NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal

Lebrikizumab for treating moderate to severe atopic dermatitis in people 12 years and over [ID4025]

Stakeholder List

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company	General
Almirall (lebrikizumab)	All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology Centre
Patient/carer groups	Allied Health Professionals Federation
Allergy UK	Board of Community Health Councils in
Changing Faces	Wales
Eczema Outreach Support	British National Formulary
Let's Face It	Care Quality Commission
National Eczema Society	Department of Health, Social Services
South Asian Health Foundation	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
·	Medicines and Healthcare products
Healthcare professional groups	Regulatory Agency
British Association of Dermatologists	 National Association of Primary Care
British Dermatological Nursing Group	 National Pharmacy Association
British Geriatrics Society	NHS Confederation
British Skin Foundation	Scottish Medicines Consortium
British Society for Cutaneous Allergy	Welsh Government
 Neonatal and Paediatric Pharmacists Group 	 Welsh Health Specialised Services Committee
Primary Care Dermatology Society	
Royal College of General Practitioners	Possible comparator companies
Royal College of Nursing	AbbVie (upadacitinib)
 Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health 	 Accord (azathioprine, methotrexate, mycophenolate mofetil)
Royal College of Pathologists	Advanz Pharma (methotrexate)
Royal College of Physicians	Aspen (azathioprine)
Royal Pharmaceutical Society	Cipla (methotrexate)
Royal Society of Medicine	Dexcel-Pharma Limited (ciclosporin)
St John's Institute of Dermatology	Eli Lilly (baricitinib)
UK Clinical Pharmacy Association	Ennogen (azathioprine)
-	Hospira (methotrexate)
<u>Others</u>	Leo Pharma (tralokinumab)
Department of Health and Social Care	Medac (methotrexate)
NHS England	Morningside Healthcare (methotrexate)
	Mylan (azathioprine, ciclosporin,

Stakeholder list for the evaluation of lebrikizumab for treating moderate to severe atopic dermatitis in people 12 years and over ID4025

Issue date: September 2023

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
	mycophenolate mofetil) Nordic Pharma (methotrexate) Novartis Pharmaceuticals (ciclosporin, mycophenolate mofetil) Orion Pharma (methotrexate) Pfizer (abrocitinib, methotrexate) Roche (mycophenolate mofetil) Rosemont Pharmaceuticals (methotrexate) Sandoz (methotrexate, mycophenolate mofetil) Sanofi (dupilumab) Strides Pharma (azathioprine) Teva (mycophenolate mofetil) Therakind (methotrexate) Tilomed Laboratories (azathioprine, mycophenolate mofetil)
	Relevant research groups Centre of Evidence-based Dermatology, University of Nottingham Cochrane UK Genomics England MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Institute for Health Research Skin Research Centre
	Public Health WalesUK Health Security Agency

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination, and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the stakeholder list, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the evaluation; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and Social Care and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

Stakeholder list for the evaluation of lebrikizumab for treating moderate to severe atopic dermatitis in people 12 years and over ID4025

Issue date: September 2023

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical experts and has the right to appeal against the Final Draft Guidance (FDG).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Draft Guidance (FDG).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the evaluation process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FDG for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance, and the British National Formulary).

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical or patient experts.

¹ Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.