</p> <p>CCA patients experience aggravating symptoms and half of all untreated patients fail to survive past three to four months from presentation of symptoms¹²</p> <p>The incidence-based mortality rate of CCA per 1,000,000 person-years reported in the UK was 2.66 in 2001 and 4.93 in 2017¹³</p> <p>Due to delayed diagnosis and poor prognosis of CCA, a significant clinical, humanistic and economic burden is imposed on patients. The burden of CCA symptoms on daily lives, work productivity, quality of life, mental health, and sexual function is hugely debilitating¹⁴.</p> <p>There are no other satisfactory treatment options, or the technology is likely to offer significant additional benefit over existing treatment options.</p> <p>Patients with cholangiocarcinoma continue to experience substantial unmet need for treatments that improve survival. First-line standard of care in locally advanced or metastatic CCA is combination chemotherapy (gemcitabine + cisplatin); however, 2nd line standard of care is ill-defined in the national and international guidelines and FOLFOX (folinic acid, fluorouracil and oxaliplatin) is often used due to the limited availability of treatment option¹⁵.</p> <p>FOLFOX has a ph3 study in Biliary Tract Cancer (BTC), not CCA but the incremental benefit over best supportive care is <1mth and hence its clinical value is limited. Its use/recommendation in clinical guidelines (as of today) illustrates the lack of other satisfactory options.</p>	

Section	Stakeholder	Comments [sic]	Action
		<p>In recent years, there has been a paradigm shift towards targeted therapies for the treatment of CCA¹⁶. This shift is articulated through updates of international and local clinical guidelines, recommending to use targeted treatments over FOLFOX if mutations are confirmed</p> <p>Pemigatinib is the only approved targeted therapy based on findings from biliary tract / CCA trials, and is only indicated for patients with FGFR2 mutations in locally advanced or metastatic CCA¹⁷. Pemigatinib has recently been approved in the UK¹⁸.</p> <p>Other targeted therapies, such as larotrectinib and entrectinib, are now recommended in the French, German and NCCN guidelines¹⁹⁻²¹ and many more are being investigated²².</p> <p>Ivosidenib is a first-in-class targeted treatment that provides a significant clinical benefit in patients with IDH1 mutated CCA²³</p>	
Wording	AMMF – the Cholangiocarcinoma Charity	No comments	No action required
	Servier Laboratories	Yes	Thank you for your comment

Section	Stakeholder	Comments [sic]	Action
Additional comments on the draft remit	AMMF – the Cholangiocarcinoma Charity	No comments	No action required
	Servier Laboratories	No comments	No action required

Comment 2: the draft scope

Section	Consultee/ Commentator	Comments [sic]	Action
Background information	AMMF – the Cholangiocarcinoma Charity	Broadly, this is accurate.	Thank you for your comment
	Servier Laboratories	Accurate and complete	Thank you for your comment
Population	AMMF – the Cholangiocarcinoma Charity	Yes	Thank you for your comment.
	Servier Laboratories	Yes	Thank you for your comment.
Subgroups	AMMF – the Cholangiocarcinoma Charity	No comments	No action required

Section	Consultee/ Commentator	Comments [sic]	Action
	Servier Laboratories	No. Servier request that the whole population is considered	Thank you for your comment.
Comparators	AMMF – the Cholangiocarcinoma Charity	Yes, the only treatments currently available have been listed. Although there really are no other specific treatments for a cholangiocarcinoma patient with an IDH1 mutation.	Thank you for your comment. The committee will consider relevant comparators during the course of the appraisal.
	Servier Laboratories	FOLFOX is only used within routine practice for the overall population, not specifically those with an IDH1 mutation. Therefore, we perceive the correct comparator to be BSC. However, in the absence of any targeted comparator, FOLFOX could be accepted. Folinic acid is listed separately. Folinic acid (Leucovorin) is routinely given with 5FU as it modulates it's activity so FOLFOX will include this. It is not used as a stand alone agent in this setting so should not be a separate comparator	Thank you for your comment. The comparators in the scope are intended to be inclusive of those that are thought to be used in clinical practice. The scope is inclusive to allow for all appropriate comparators to be considered, if deemed relevant. The final scope has been amended to reflect that folinic acid is not used as a stand alone agent.

Section	Consultee/ Commentator	Comments [sic]	Action
Outcomes	AMMF – the Cholangiocarcinoma Charity	Yes	Thank you for your comment.
	Servier Laboratories	Yes	Thank you for your comment.
Equality	AMMF – the Cholangiocarcinoma Charity	No comments	No action required
	Servier Laboratories	No equality issues	Thank you for your comment.
Other considerations	AMMF – the Cholangiocarcinoma Charity	<p>Under Economic Analysis, it is stated, "...The economic modelling should include the costs associated with diagnostic testing for IDH1 gene mutation in people with advanced cholangiocarcinoma who would not otherwise have been tested. A sensitivity analysis should be provided without the cost of the diagnostic test."</p> <p>With so little in the treatment armoury for CCA, with the advent of targeted therapies such as ivosidenib, molecular profiling should be available for all those diagnosed with CCA – at diagnosis or during 1st line treatment. This is essential so that all those eligible for such treatments can be considered in a timely manner.</p> <p>Whilst the costs of molecular profiling are undoubtedly a consideration,</p>	Thank you for your comment. The NICE methods guide (section 4.8.1) states that the costs of companion diagnostics which are not routinely used in clinical practice should be included in cost-effectiveness assessments. The committee will consider whether testing for IDH1 gene mutations

Section	Consultee/ Commentator	Comments [sic]	Action
		AMMF strongly advocates that it should be available to all CCA patients who could be eligible for a targeted therapy.	are considered routine practice during the course of this appraisal.
	Servier Laboratories	No comment	No action required
Questions for consultation	AMMF – the Cholangiocarcinoma Charity	No comment	No action required.
	Servier Laboratories	<p>The scope states that the economic modelling should include the costs associated with diagnostic testing for IDH1 gene mutation in people with advanced cholangiocarcinoma who would not otherwise have been tested and a sensitivity analysis should be provided without the cost of the diagnostic test. However, IDH1 testing is already part of the genetic test directory so funding should be in place. Therefore, Servier believes the base case should not include cost of testing</p> <p>Ivosidenib is not considered by Servier to be a candidate for managed access</p>	Thank you for your comment. The committee will consider whether IDH1 gene mutation screening is part of routine practice and whether or not to include the costs of such tests.
Additional comments on the draft scope	AMMF – the Cholangiocarcinoma Charity	None	No action required.

Section	Consultee/ Commentator	Comments [sic]	Action
	Servier Laboratories	None	No action required

The following stakeholders indicated that they had no comments on the draft remit and/or the draft scope