

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

HEALTH TECHNOLOGY APPRAISAL PROGRAMME

Equality impact assessment – Guidance development

STA Risankizumab for previously treated moderately to severely active ulcerative colitis in people aged 16 and over [ID6209]

The impact on equality has been assessed during this appraisal according to the principles of the NICE equality scheme.

Final draft guidance

(when no draft guidance was issued)

1. Have the potential equality issues identified during the scoping process been addressed by the committee, and, if so, how?

- **A patient organisation identified the mode of administration as a potential equality issue (subcutaneous injection for disease control) – Risankizumab has the same mode of administration to the comparator in this topic (ustekinumab). Committee agreed that the two treatments are clinically similar and mode of administration was not a factor in the committee’s decision making.**

2. Have any other potential equality issues been raised in the submissions, expert statements or academic report, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?

No

3. Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the committee, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?

Technology appraisals: Guidance development

Equality impact assessment for the single technology appraisal of risankizumab for previously treated moderately to severely active ulcerative colitis in people aged 16 and over [ID6209]

1 of 2

Issue date: June 2024

No

4. Do the recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

No

5. Is there potential for the recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

No

6. Are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?

N/A

7. Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the final draft guidance, and, if so, where?

N/A

Approved by Associate Director (name): Emily Crowe

Date: 05/06/2024