NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal

Olaparib for maintenance treatment of ovarian, fallopian tube or peritoneal cancer that has a BRCA germline mutation after response to first-line platinum-based chemotherapy ID1124

Matrix of consultees and commentators

| Consultees | Commentators (no right to submit or appeal) |
|---|---|
| Company AstraZeneca (olaparib) Patient/carer groups Black Health Agency Cancer Black Care Cancer Equality Cancer 52 Eve Appeal HAWC Helen Rollason Cancer Charity Independent Cancer Patients Voice Macmillan Cancer Support Maggie's Centres Marie Curie Muslim Council of Britain Ovacome Ovarian Cancer Action South Asian Health Foundation Specialised Healthcare Alliance Target Ovarian Cancer Tenovus Cancer Care The Robin Cancer Trust Wellbeing of Women Women's Health Concern Professional groups Association of Cancer Physicians British Geriatrics Society British Gynaecological Cancer Society British Institute of Radiology British Psychosocial Oncology Society | General Allied Health Professionals Federation All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology Centre Board of Community Health Councils in Wales British National Formulary Care Quality Commission Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Healthcare Improvement Scotland Healthcare Improvement Scotland Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency National Association of Primary Care National Pharmacy Association NHS Alliance NHS Confederation Scottish Medicines Consortium Welsh Health Specialised Services Committee Possible comparator companies None Relevant research groups Cochrane Gynaecological Cancer Group Institute of Cancer Research MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Cancer Research Network National Institute for Health Research |
| British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Society of Urogynaecology Cancer Research UK | Ovarian & Prostate Cancer Research Trust |

© National Institute for Health and Care Excellence 2018.

Provisional matrix for the technology appraisal of olaparib for maintenance treatment of ovarian, fallopian tube or peritoneal cancer that has a BRCA germline mutation after response to first-line platinum-based chemotherapy ID1124 Issue date: September 2018 Page 1 of 3

| Consultees | Commentators (no right to submit or appeal) |
|---|---|
| National Forum of Gynaecological Oncology Nurses Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Obstetricians & Gynaecologists Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Health Forum UK Oncology Nursing Society | Associated Public Health Groups Public Health England Public Health Wales |
| Others Department of Health and Social Care NHS England NHS Newbury and District CCG NHS Sheffield CCG Welsh Government | |

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

¹Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.